

Appendix A: Sources

- 2020 Block Geography (US Census Bureau) & National Land Cover Dataset (MRLC)
- American Meteorological Society
- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations
- County and local Comprehensive Plans to the extent available
- County Emergency Management
- County Flood Insurance Rate Map, FEMA
- Data Collection Questionnaires completed by each jurisdiction.
- Decennial Census
- DESE
- Department of Geography
- Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc.
- Environmental Protection Agency
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
- Flood Insurance Administration
- Flood Insurance Study, FEMA
- Hazards US (HAZUS)
- Iowa Department of Natural Resources
- Carroll County LEPC
- Missouri Department of Commerce & Insurance
- Missouri Department of Conservation
- Missouri Department of Health and Human Services; health.mo.gov
- Missouri Department of Natural Resources
- Missouri Department of Transportation
- Missouri Division of Fire Marshal Safety
- Missouri Hazard Mitigation Plans (2013, 2018, and 2023)
- Missouri Public Service Commission
- National Agricultural Statistics Service (Agriculture production/losses)
- National Centers for Environmental Information
- National Drought Mitigation Center Drought Reporter
- National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS)
- National Inventory of Dams
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI);
- National Weather Service
- NFIP Community Status Book
- Oxford Brooks University
- Previously approved Livingston County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2021)
- Purdue University
- SEMA
- SILVIS Lab, Department of Forest Ecology and Management, University of Wisconsin
- State of Missouri GIS data
- Tornado and Storm Research Organization (TORRO)
- United States Geological Survey (USGS)
- US Army Corps of Engineers
- US Bureau of the Census and Annual population estimates

- US Community Survey, 2023
- US Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Risk Management Agency Crop Insurance Statistics
- US Department of Transportation
- US Drought Monitor
- US Fish and Wildlife Service
- www.tornadochaser.net
- www.weather.gov

- Neighboring Communities:
 - City of Hale
 - City of Breckenridge
- Local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities:
 - Chillicothe Fire Department
 - Chula Rural Fire District
 - Dawn Firefighters Association, LLC
 - Green Township Fire Protection District
 - Mooresville Township Fire Protection District
 - Wheeling Rural Fire Department
 - Livingston County Sheriff's Department
 - Livingston County Ambulance
 - Urgent Care Chillicothe
 - Livingston County Health
 - Hedrick Family Care
 - Northwest Health
 - Chillicothe Medical Clinic
 - Grand River Health Care
 - Chillicothe Women's Clinic
- Agencies with the authority to regulate development:
 - Chillicothe Emergency Coordinators
 - Chillicothe Floodplain Admin
 - Livingston County Floodplain Admin
 - Utica Floodplain Admin
 - City of Chillicothe
 - City of Chula
 - Village of Ludlow
 - City of Utica
 - City of Wheeling
- Business & Academia
 - Chillicothe R-II
 - Livingston County R-III
 - Southwest Livingston County R-I
 - Donaldson Co.
 - Midwest Quality Gloves
 - Chillicothe Iron & Steel Inc
 - Roberts Tool & Die
 - Wal-Mart
 - Tractor Supply Co
 - Lowes
 - Chillicothe Correctional Center
 - B&B Theatres Grand 6
- Other private and non-profit interests, including underserved/vulnerable populations
 - Senior Center
 - Livingston Manor
 - Morningside Center
 - Chillicothe Manor
 - StoneBridge RCF
 - Grand River Health Care
 - Saint Luke's Home Care & Hospice
 - Cornerstone Church
 - Turning Point Church
 - Lifepoint Church
 - New Life Church
 - Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints
 - House of Prayer Rescue Mission
 - Soul's Harbor Church of God

HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING EVENT

IS YOUR COMMUNITY
PREPARED FOR DISASTER?

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

THE LIVINGSTON COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN IS BEING UPDATED. PLEASE ATTEND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE!

MEETING #1
AUGUST 27, 2025
3-4:30
700 WEBSTER ST.
CHILLICOTHE, MO

MEETING #2
SEPT. 11, 2025
700 WEBSTER ST.
CHILLICOTHE, MO

MEETING #3
OCTOBER 14, 2025
3-4:30
700 WEBSTER ST.
CHILLICOTHE, MO

ZOOM LINK AVAILABLE ON GHRPC.ORG WEBSITE FOR THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS

VIRTUAL MEETING #1: AUGUST 28 10AM-12PM

VIRTUAL MEETING #2: SEPTEMBER 12 10AM-12PM

VIRTUAL MEETING #3: OCTOBER 15 10AM-12PM

Link to Public Survey



Contact Green Hills Regional Planning Commission for more information. (660) 359-5636 ext 11
or amanda@ghrpc.org for more information.



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

August 19th, 2025

On behalf of the County, you are invited to participate in updating the Livingston County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Jurisdictions (including county and city governments, public school districts, and special districts) that do not participate in an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan are NOT eligible to apply for FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Assistance Grants.

Livingston County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Meeting #1	Meeting #2	Meeting #3
August 27 th 3pm-4:30pm	September 11 th 3pm-4:30pm	October 14 th 3pm-4:30pm
700 Webster Street	700 Webster Street	700 Webster Street
Chillicothe, MO 64601	Chillicothe, MO 64601	Chillicothe, MO 64601

A Zoom Link is available on GHRPC.ORG website for the following Meetings:

Virtual Meeting #1 August 28th 10am-12pm

Virtual Meeting #2 September 12th 10am-12pm

Virtual Meeting #3 October 15th 10am-12pm

Your County Commissioners have contracted with Green Hills Regional Planning Commission to update the **Livingston County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan** to better protect the people and property of your County from the effects of natural hazard events. The existing plan was approved by FEMA on June 10, 2021. The plan update will be prepared pursuant to the requirements of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-390) and the implementing regulations. These regulations establish the requirements that hazard mitigation plans must meet for the County and the participating jurisdictions in the County to be eligible for certain federal disaster assistance and hazard mitigation funding under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Act (Public Law 93-288). Because the County is subject to many kinds of hazards, access to these federal programs is vital.

What is a Hazard Mitigation Plan?

A hazard mitigation plan is the result of a planning process which identifies policies and actions that can be implemented over the long term to reduce the risk and future losses resulting from natural hazard events. The Livingston County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update will address a comprehensive list of natural hazards likely to impact your County. The identified mitigation policies and actions will be based on an assessment of natural hazards, vulnerabilities, and risks.

The hazard mitigation planning process is also heavily dependent on the participation of representatives from local government agencies and departments, the public, and other stakeholder groups. A Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee is typically formed to support this project and will include representatives from the County, cities, school districts, private-non-profit entities, business partners, academic institutions, and other local, state, and federal agencies acting in or serving in the County.

What is My Role in the Planning Process?

The Green Hills Regional Planning Commission has taken the lead in updating this plan. The point of contact is Amanda George, Hazard Mitigation Specialist. To successfully complete this project and ensure your organization is eligible for FEMA hazard mitigation assistance funding, we need your participation and input. Jurisdictions (including county and city governments, public school districts, and special districts) that do not participate in an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan are NOT eligible to apply for FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Assistance grants. Participation in the planning process will include, at minimum:

- Contribute to the informational planning meeting and/or planning process; you must attend at least one meeting either in person or virtually.
- Providing requested data (as available); the Jurisdictional Questionnaire must be completed to the best of your ability.
- Formal adoption of the plan.

By participating in the plan your jurisdiction will be eligible for Hazard Mitigation Grants. We routinely work with counties and cities to develop projects that will mitigate against costly natural hazards.

Additional Resources

The following links provide additional information on hazard mitigation and the planning process.

- County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan specific to each county,
- The requirements and procedures for state, tribal, and local mitigation plans as presented in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 44, Chapter 1, Part 201, <http://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/risk-management/hazard-mitigation-planning/regulations-guidance>

Thank you,

Amanda George

Amanda George
Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist
Green Hills Regional Planning Commission
Office: (660) 359-5636 Ext. 11, Text: (660) 953-0815
email: amanda@ghrpc.org

3:00 - 3:35 PM

HMP Meeting # for Livingston County 8/27/2025

Printed Name	Jurisdiction	Commute Time	Email or Mobile number (text)
Derrick Allen	Livingston - Chilllicothe Fire Dist 1	10 min	dallen@chilllicothe.city.org
Eric Reeter	Livingston - Chilllicothe Fire Dist 1	5 min	ereter@chilllicothe.city.org
Todd Hume	Livingston City Nursing Home	5 min	Stuart@nursinghome.com
Lisa Corbin	Livingston C. Nursing Home	5 min	lcorbin@nursinghome.com
Gil Gates	Chilllicothe	5 min	cgates@chilllicothe.city.org
" "	Livingston County	5 min	Zoning@LivingstonCountyMO.com
Jordan Ralls	Livingston County	5 min	Road & Bridge
Tim Hein	Ludlow, Liv. Cty MO	20 min	ludlowvillage@yahoo.com
Bryce Anderson	Liv. County Commissioner	15 min	
Dustin Woelfle	Livingston County	1 min	dwoelfle@livco.org
E. O. Woelfle	County Commissioner	2 min	livco.com@livco.org Livingston County MO, CO
Dennis Nicks	County Commissioner	5 min	dennisnicks1008@yahoo.com
Michael Booth	MO SEMA		Michael.Booth@sema.das.mo.gov
Kyle B. Gramenz	City of Chula	12 min	piscansecant@gmail.com (660) 973-1030
Ann Hamilton	Chula Rural Fire Department	15 min	ann@chrfp.org 660-247-1356
M. Bryd	g1-rec		660-247-1356

8/27/2025

[illegible]

virtue

8/28/2025

[illegible]

10AM - 1030AM

HMP Meeting # 8 for LIVINGSTON County 9/12/2025

[illegible]

3:00pm - 3:45pm

HMP Meeting # 2 for Livingston County 9/11/2025

[illegible]



HMP Meeting # 3 for Livingston County 10 / 14 / 2025

[illegible]

10AM - 10:15AM

10 / 15 / 2025

[illegible]

Agenda – Livingston County Meeting #1

August 27 & 28, 2025

- Start Meeting
- Introductions (complete sign-in sheet)
- What is Hazard Mitigation Planning?
- Any action taken to reduce or eliminate the long-term risk to human life and property from natural hazards
 - Existing Plan
 - Update every 5 years
 - Requirement for HMGP grants
- Planning process
 - 3 meetings
 - Outreach and Hazard Identification (This meeting)
 - Risk Assessment & Mitigation Strategies – What are the vulnerabilities within our jurisdiction and Mitigation Strategies that could reduce risk from these hazards
 - Action Prioritization; Reviewing and Adopting the Plan; and Plan Upkeep
- To be a participating jurisdiction, you must do the following:
 - Complete jurisdictional questionnaire (County, School Districts, Cities & Villages, and Special Districts)
 - Attend at least one meeting
 - Provide suggestions about the plan, develop actions that address every hazard your jurisdiction faces, and participate in the planning process
 - Review and Adopt the plan
- Outreach
 - We (GHRPC) have sent letters, emails, and made phone calls to potential stakeholders county wide
 - Public Survey – Please complete and Share on social media
 - Share with Members of the public
 - Share with Employees of the school, city, village, etc.
- Identify Hazards
 - Email – “Hazard Identification for Livingston County”
 - Go through this worksheet
- Questions?



HAZARD

MITIGATION

PLANNING EVENT

IS YOUR COMMUNITY
PREPARED FOR DISASTER?

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

THE GRUNDY COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN IS BEING UPDATED. PLEASE
ATTEND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE!

MEETING #1	MEETING #2	MEETING #3
AUGUST 27, 2025	SEPT. 11, 2025	OCTOBER 14, 2025
3-4:30	700 WEBSTER ST.	3-4:30
700 WEBSTER ST.	CHILLICOTHE, MO	700 WEBSTER ST.
CHILLICOTHE, MO		CHILLICOTHE, MO

ZOOM LINK AVAILABLE ON GHRPC.ORG WEBSITE FOR THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS

VIRTUAL MEETING #1: AUGUST 28 10AM-12PM
VIRTUAL MEETING #2: SEPTEMBER 12 10AM-12PM
VIRTUAL MEETING #3: OCTOBER 15 10AM-12PM

Link to Public Survey



Contact Grundy County Hazard Mitigation Commission for more information. (660) 359-5636 ext 11
or amanda@ghrpc.org for more information.

Green Hills Regional Planning Commission

Published by Brandy Stretch Jones ·
October 7 at 11:04 AM · 📍

It's not too late to participate in Livingston County's Hazard Mitigation Planning. The final meeting is taking place next week!!!
Tuesday, October 14th, at Livingston County Courthouse 2nd floor associate courtroom, 700 Webster Street, Chillicothe. If you have any questions, call Green Hills Regional Planning for more information or a one-on-one meeting.

#livingstoncountymo #ChillicotheMO #chulamo
#ludlowmo #uticamo #wheelingmo
#chillicotheeri #ghrpc #hmp

Edit Boost post


Like Comment Share



No comments yet
Be the first to comment.

Comment as Green Hills Regional Plan...





Green Hills Regional Planning Commission ...
Published by Brandy Stretch Jones · 1m · 🌐

Livingston County your Hazard Mitigation Plan is now ready for public feedback. Please review the plan and let us know what you think.
Click Here to review the plan and leave feedback.
<https://ghrpc.org/about-us/ghrpc/programs/hazard-mitigation/#livingstoncountymo#hmp#ghrpc>

[Edit](#) [Boost post](#)

[👍 Like](#) [💬 Comment](#) [🔗 Share](#)



No comments yet
Be the first to comment

 Comment as Green Hills Regional Plan...
[👤](#) [😊](#) [📷](#) [📺](#) [🗨️](#)

Identifying Vulnerable Assets for _____ County

Jurisdiction: _____


Refer to the Hazard Identification Worksheet where you described issues that you have had with the identified hazards. We are now going to look at specific community assets that can be affected by the identified hazards.

Instructions: For the hazards that affect your community, identify two to three examples of assets that can be affected by the identified hazards.

Vulnerable Assets	What makes this group/asset vulnerable during hazards? Have there ever been issues with recovery after an event?
People (Residents, workers, visiting populations, and socially vulnerable populations like seniors, individuals with disabilities, lower-income individuals, etc.)	
prison	vulnerable to hazards
hospital	ice - wrecks / loss income
Assisted living	lack of supplies.
Center Apts.	disabled / concern w/power outages
Structures (Community centers, historic places, planned capital improvement)	
Economic Assets (Major employers, primary economic sectors, key infrastructure like telecommunications networks)	
Green Hills	
Industrial Park	working
(?) Wastewater Treatment	- in flooding
	have future plans

The Livingston County Hazard Mitigation Planning
Meeting Will Begin at 10:00AM

Amanda George, Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist
Glenn Briggs, Emergency Planner



Identifying Potential Areas for Livingston County where the government could take action to

- Possible
- Kills - Check network
 - State of emergency - Check network
- Original position and in the future
- Project - Check network

- Emergency
- Emergency response - Check network
 - Project network - Check network
- Emergency Activities
- Water fill - Check
 - Standardized games - Check
 - Local events - Check network

- Emergency Activities
- Water fill - Check
 - Standardized games - Check
 - Local events - Check network
- Other
- Water fill - Check

Livingston County

Flooding
Tornado
Drought
Wildfire

Earthquake
Dam Failure
Severe Thunderstorm
Severe Winter Weather
Extreme Temp.

Major Impact

Dam Failure
Tornado

Drought

Flooding
Extreme
Thunderstorm
Winter Weather

Wildfire

Minor Impact

Earthquake

Extreme
Temp.

Not Likely

Very Likely

Appendix C

- Questionnaires
- Surveys
- STAPLEE Worksheets

Action Title: Public mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Chillicothe fire protection district
Action ID: VU 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Generators		Jurisdiction: Chillicothe fire protection district
Action ID: VU 2025.6		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Public mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Chillicothe R-II School District
Action ID: CSD 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters		Jurisdiction: Chillicothe R-II School District
Action ID: VU 2025.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 45

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Generators		Jurisdiction: Chillicothe R-II School District
Action ID: VU 2025.6		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Committee development to assess stormwater management		Jurisdiction: City of Chillicothe
Action ID: CC 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 38

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Upgrade culverts and other drainage systems		Jurisdiction: City of Chillicothe
Action ID: CC 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 38

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education for developers		Jurisdiction: City of Chillicothe
Action ID: CC 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 29

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Public mitigation education		Jurisdiction: City of Chillicothe
Action ID: CC 2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather Alerts		Jurisdiction: City of Chillicothe
Action ID: CC 2025.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 33

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Critical facilities back-up		Jurisdiction: City of Chillicothe
Action ID: CC 2025.6		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Debris Removal		Jurisdiction: City of Chillicothe
Action ID: CC 2025.7		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 32

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters		Jurisdiction: City of Chillicothe
Action ID: CC 2025.8		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 45

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: NFIP		Jurisdiction: City of Chillicothe
Action ID: CC 2025.9		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score:		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 29

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Public mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Livingston county ambulance district
Action ID: VU 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather Alerts		Jurisdiction: Livingston county ambulance district
Action ID: VU 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 33

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Critical facilities back-up		Jurisdiction: Livingston county ambulance district
Action ID: VU 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Generators		Jurisdiction: Livingston county ambulance district
Action ID: VU 2025.6		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Public officials education on hazard mitigation		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 37

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Committee development to assess stormwater management		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 38

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Critical facilities back-up		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Public mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Flood Warning System		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 46

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education for developers		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 37

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Monitor repetitive flood loss properties		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.7		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		2
P: Is it politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 27

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Snow removal		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.8		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm Drain System		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.9		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		2
P: Is it politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		10
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 20

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.10		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 45

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Survey flood plainarea		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.11		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		2
P: Is it politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		10
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 20

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Tree trimming		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.12		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score:		12
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 22

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Sirens		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.13		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		2
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		11

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 27

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather Alerts		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.14		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 33

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Steering committee		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.15		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		2
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score:		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 29

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Debris Removal		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.16		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 33

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: NFIP		Jurisdiction: Livingston County
Action ID: County 2025.17		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score:		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 29

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Public mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Village of Utica
Action ID: VU 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather Alerts		Jurisdiction: Village of Utica
Action ID: VU 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 33

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Critical facilities back-up		Jurisdiction: Village of Utica
Action ID: VU 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Debris Removal		Jurisdiction: Village of Utica
Action ID: VU 2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 32

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters		Jurisdiction: Village of Utica
Action ID: VU 2025.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 45

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Generators		Jurisdiction: Village of Utica
Action ID: VU 2025.6		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: NFIP		Jurisdiction: Village of Utica
Action ID: VU 2025.7		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score:		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 29

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: Livingston

JURISDICTION: Livingston

RETURN BY: _____

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. ***A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.*** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process ***will not*** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: Sherry Parks

PHONE: 660-646-8000 ext 3

EMAIL: countyclerk@livingstoncountymo.com DATE: _____

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the underlined and bold elements, please provide a copy of the document to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<i>Comprehensive Plan</i>	
Builder's Plan	No
Capital Improvement Plan	No
City Emergency Operations Plan	No
County Emergency Operations Plan	Yes
Local Recovery Plan	No
County Recovery Plan	Yes
City Mitigation Plan	No
County Mitigation Plan	Yes , (Oct 25)
Debris Management Plan	No
<i>Economic Development Plan</i>	
Transportation Plan	Yes
Land-use Plan	Yes

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	Yes
Watershed Plan	Yes, Hurricane Creek WS
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	No
School Mitigation Plan	No
Critical Facilities Plan	Yes, through EOP
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	Yes
Building Code	No
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes
Subdivision Ordinance	Yes
Tree Trimming Ordinance	Yes
Nuisance Ordinance	Yes
Stormwater Ordinance	No
Drainage Ordinance	Yes
Site Plan Review Requirements	Yes
Historic Preservation Ordinance	No
Landscape Ordinance	Yes
Seismic Construction Ordinance	No
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	Yes
Codes Building Site/Design	No
Hazard Awareness Program	Yes
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	Yes
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	No
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	Yes

Firewise Community Certification	No
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	No
ISO Fire Rating	Yes, 3
Economic Development Program	Yes
Land Use Program	Yes, bonded
Public Education/Awareness	Yes
Property Acquisition	No
Planning/Zoning Boards	Yes
Stream Maintenance Program	No
Tree Trimming Program	Yes
Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)	No
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)	No
Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)	Yes
Flood Insurance Maps	Yes
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	Yes
Evacuation Route Map	Yes
Critical Facilities Inventory	Yes, Courthouse, Sheriff's office
Vulnerable Population Inventory	Yes, EOP
Land Use Map	Yes, Planning & Zoning
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?	
Building Code Official	No
Building Inspector	No
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	Yes, contracted

Engineer	No
Development Planner	No
Public Works Official	No
Emergency Management Director	Yes
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Yes
Emergency Response Team	Yes
Hazardous Materials Expert	Yes
Local Emergency Planning Committee	Yes
County Emergency Management Commission	No
Sanitation Department	No
Transportation Department	Yes
Economic Development Department	Yes
Housing Department	No
Historic Preservation	Yes
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	No - office not local
Salvation Army	Yes
Veterans Groups	Yes
Local Environmental Organization	Yes, Water Quality Group at Pooskey Conserv. A
Homeowner Associations	No
Neighborhood Associations	No
Chamber of Commerce	Yes
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	Yes
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes, through GHRPC
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	Yes
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	No
Impact fees for new development	Yes
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes
Ability to incur debt through private activities	Yes
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	Yes

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	NA
Builder's Plan	NA
Capital Improvement Plan	NA

Local Recovery Plan	
County Recovery Plan	Green Hills backs up our computers regularly
Debris Management Plan	
Economic Development Plan	County & city has hired an economic developer. Telling them
Transportation Plan	
Land-use Plan	County has a planning - 70
Watershed Plan	
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members)

Commission, 3 members

2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.

Distributed brochures regarding emergency preparedness
Articles in newspaper & radio on county business regularly

3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.

Emergency Preparedness regular meetings and Disaster Simulation
Done on a regular basis

4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

Handling homeless and battered women is a concern
we are keeping them a new shelter for this purpose

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community?

Fire Dept has three

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)?

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe.

CivicReady

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

Yes - Courthouse, Calvary Baptist, Fire Dept

Please provide address locations:

700 Webster - Courthouse
200 Locust - Church
700 2nd St - Fire station

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.

City has acquired through a grant from the state
and CDC over 300 acres for a new industrial park

9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.

No expected development in flood areas

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

City building a new police station

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees.

Chillicothe PD Schools 300

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

We update our flood maps periodically
by participations in flood survey

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire - W	

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. *Essential facilities* are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. *High potential loss facilities* are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. *Transportation and lifeline facilities* are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or inoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
Essential Facilities such as hospitals and other medical facilities, police and fire stations, Emergency Operations Centers		
HEDrick Medical	2999 Washington Circle	T ST
Calliope Arts Center	2807 Howard Ave	T ST
Courthouse	700 Washington	T ST
City Hall	715 Washington	T ST
Cherokee Municipal Center	1425 Washington	T ST PF
CME Business	920 Washington	T ST
Cherokee Museum	1611 Washington	T ST
Washington Market	939 Birch	T ST
Morningside Center	1700 Morningside	T ST
Stone Bridge Center	2601 N Fair	

High Potential Loss Facilities such as power plants, dams/levees, military installations, hazardous materials sites, shelters, day care centers, nursing homes, main government buildings (Do not include schools – they will be reported by the school districts)	
Chellerton Fire Station	200 2nd St
Chellerton Police Station	613 Walnut
Chellerton Lady Shroff School	4th Street
Chellerton Fire Station	1st Street
Transportation and Lifelines such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities	
Chellerton Power Plant	Hwy 36
Chellerton Power Plant	
Chellerton Water Plant	

Chillicothe, MO

September 30,2024

Chart	Name	Type	Employees
Major Employers	Missouri Dept. of Corrections	Correctional center	6
Major Employers	Hedrick Medicdal (St. Lukes)	Health services	2
Major Employers	Sonoco	Plastic food tray mfg.	1
Major Employers	Donaldson Co., Inc.	Machinery & vehicle air cleaning systems mfg.	1
Major Employers	Southern Bank	Banking	
Major Employers	Midwest Quality Gloves, Inc.	Glove mfg.	
Major Employers	Cloverleaf Cold Storage	Storage	
Major Employers	Roberts Tool & Die Co.	Industrial products mfg.	
Major Employers	DeLaval	Dairy industry rubber products mfg.	
Major Employers	Wire Rope Corp.	Wire rope mfg.	
Major Employers	Dickerson Tool & Die	Tool and die mfg.	
Major Employers	Mauser	Manufacturing	
Major Employers	Chillicothe Iron & Steel, Inc.	Steel products mfg.	
Major Employers	CPKC Rail	Railroad	
Major Employers	Lowe's	Building/Home supplies	
Major Employers	Chillicothe School District	School District	200+
Major Employers	Walmart	Retail	1
Major Employers	Trans OVA	Agriculture services/transplants	

Economic Assets (Major Employers, etc.)

Asset	Address	Product/Service	Number of Employees	Hazards

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction: _____

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- *Completed Actions*: provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- *Ongoing Actions*: indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- *No Progress*: if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: LIVINGSTON COUNTY

JURISDICTION: CITY OF UTICA

RETURN BY:

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. ***A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.*** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process ***will not*** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: _____

PHONE: _____

EMAIL: _____ DATE: _____

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the **underlined and bold** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document*** to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<u>Comprehensive Plan</u>	No
Builder's Plan	No
Capital Improvement Plan	No
Local Emergency Plan	No
County Emergency Operations Plan	No
Local Recovery Plan	No
County Recovery Plan	No
City Mitigation Plan	No, within Livingston County Hazard Mitigation Plan
County Mitigation Plan	Yes
Debris Management Plan	No
<u>Economic Development Plan</u>	No
Transportation Plan	No
Land-use Plan	No

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	No
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	No
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	No
School Mitigation Plan	No
Critical Facilities Plan	No
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	No
Building Code	No
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes
Subdivision Ordinance	No
Tree Trimming Ordinance	No
Nuisance Ordinance	No
Stormwater Ordinance	No
Drainage Ordinance	No
Site Plan Review Requirements	No
Historic Preservation Ordinance	No
Landscape Ordinance	No
Seismic Construction Ordinance	No
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	No
Codes Building Site/Design	No
Hazard Awareness Program	No
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	Yes
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	No
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	No

Firewise Community Certification	
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	No
ISO Fire Rating	No
Economic Development Program	No
Land Use Program	No
Public Education/Awareness	No
Property Acquisition	No
Planning/Zoning Boards	No
Stream Maintenance Program	No
Tree Trimming Program	No
<i>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)</i>	No
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)</i>	No
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)</i>	Yes
Flood Insurance Maps	No
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	No
Evacuation Route Map	No
<i>Critical Facilities Inventory</i>	No
<i>Vulnerable Population Inventory</i>	No
<i>Land Use Map</i>	No
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	
Building Code Official	No
Building Inspector	No
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	No

Engineer	No
Development Planner	No
Public Works Official	No
Emergency Management Director	No
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Yes
Emergency Response Team	No
Hazardous Materials Expert	No
Local Emergency Planning Committee	No
County Emergency Management Commission	No
Sanitation Department	No
Transportation Department	Yes
Economic Development Department	No
Housing Department	No
Historic Preservation	No
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	No
Salvation Army	No
Veterans Groups	No
Local Environmental Organization	No
Homeowner Associations	No
Neighborhood Associations	No
Chamber of Commerce	No
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	Yes, Community Betterment
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	Yes
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes, with voter approval
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes
Impact fees for new development	No
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes, with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes, with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through private activities	Yes, with voter approval
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	No

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	
Builder's Plan	
Capital Improvement Plan	

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Schools Districts and Educational Institutions

COUNTY: LIVINGSTON

SCHOOL DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON COUNTY RIII

RETURN BY:

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. ***A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.*** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process ***will not*** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: CRAIG RAILSBACK

PHONE: 660-639-3135

EMAIL: CRAILSBACK@CHULASCHOOL.ORG DATE: 1/10/26

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

Capability Assessment & Incorporation of Existing Plans, Studies, Reports, and Technical Information

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan.

Please indicate which of the following your school district / institution has in place. For elements that do not pertain to you, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your school district / institution has any of the ***underlined and bold*** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document to the contact indicated on the front*** to the contact listed on page 1.

PLANNING ELEMENTS	YES/NO	DATE OF LATEST VERSION	COMMENTS
Master Plan			
Capital Improvement Plan			
<i>School Emergency Plan</i> - Shelter in Place Protocols - Evacuation Protocols	YES	AUGUST 2025	
Weapons Policy	YES	JULY 2025	
Personnel Resources	YES/NO	DEPARTMENT/ POSITION	COMMENTS
Full-Time Building Official	YES	ADMINISTRATOR	
Emergency Manager			
Grant Writer	NO		
Public Information Officer			

Financial Resources	YES/NO	COMMENTS
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Y	
Local Funds	Y	
General Obligation Bonds		
Special Tax Bonds		
Private Activities/Donations		
State and Federal Funds	Y	

Additional Capabilities Questions

- Are your buildings equipped with a public address (PA) system or other emergency alert system? Please describe.
The school building has a PA system. The building is also equipped with emergency alerts (fire, tornado, etc.)
- Does your school buildings' have NOAA Weather Radios? No.
- List any past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect facilities or provide education regarding hazards that could occur.
The school participates in drills for emergencies. No projects at this time.
- List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities.
No projects at this time.

5. Do any of your buildings have designated tornado shelters or “saferooms”? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards? No

6. Did your school district / institution make any additions to buildings or construction new buildings since the last plan update? Please list the buildings and the improvement.
NO

7. Does your school district / institution plan to remodel or construct any buildings in the next 5 years? If so, please list the building or proposed building and planned improvements. Are any planned construction activities in known hazard areas?
Possible improvements could be roofs. Possible new building for a gym.

8. What percentage is your projected enrollment expected to increase or decrease in the next five years? Decrease by 10-15%

9. Do you have your own campus police? Please explain your police department or who you rely on for security needs. Livingston County School Resource Officer

10. What is your total exposure:
 - a. Buildings- all elements
 - b. Contents-playgrounds

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards			
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF			Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF			Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF			Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ			Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS			Tornadoes – T
Wildfire - W			

Please list buildings owned by your school district / institution including the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable or not available, enter “N/A”. Add as many rows as needed. *If you have this data in GIS formats, or other formats, please provide in lieu of this.*

Name of Asset	Address		Natural Hazards
Main school building	205 waite st.	Chula, MO 64635	LF, DF, EQ, W, ET, ST, SWW, T
Garage, storage shed, greenhouse	205 waite st.	Chula, MO 64635	LF, DF, EQ, W, ET, ST, SWW
Property without buildings. Approximately 1 acre	205 waite st.	Chula, MO 64635	W, D, ET, ST, SWW

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	Livingston County RIII
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	We do not have any events to record that have not previously been recorded.

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction:

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Data Collection Questionnaire for Special Districts

County: Livingston County

Special District Name: Livingston County Ambulance District

Return by: _____

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. ***A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.*** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process ***will not*** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

Prepared by: Eva Danner Horton

Phone: 660-247-1646

Email: evadanner@sbcglobal.net

Date: 8/29/25

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Fax: (660) 776-3900 Attn: Amanda

ELEMENT	YES, NO, N/A	COMMENTS AND/OR WEBLINK
Mutual Aid Agreements	yes	
Other:		
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS		
Evacuation Route Map	yes	
Critical Facilities Inventory	yes	
STAFF/DEPARTMENT		FULL TIME OR PART TIME?
FINANCIAL RESOURCES		IS YOUR JURISDICTION ABLE TO? YES OR NO
Fund projects thru Capital Improvements funding		no
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services		no
Incur debt through general obligation bonds		no
Incur debt through special tax bonds		no
Incur debt through private activities		no
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas		no

Additional Questions

1. How is your Special District structure organized? (Board of Directors, Commission, how many members)

Our district is organized by a Board of Directors comprised of six members, one from each of the respective election districts on Livingston County.

2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.
3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.
4. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A"). In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide.

Critical Facilities

[illegible]

HISTORIC HAZARD EVENTS

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	

ASSESSMENT OF PREVIOUSLY PROPOSED ACTIONS

Jurisdiction:

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep - ✓ Delete - X Modify - M
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Data Collection Questionnaire for Special Districts

County: Livingston

Special District Name: Chillicothe Fire Protection District #1

Return by: _____

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. **A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process **will not** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

Prepared by: Ed Daugherty (President)

Phone: 660-752-6222

Email: daughertyfixit@gmail.com

Date: 9-13-25

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Fax: (660) 776-3900 Attn: Amanda

ELEMENT	YES, NO, N/A	COMMENTS AND/OR WEBLINK
Mutual Aid Agreements	N/A	
Other: <i>Mutual Aid/City of Chillicothe Contract</i>	Y	
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS		
Evacuation Route Map	N/A	
Critical Facilities Inventory	N/A	
STAFF/DEPARTMENT		FULL TIME OR PART TIME?
FINANCIAL RESOURCES		IS YOUR JURISDICTION ABLE TO? YES OR NO
Fund projects thru Capital Improvements funding		N
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services		N
Incur debt through general obligation bonds		N
Incur debt through special tax bonds		N
Incur debt through private activities		N
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas		N

Additional Questions

1. How is your Special District structure organized? (Board of Directors, Commission, how many members)
2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.
3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.
4. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide.

Critical Facilities

[illegible]

HISTORIC HAZARD EVENTS

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	

ASSESSMENT OF PREVIOUSLY PROPOSED ACTIONS

Jurisdiction:

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CB - 2020.1	HAZARD EDUCATION FOR THOSE INVOLVED IN LAND DEVELOPMENT					
CB - 2020.2	Mitigation Education				Will be changed to mitigation education	M
CB - 2020.3	WEATHER ALERTS					
CB - 2020.4	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CB - 2020.5	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CB - 2020.6	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CB - 2020.7	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CB - 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CB - 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS					
CB - 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					
CB - 2020.11	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT				No longer covered in plan	X
CB - 2020.12	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC				No longer covered in plan	X

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete – C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CME - 2020.1	HAZARD EDUCATION FOR THOSE INVOLVED IN LAND DEVELOPMENT					
CME - 2020.2	Mitigation Education				Will be changed to mitigation education	M
CME - 2020.3	WEATHER ALERTS					
CME - 2020.4	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CME - 2020.5	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CME - 2020.6	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CME - 2020.7	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CME - 2020.8	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					
CME - 2020.9	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CME - 2020.10	STORM SHELTERS					
CME - 2020.11	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
CME - 2020.12	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT				No longer covered in plan	X

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep -- ✓ Delete -- X Modify -- M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CM - 2020.1	HAZARD EDUCATION FOR THOSE INVOLVED IN LAND DEVELOPMENT					
CM - 2021.2	Mitigation Education				Will be changed to mitigation education	M
CM - 2020.3	WEATHER ALERTS					
CM - 2020.4	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CM - 2020.5	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CM - 2020.6	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CM - 2020.7	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CM - 2020.8	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
CM - 2020.9	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CM - 2020.10	STORM SHELTERS					
CM - 2020.11	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT				No longer covered in plan	X
CM - 2020.12	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC				No longer covered in plan	X

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CLI - 2020.1	Mitigation Education				Will be changed to mitigation education	M
CLI - 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS					
CLI - 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CLI - 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CLI - 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CLI - 2020.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CLI - 2020.7	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
CLI - 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CLI - 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS					
CLI - 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					
CLI - 2020.11	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT				No longer covered in plan	X
CLI - 2020.12	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC				No longer covered in plan	X

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CB - 2020.1	HAZARD EDUCATION FOR THOSE INVOLVED IN LAND DEVELOPMENT					
CB - 2020.2	Mitigation Education				Will be changed to mitigation education	M
CB - 2020.3	WEATHER ALERTS					
CB - 2020.4	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CB - 2020.5	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CB - 2020.6	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CB - 2020.7	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CB - 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CB - 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS					
CB - 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					
CB - 2020.11	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT				No longer covered in plan	X
CB - 2020.12	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC				No longer covered in plan	X

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CBU - 2020.1	Mitigation Education				Will be changed to mitigation education	M
CBU - 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS					
CBU - 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CBU - 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CBU - 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CBU - 2021.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CBU - 2020.7	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
CBU - 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CBU - 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS					
CBU - 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					
CBU - 2020.11	VULNERABLE POPULATION IDENTIFICATION					
CBU - 2020.12	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC				No longer covered in plan	X

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CL - 2020.1	Mitigation Education				Will be changed to mitigation education	M
CL - 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS					
CL - 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CL - 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CL - 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CL - 2020.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CL - 2020.7	VULNERABLE POPULATION IDENTIFICATION					
CL - 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CL - 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS					
CL - 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					
CL - 2020.11	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
CL - 2020.12	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC				No longer covered in plan	X

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
2020.1	HAZARD EDUCATION FOR THOSE INVOLVED IN LAND DEVELOPMENT		✓			
2020.2	Mitigation Education		✓		Modified from preparedness education to mitigation	M
2020.3	WEATHER ALERTS	✓				
2020.4	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION	✓				
2020.5	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP/ GENERATORS	✓				
2020.6	DEBRIS REMOVAL	City	Has stopped			
2020.7	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS		✓			
2020.8	STORM SHELTERS / SAFE ROOMS			✓		
2020.9	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING		✓			
2020.10	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Part of 2026 plan update	C
2020.11	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT	C			No longer included in plan	X
2020.12	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC	C			No longer included in plan	X
2020.13	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)	C			No longer include in plan	X

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: Livingston

JURISDICTION: City of Chillicothe

RETURN BY: _____

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. **A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process **will not** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: Amy Hess - City Clerk

PHONE: 660-646-1877

EMAIL: cityclerk@chillicothe-city.org DATE: _____

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the **underlined and bold** elements, **please provide a copy of the document** to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<u>Comprehensive Plan</u>	No
Builder's Plan	No
Capital Improvement Plan	Yes
City Emergency Operations Plan	Yes
County Emergency Operations Plan	No
Local Recovery Plan	No
County Recovery Plan	No
City Mitigation Plan	Yes, Livingston HMP
County Mitigation Plan	Yes
Debris Management Plan	
<u>Economic Development Plan</u>	Yes - Contracted
Transportation Plan	Yes
Land-use Plan	No

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	No
Watershed Plan	No
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	No
School Mitigation Plan	No
Critical Facilities Plan	No
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	Yes - online
Building Code	Yes - online
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes - online
Subdivision Ordinance	Yes - online
Tree Trimming Ordinance	No
Nuisance Ordinance	Yes - online
Stormwater Ordinance	Yes - online
Drainage Ordinance	Yes - online
Site Plan Review Requirements	Yes
Historic Preservation Ordinance	Yes - online
Landscape Ordinance	No
Seismic Construction Ordinance	No
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	Yes
Codes Building Site/Design	Yes
Hazard Awareness Program	No
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	Yes
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	NO
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	Yes

Firewise Community Certification	No
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	No
ISO Fire Rating	Yes, 3
Economic Development Program	No
Land Use Program	No
Public Education/Awareness	Yes, Weather spotter, Fire Prevention Week + Knox Day
Property Acquisition	No
Planning/Zoning Boards	Yes
Stream Maintenance Program	No
Tree Trimming Program	No
Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)	No
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)	No
Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)	Yes
Flood Insurance Maps	Yes
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	Yes
Evacuation Route Map	No
Critical Facilities Inventory	Yes
Vulnerable Population Inventory	No
Land Use Map	Yes
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?	
Building Code Official	Yes - Full time
Building Inspector	Yes - Full time
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	Yes - GIS - contracted

Engineer	Yes - Contracted
Development Planner	No
Public Works Official	Yes
Emergency Management Director	Yes
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Yes - Code officer full-time
Emergency Response Team	No
Hazardous Materials Expert	No
Local Emergency Planning Committee	No
County Emergency Management Commission	No
Sanitation Department	Yes
Transportation Department	Yes
Economic Development Department	Yes - contracted mutual aid agreements
Housing Department	No
Historic Preservation	Yes
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	No
Salvation Army	Yes
Veterans Groups	Yes
Local Environmental Organization	No
Homeowner Associations	?
Neighborhood Associations	No
Chamber of Commerce	Yes
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	Yes - Elks, Kiwanis, Eagles, Lions, Mays, KofC, 4-H, Boy + Girl Scouts, Ducks Unlimited, Quails Unlimited, Main Street Chillicothe, Ministerial Alliance, Chillicothe Car Club, Gardening Club, Festival of Lights
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	IAFF Local 2060, Livingston County Career Assistance.
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	Yes
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes
Impact fees for new development	Yes
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes
Ability to incur debt through private activities	Yes
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	Yes

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	
Builder's Plan	
Capital Improvement Plan	

Local Recovery Plan	
County Recovery Plan	
Debris Management Plan	
Economic Development Plan	
Transportation Plan	
Land-use Plan	
Watershed Plan	
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members) Mayor & City Council (Councilman-AT-LARGE, 1st ward, 2nd ward, 3rd ward + 4th ward)
2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.
3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.

4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community? 11 (eleven)

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)?

PD dispatch

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe. Code Red = Mass Text. Soon to

be changed to Civic Plus

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

Please provide address locations:

City Fire Station, Livingston County Courthouse,
Chillicothe City Hall + First Baptist Church.

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.

Expanding a new Industrial Park on the east side of city.

9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

Unknown

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees.

City of Chillicothe, RHE School System, Hechick Medical Center,
Mo Dept of Corrections, Donaldsonville Field, Mansura,
Wire Rope, Wal-Mart, Lewis, + Toppan

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

* Our Fire Dept now consists of these

Changes:

2 captains to 3 captains

Delete Battalion Chief + Billing Clerk

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire - W	

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. **Essential facilities** are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. **High potential loss facilities** are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. **Transportation and lifeline facilities** are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or inoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
<i>Essential Facilities such as hospitals and other medical facilities, police and fire stations, Emergency Operations Centers</i>		
Hedrick Medical Center	2799 N. Washington	
Chillicothe Fire Station	700 2nd Street	
Chillicothe Police Station	613 Walnut (April 2026 will be at 624 Cherry)	
Hedrick Family Care	2791 N. Washington	
Urgent Care Chillicothe	245 S. Washington	
Northwest Health Chillicothe	300 Business US-36	

Bella-Brock Adult Day Care 1115 2nd St.

High Potential Loss Facilities such as power plants, dams/levees, military installations, hazardous materials sites, shelters, day care centers, nursing homes, main government buildings (Do not include schools - they will be reported by the school districts)

Chillicothe Armory	1500 Washington St	
Legacy Living	500 Legacy Lane	
MorningSide Center	1700 MorningSide Drive	
Indian Hills (Stone Bridge Senior Living)	2601 N. Fair	
Livingston Manor	939 Birch Dr.	
General River Health	118 Trench Rd	
Transportation and Lifelines such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities		
OATS	607 US-36 Business	
Chillicothe Municipal Airport	13804 Liv 253	
CPKC		

Economic Assets (Major Employers, etc.)

[illegible]

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction: _____

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:


- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		

Q1 During the past five years have you experienced a natural disaster?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
Yes	57.14%	4	...
No	42.86%	3	...
Total		7	

Q2 If "YES" which of the following natural disasters have you experienced?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3



^ Collapse


Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
Dam Failure	0%	0	⋮
Drought	75.00%	3	⋮
Total		4	

Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire


Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
 Earthquake	0%	0	...
 Extreme Temperature	25.00%	1	...
 Flood	25.00%	1	...
 Severe Thunderstorm; High Wind, Lightning, and/or Hail	75.00%	3	...
 Severe Winter Weather	75.00%	3	...
 Tornado	25.00%	1	...
 Wildfire	0%	0	...
 Other (please specify) Show responses	0%	0	...
Total		4	

Q3 How concerned are you about the following natural disasters?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

	VERY CONCERNED	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	NEUTRAL	NOT VERY CONCERNED	NOT CONCERNED	TOTAL
Dam Failure	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	42.86% 3	7
Drought	0.00% 0	85.71% 6	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Earthquake	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	7
Extreme Temperatures	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Flood	28.57% 2	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Levee Failure	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	7
Severe Thunderstorm (Hail, High Winds, & Lightning)	42.86% 3	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Severe Winter Weather	42.86% 3	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Tornado	57.14% 4	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Wildfire	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	7

Q4 Have you ever received information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
Yes	28.57%	2	...
No	71.43%	5	...
Total		7	

Q5 If "Yes", how recently?


Answered: 2 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
Within the last 6 months.	0%	0	...
Between 6 - 12 months.	0%	0	...
Between 1 - 2 years.	0%	0	...
Between 2 - 5 years.	100.00%	2	...
5 years or more.	0%	0	...
Total		2	

Q6 From whom did you last receive information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3



^ Collapse


Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
● News Media	0%	0	...
● Government Agency	50.00%	2	...
● Utility Company	0%	0	...
● University or Research Institution	0%	0	...
● Neighbor/Friend/Family Member	0%	0	...
Total		4	

Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
 Elected Official	0%	0	...
 Non-Profit Organization (ex. American Red Cross)	0%	0	...
 Social Media	50.00%	2	...
 Show comments			
Total		4	

Q7 Whom would you most trust to provide you with information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



^ Collapse


Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
● News Media	42.86%	3	...
● Government Agency	57.14%	4	...
Total		7	

Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
 Insurance Agent or Company	42.86%	3	...
 Utility Company	57.14%	4	...
 University or Research Institution	71.43%	5	...
 Neighbor/Friend/Family Member	0%	0	...
 Elected Official	14.29%	1	...
 Non-Profit Organization	28.57%	2	...
 Social Media	14.29%	1	...
 Other (please specify) Show responses	0%	0	...
Total		7	

Q8 What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0




^ Collapse

Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
 Newspapers	0%	0	...
 Television	57.14%	4	...
 Radio	42.86%	3	...
 Internet	85.71%	6	...
 Schools	57.14%	4	...
 Books	0%	0	...
 Mail	42.86%	3	...
 Fact sheet/Brochure	42.86%	3	...
 Chamber of Commerce	0%	0	...
 Fire or Police Department	14.29%	1	...
 Public Workshops/Meetings	14.29%	1	...
 University or Research	14.29%	1	...
 Other (please specify) Show responses	0%	0	...
Total		7	

Q9 Prior to completing this survey, were you aware of your county's Hazard Mitigation Plan?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
Yes	28.57%	2	...
No	71.43%	5	...
Total		7	

Q10 Community assets are features, characteristics, or resources that either make a community unique or allow the community to function. Which of the following categories are most susceptible to the impacts caused by natural hazards in your community?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0




	1	2	3	4	5	6	TOTAL	SCORE
Human	71.43% 5	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	5.57
Economic	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	4.71
Infrastructure	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	3.71
Cultural/Historic	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	42.86% 3	28.57% 2	7	2.00
Environmental	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	7	3.57
Governance	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	71.43% 5	7	1.43

Q11 Next, we would like to know what specific types of community assets are most important to you.


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire


	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NEUTRAL	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Elder-care Facilities	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	57.14% 4	7	4.43
Schools (K-12)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	7	5.00
Hospitals	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	7	5.00
Major Bridges	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	85.71% 6	7	4.57
Fire/Police	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	85.71% 6	7	4.86
Museums/Historic Buildings	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	7	3.14
Small Businesses	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	42.86% 3	28.57% 2	7	4.00
City Hall	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	7	3.71
Courthouse	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	42.86% 3	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	7	3.57
Parks	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	42.86% 3	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	7	3.14

Q12 A number of activities can reduce your community's risk from natural hazards. These activities can be both regulatory and non-regulatory. Please check the box that best represents your opinion of the following strategies to reduce the risk and loss associated with natural disasters.


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0




Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEUTRAL	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
I support a regulatory approach to reducing risk	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	2.57
I support a non-regulatory approach to reducing risk	14.29% 1	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	2.14
I support a mix of both regulatory and non-regulatory approaches to reducing risk	0.00% 0	85.71% 6	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	2.14
I support policies to prohibit development in areas subject to natural hazards	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	2.43
I support the use of tax dollars (federal and/or local) to compensate landowners for not developing in areas subject to natural hazards	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	7	3.14
I support the use of local tax dollars to reduce risks and losses from natural disasters	0.00% 0	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	2.57
I support protecting historical and cultural structures	14.29% 1	42.86% 3	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	2.29
I would be willing to make my home more disaster resilient	0.00% 0	57.14% 4	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	2.43
I support steps to safeguard the local economy following a disaster event	0.00% 0	57.14% 4	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	2.43
I support improving the disaster preparedness of local schools	28.57% 2	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	1.86
I support a local inventory of at-risk buildings and infrastructure	14.29% 1	71.43% 5	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	2.14
I support the disclosure of natural hazard risks during real estate transactions	42.86% 3	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	1.86

Q13 Natural Hazards can have a significant impact on a community, but planning for these events can help lessen the impacts. The following statements will help determine citizen priorities regarding planning for natural hazards in your county. Please tell us how important each one is to you.


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




	VERY IMPORTANT	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	NEUTRAL	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	TOTAL
Protecting private property	100.00% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Protecting critical facilities (transportation networks, hospitals, fire stations)	100.00% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Preventing development in hazard prone areas	14.29% 1	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7
Enhancing the function of natural features (e.g. streams, wetlands)	28.57% 2	42.86% 3	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Protecting historical and cultural landmarks	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7
Protecting and reducing damage to utilities	85.71% 6	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Strengthening emergency services (e.g. police, fire, ambulance)	83.33% 5	16.67% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	6
Disclosing natural hazard risks during real estate transactions	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7
Promoting cooperation among public agencies, citizens, non-profit organizations, and businesses	42.86% 3	42.86% 3	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7

Q14 In the following, please check those activities that you have done in your household, plan to do in the near future, or are unable to do.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




Livingston County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

	HAVE DONE	PLAN TO DO	NOT DONE	UNABLE TO DO	(NO LABEL)	TOTAL
Attended meetings or received written information on natural disasters or emergency preparedness	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Talked with members in your household about what to do in case of a natural disaster or emergency	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Developed a "household/family emergency plan" in order to decide what everyone would do in the event of a disaster	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Prepared a "disaster supply kit" (stored extra food, water, batteries, or other emergency supplies)	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
In the last year, has anyone in your household been trained in First Aid or Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation (CPR)	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Prepared your home by having smoke detectors on each level of the house	71.43% 5	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Discussed or created a utility shutoff procedure in the event of a natural disaster	42.86% 3	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7

Q15 Gender?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
Male	42.86%	3	...
Female	57.14%	4	...
Total		7	

Q16 Please indicate your level of education.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
● Highschool Graduate/GED	0%	0	...
● Some College/Trade School	28.57%	2	...
● College Degree	14.29%	1	...
● Postgraduate Degree	57.14%	4	...
Total		7	

Q17 Zip Code

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0

Q18 How long have you lived in Livingston County?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
Less than one year	28.57%	2	...
1-4 years	0%	0	...
5-9 years	0%	0	...
10-19 years	28.57%	2	...
20 years or more	42.86%	3	...
Total		7	

Q19 Do you own or rent your home?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices ↓	Percentage ↓	Responses ↓	
Own	100.00%	7	...
Rent	0%	0	...
Total		7	

Q20 Please feel free to provide any additional comments in the space provided.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 7

Appendix D

- Critical Facilities (Redacted from Public Version)

Appendix E

- Resolutions of Adoption

RESOLUTION NO. R1-2026
City of Chillicothe, Missouri

A RESOLUTION OF THE City of Chillicothe ADOPTING THE Livingston County Multi - Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS the City of Chillicothe recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the City of Chillicothe; and

WHEREAS the City of Chillicothe has participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Livingston County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, hereafter referred to as the *Plan*, in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the *Plan* identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the City of Chillicothe from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS the City of Chillicothe recognizes that land use policies have a major impact on whether people and property are exposed to natural hazards, the City of Chillicothe will endeavor to integrate the *Plan* into the comprehensive planning process; and


WHEREAS adoption by the City of Chillicothe demonstrates their commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the *Plan*.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE City of Chillicothe, in the State of Missouri, THAT:

In accordance with local rules for adopting resolutions, the City of Chillicothe adopts the final *FEMA-approved Plan*.

ADOPTED by a vote of 5 in favor and 0 against, and 0 abstaining, this 26th day of January, 2026.

By (Sig): 
Print name: Theresa Kelly - Mayor

ATTEST:
By (Sig.): 
Print name: Amy Hess - City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By (Sig.): _____
Print name: _____

County of Livingston, Missouri RESOLUTION NO. 012226

A RESOLUTION OF THE County of Livingston ADOPTING THE Livingston County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS the County of Livingston recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the County of Livingston; and

WHEREAS the County of Livingston has participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Livingston County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, hereafter referred to as the *Plan*, in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the *Plan* identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the County of Livingston from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS the County of Livingston recognizes that land use policies have a major impact on whether people and property are exposed to natural hazards, the County of Livingston will endeavor to integrate the *Plan* into the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS adoption by the County of Livingston demonstrates their commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the *Plan*.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE County of Livingston, in the State of Missouri, THAT:

In accordance with local rules for adopting resolutions, the County of Livingston adopts the final FEMA-approved *Plan*.

ADOPTED by a vote of 3 in favor and 0 against, and 0 abstaining, this 22nd day of January, 2020.

By (Sig): ED Douglas

Print name: ED Douglas

ATTEST:

By (Sig.): Dennis Hicks

Print name: Dennis Hicks

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By (Sig.): Bryce Anderson

Print name: Bryce Anderson