

Appendix A: Sources

- 2020 Block Geography (US Census Bureau) & National Land Cover Dataset (MRLC)
- American Meteorological Society
- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations
- County and local Comprehensive Plans to the extent available
- County Emergency Management
- County Flood Insurance Rate Map, FEMA
- Data Collection Questionnaires completed by each jurisdiction.
- Decennial Census
- DESE
- Department of Geography
- Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc.
- Environmental Protection Agency
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
- Flood Insurance Administration
- Flood Insurance Study, FEMA
- Hazards US (HAZUS)
- Iowa Department of Natural Resources
- Carroll County LEPC
- Missouri Department of Commerce & Insurance
- Missouri Department of Conservation
- Missouri Department of Health and Human Services; health.mo.gov
- Missouri Department of Natural Resources
- Missouri Department of Transportation
- Missouri Division of Fire Marshal Safety
- Missouri Hazard Mitigation Plans (2013, 2018, and 2023)
- Missouri Public Service Commission
- National Agricultural Statistics Service (Agriculture production/losses)
- National Centers for Environmental Information
- National Drought Mitigation Center Drought Reporter
- National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS)
- National Inventory of Dams
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI);
- National Weather Service
- NFIP Community Status Book
- Oxford Brooks University
- Previously approved Grundy County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2021)
- Purdue University
- SEMA
- SILVIS Lab, Department of Forest Ecology and Management, University of Wisconsin
- State of Missouri GIS data
- Tornado and Storm Research Organization (TORRO)
- United States Geological Survey (USGS)
- US Army Corps of Engineers
- US Bureau of the Census and Annual population estimates

- US Community Survey, 2023
- US Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Risk Management Agency Crop Insurance Statistics
- US Department of Transportation
- US Drought Monitor
- US Fish and Wildlife Service
- www.tornadochaser.net
- www.weather.gov

1:30-2:00pm

HMP Meeting # 1 for Grundy County 8/26/2025

[illegible]

10:00 AM - 10:10 AM

HMP Meeting # 1 for Grundy County 8/27/2025

[illegible]

HMP Meeting # 2 for GRUNDY County 9/10/2025

[illegible]

1000 AM - 1015 AM.

HMP Meeting # 2 for Grand County 9/11 /2025

[illegible]

County: Greene County Date&Time: 10/28/2025 10Am - 10:30 Am

Date&Time:

10Am - 10:30 am

[illegible]

1:30 pm - 2:30 pm

HMP Meeting # 3 for Garundy County 10/7/2025

[illegible]

- * send questionnaire
- * booklet

10AM - 10:15AM

$$\frac{10}{8}$$
[illegible]

County: Grundy

Date & Time: 1/12/2026

6:00pm - 6:30pm

[illegible]

Agenda – Grundy County Meeting #1

August 26 & 27, 2025

- Start Meeting
- Introductions (complete sign-in sheet)
- What is Hazard Mitigation Planning?
 - Existing Plan
 - Update every 5 years
 - Requirement for HMGP grants
- Planning process
 - 3 meetings
 - Outreach and Hazard Identification (This meeting)
 - Risk Assessment & Mitigation Strategies – What are the vulnerabilities within our jurisdiction and Mitigation Strategies that could reduce risk from these hazards
 - Action Prioritization; Reviewing and Adopting the Plan; and Plan Upkeep
- To be a participating jurisdiction, you must do the following:
 - Complete jurisdictional questionnaire (County, School Districts, Cities & Villages, and Special Districts)
 - Attend at least one meeting
 - Provide suggestions about the plan, develop actions that address every hazard your jurisdiction faces, and participate in the planning process
 - Review and Adopt the plan
- Outreach
 - We (GHRPC) have sent letters, emails, and made phone calls to potential stakeholders county wide
 - Public Survey – Please complete and Share on social media
 - Share with Members of the public
 - Share with Employees of the school, city, village, etc.
- Identify Hazards
 - Email – “Hazard Identification for Grundy County”
 - Go through this worksheet
- Questions?

Agenda – Grundy County

Meeting #2

- Start Meeting
- Introductions and Sign-In Sheet
- Brief Description of Hazard Mitigation Process
 - Mitigation – actions taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risks to life and property due to natural disasters
 - Involves identifying risks and vulnerabilities
 - Developing strategies to minimize the impact of disasters
 - Mitigation is important, as it is essential for breaking the cycle of damage and repair (which can be costly)
- The Hazard Mitigation Planning Process
 - Risk Assessment (4 components)
 - Hazard Identification (Meeting #1)
 - Profiling of Hazard Events (Meeting #1)
 - Inventory of Assets
 - Estimation of potential human and economic losses based on exposure and vulnerability of people, buildings, and infrastructure
 - Develop a Mitigation Strategy for each potential hazard
 - This will be based on the risk assessment and hazard identification
- Adopt and Implement the Plan



Green Hills Regional Planning Commission ...

Published by Brandy Stretch Jones · Just now ·

Grundy County your Hazard Mitigation Plan is now ready for public feedback. Please review the plan and let us know what you think.

Click Here to review the plan and leave feedback.
<https://ghrpc.org/about-us/ghrpc/programs/hazard-mitigation/#grundycountymo #hmp #ghrpc>

Edit Boost post

Like Comment Share



No comments yet
Be the first to comment.

Comment as Green Hills Regional Plan...



Grundy County
Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is
now ready for public feedback.



HAZARD

MITIGATION

PLANNING EVENT

IS YOUR COMMUNITY
PREPARED FOR DISASTER?

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

THE GRUNDY COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN IS BEING UPDATED. PLEASE
ATTEND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE!

MEETING #1	MEETING #2	MEETING #3
AUGUST 26, 2025	SEPT. 10, 2025	OCTOBER 7, 2025
1:30-3	1:30-3	1:30-3
1307 E. 17 TH ST. TRENTON, MO	1307 E. 17 TH ST. TRENTON, MO	1307 E. 17 TH ST. TRENTON, MO

ZOOM LINK AVAILABLE ON GHRPC.ORG WEBSITE FOR THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS

- VIRTUAL MEETING #1: AUGUST 27 10AM-12PM
- VIRTUAL MEETING #2: SEPTEMBER 11 10AM-12PM
- VIRTUAL MEETING #3: OCTOBER 8 10AM-12PM

Link to Public Survey



Contact Green Hills Regional Planning Commission for more information. (660) 359-5636 ext 11
or amanda@ghrpc.org for more information.

Green Hills Regional Planning Commission

Published by Brandy Stretch Jones
October 6 at 8:54 AM · 🌐

It's not too late to participate in Grundy County's Hazard Mitigation Planning. The final meeting is taking place this week!!! Tomorrow October 7th, at the Grundy County Health Department Annex building at 1307 E 17th Street in Trenton.

#ghrpc #hmp #grundycountymo #TrentonMO
#galtmo #spickardmo #laredomo #tindallmo
#northcentralmissouricollege

Edit Boost post

1

Like Comment Share



No comments yet
Be the first to comment.

Comment as Green Hills Regional Plan...



Hazard Identification for Grundy County

Jurisdiction: _____

Use this worksheet to identify which hazards can affect your community. Not all hazards apply to the planning area. For the ones that do, describe how they have been an issue in the past, or if they are a future concern.

Instructions: Describe where there may be recurring problems that you would like to see addressed.

Hazard	Is this a hazard for your community? Yes/No	If yes, briefly describe how. Think about specific locations or recurring issues that you know of in your community.	
Dam Failure	Yes	Trenton: Lake: Trenton Dam County: Leisure lake dam condition EMS: Disruption to transportation routes	
Drought	Yes	County: economic losses from drought County: increased wildfire risks Industry: Shutdowns due to water restrictions	
Earthquake	Yes	County: poor condition buildings and bridges may be impacted Hospital: Influx of patients, resources needed elsewhere Industry: supply chain issues	
Extreme Temperatures (Hot and Cold)	Yes	Eastview: Strain on elderly, power outages County: electric grid stability Hospital: increased numbers of people needing care	
Flood (Riverine & Flash)	Yes	EMS: disruption to roads County: strain on budgets from repairs	
Severe Thunderstorms	Hail	EMS: Danger to people, livestock County: loss of crops and economy	
	Lightning	Laredo: loss of critical equipment due to strikes County: damage from strikes EMS: Injuries Industry: damage Eastview: Damage	
	Severe Wind	Laredo: Power outages to critical facilities EMS: medically dependent people without power	
Severe Winter Weather	Yes	EMS: Injuries, deaths County: Economic loss Eastview: power outages Hospital: staffing challenges Industry: staffing challenges	

Tornado	Yes	All: damage to buildings, power and communications outages, Deaths and injuries
Wildfire	Yes	All: limited impact unless the wildfire hits facility
If there are additional hazards that you would like to include, please add them here.		

Hazard Identification for Grundy County

Jurisdiction: _____

Use this worksheet to identify which hazards can affect your community. Not all hazards apply to the planning area. For the ones that do, describe how they have been an issue in the past, or if they are a future concern.

Instructions: Describe where there may be recurring problems that you would like to see addressed.

Hazard	Is this a hazard for your community? Yes/No	If yes, briefly describe how. Think about specific locations or recurring issues that you know of in your community.	Severe Thunderstorms		Severe Winter Weather
			Hail	Lightning	
Dam Failure	Yes	Trenton: Lake: Trenton Dam County: Leisure lake dam condition EMS: Disruption to transportation routes	Yes		
Drought	Yes	County: economic losses from drought County: increased wildfire risks Industry: Shutdowns due to water restrictions	Yes		
Earthquake	Yes	County: poor condition buildings and bridges may be impacted Hospital: Influx of patients, resources needed elsewhere Industry: supply chain issues			
Extreme Temperatures (Hot and Cold)	Yes	Eastview: Strain on elderly, power outages County: electric grid stability Hospital: increased numbers of people needing care Industry: loss of production/economic losses			
Flood (Riverine & Flash)	Yes	EMS: disruption to roads County: strain on budgets from repairs			
Severe Thunderstorms			Hail	Yes	Yes
			Lightning	Yes	Yes
			Severe Wind	Yes	Yes
					Yes



Green Hills Regional Planning Commission

For Immediate Release:

Grundy County, MO – The Green Hills Regional Planning Commission (GHRPC) is pleased to announce that the Grundy County Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) is now available for public review on the GHRPD website at ghrpc.org. This plan is an important step in reducing the impact of natural hazards in Grundy County and guiding future mitigation activities across the region.

The Hazard Mitigation Plan identifies risks and vulnerabilities and outlines practical steps to lessen the effects of emergencies, including severe weather events such as floods, tornadoes, snowstorms, and thunderstorms. It provides a coordinated approach to emergency preparedness, response, and recovery, ensuring that local governments, residents, and businesses are better equipped to handle potential disasters.

Grundy County residents and stakeholders are encouraged to review the draft plan and provide feedback through the avenues specified on the GHRPC website. Public input is vital to create a robust and actionable plan that accurately reflects the community's needs and priorities. The plan also helps the County and participating municipalities qualify for federal funding for mitigation projects and community safety initiatives.

For more information, or to submit comments, visit the **Green Hills Regional Planning Commission website** at ghrpc.org or contact the Green Hills Regional Planning Commission at 660-359-5636 ext. 11 or email: amanda@ghrpc.org.



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

July 2nd, 2025

On behalf of the County, you are invited to participate in updating the Grundy County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan. **Jurisdictions (including county and city governments, public school districts, and special districts) that do not participate in an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan are NOT eligible to apply for FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Assistance grants.**

**Grundy County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Grundy County Health Department Annex
1307 E 17th Street
Trenton, MO 64683
August 26th 1:30pm – 3pm**

Your County Commissioners are working to update the County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan to better protect the people and property of your County from the effects of natural hazard events. The existing plan was approved by FEMA on September 20, 2021. The plan update will be prepared pursuant to the requirements of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-390) and the implementing regulations. These regulations establish the requirements that hazard mitigation plans must meet for the County and the participating jurisdictions in the County to be eligible for certain federal disaster assistance and hazard mitigation funding under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Act (Public Law 93-288). Because the County is subject to many kinds of hazards, access to these federal programs is vital.

What is a Hazard Mitigation Plan?

A hazard mitigation plan is the result of a planning process which identifies policies and actions that can be implemented over the long term to reduce the risk and future losses resulting from natural hazard events. The Grundy County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update will address a comprehensive list of natural hazards likely to impact each County. The identified mitigation policies and actions will be based on an assessment of natural hazards, vulnerabilities, and risks.

The hazard mitigation planning process is also heavily dependent on the participation of representatives from local government agencies and departments, the public, and other stakeholder groups. A Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee is typically formed to support this project and will include representatives from the County, cities, school districts, private-non-profit entities, business partners, academic institutions, and other local, state, and federal agencies acting in or serving in the County.

What is My Role in the Planning Process?

The Green Hills Regional Planning Commission has taken the lead in updating this plan. The point of contact is Amanda George, Hazard Mitigation Specialist. To successfully complete this project and ensure your organization is eligible for FEMA hazard mitigation assistance funding, we need your participation and input. Jurisdictions (including county and city governments, public school districts, and special districts) that do not participate in an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan are NOT eligible to apply for FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Assistance grants. Participation in the planning process will include:

- Contribute to the informational planning meeting and/or planning process;
- Providing requested data (as available);
- Reviewing and providing comments on plan drafts;
- Advertising, coordinating, and participating in the public input process; and
- Formal adoption of the plan which encompasses your submitted information.

What can I expect by participating in the informational meeting and planning process?

You will be provided with information on what activities are required to be performed to be included in the plan. Participation activities include the following:

- Participating jurisdictions will be required to complete as much of the data questionnaire as possible and return, complete critical/essential facilities, and non-governments employer form.
- Risk Assessment: Review and provide comments on the risk assessment.
- Mitigation Strategy: Updating of existing mitigation actions and identification and development of new mitigation strategies based upon the risk assessment will be completed.

Additional Resources

The following links provide additional information on hazard mitigation and the planning process.

- County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan specific to each county,
- The requirements and procedures for state, tribal, and local mitigation plans as presented in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 44, Chapter 1, Part 201, <http://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/risk-management/hazard-mitigation-planning/regulations-guidance>
- Local Hazard Mitigation Planning Fact Sheet, enclosed for your reference.

Thank you,



Amanda George
Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist
Green Hills Regional Planning Commission
Office: (660) 359-5636 Ext. 25, Text: (660) 953-0815
email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Enclosures

HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING EVENT

IS YOUR COMMUNITY
PREPARED FOR DISASTER?

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

THE GRUNDY COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN IS BEING UPDATED. PLEASE ATTEND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE!

MEETING #1
AUGUST 26, 2025
1:30-3
1307 E. 17TH ST.
TRENTON, MO

MEETING #2
SEPT. 10, 2025
1:30-3
1307 E. 17TH ST.
TRENTON, MO

MEETING #3
OCTOBER 7, 2025
1:30-3
1307 E. 17TH ST.
TRENTON, MO

ZOOM LINK AVAILABLE ON GHRPC.ORG WEBSITE FOR THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS

VIRTUAL MEETING #1: AUGUST 27 10AM-12PM

VIRTUAL MEETING #2: SEPTEMBER 11 10AM-12PM

VIRTUAL MEETING #3: OCTOBER 8 10AM-12PM

Link to Public Survey



Contact Green Hills Regional Planning Commission for more information. (660) 359-5636 ext 11
or amanda@ghrpc.org for more information.

- Neighboring Communities:
 - City of Jamesport
 - City of Gilman City
- Local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities:
 - E.L.B Rural Fire Department
 - Laredo Fire Protection District
 - Galt Fire Protection District
 - Grundy County Rural Fire District
 - Spickard Fire Protection District
 - Trenton Fire Department
 - Jamesport Rural Fire Protection District
 - Grundy County Sheriff's Office
 - Wright Memorial Physician's Group
 - Mosaic Life Care
 - Saint Luke's Green Hills Medical Clinic
 - Wright Memorial Hospital
 - Grundy County Emergency Manager
- Agencies with the authority to regulate development:
 - City of Galt
 - City of Laredo
 - City of Spickard
 - Village of Tindall
 - City of Trenton
- Businesses & Academia
 - Grundy County R-V
 - Laredo R-VII
 - Pleasant View R-VI
 - Spickard R-II
 - Trenton R-IX
 - NCMC
 - Nestle
 - Modine Manufacturing
 - Mid-State Services
 - MFA Agri Services
 - Hy-Vee
 - Pettijohn Ford
 - MTO
 - Dollar General
 - Cobblestone Inn & Suites
- Other private and non-profit interests, including undeserved/vulnerable populations:
 - Sunnyview Nursing Home & Apt
 - Eastview Manor Care Center
 - Community Food Pantry-Grundy
 - Grundy County senior Center
 - Serve Link Home Care
 - Edinburg Baptist Church
 - Wesley United Methodist
 - Hodge Presbyterian Church
 - First Christian Church
 - First Assembly of God Church
 - St. Philip's Episcopal
 - Amazing Grace Baptist Church
 - Rural Dale Baptist Church
 - St. Joseph Parish
 - Seventh-day Adventist Church

 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Wright Memorial Hospital
191 Iowa Blvd
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310300
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Laredo
213 Main Street
Laredo, MO 64652

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312033
2000087110
ZIP 64652
AUG 06 2025





Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Gilman City
429 Main Street
Gilman City, MO 64642



Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Galt Fire Protection District
110 Main Street
Galt, MO



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310616
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Trenton Fire Department
1001 East 17th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁰
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311246
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Wright Memorial Physician's Group
189 Iowa Blvd
Trenton, MO 64683



Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

E.L.B Rural Fire Department
737 North West 25th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311248
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Grundy County Rural Fire District
1011 East 17th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312049
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683



Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Grundy County Ambulance District
1001 East 17th Street unit #1
Trenton, MO 64683

Mosaic Life Care
1707 E 9th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311069
2100067110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311066
2100067110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Grundy County R-V
205 SW Border Street
Galt, MO 64641

City of Trenton
1100 Main Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311068
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312053
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683


US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311242
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



City of Spickard
303 Jefferson Street
Spickard, MO 64679

Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PTNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311242
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Grundy County Emergency Manager
Glen Briggs
810 Washington Street
Trenton, MO 64683



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Galt
102 N Main Street
Galt, MO 64641

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310300
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Saint Luke's Green Hills Medical Clinic
3300 E 10th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311069
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Grundy County Sheriff
610 Main Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312048
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Pleasant view R-VI
128 SE 20th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311248
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹

US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310616
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Sunnyview Nursing Home & Apt
1311 E 28th Street
Trenon, MO 64683



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹

US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312048
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Community Food Pantry-Grundy
1703 Harris Ave
Trenton, MO 64683

 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Spickard R-II
105 N 4th Street
Spickard, MO 64679

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W002311068
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Cobblestone Inn & Suites
2900 Hoover Drive
Trenton, Mo 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W002312053
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Eastview Manor Care Center
1622 E 28th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W000231089
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Trenton R-IX
1607 Normal Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310816
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Laredo R-VII
106 W Main Street
Laredo, MO 64652

Amazing Grace Baptist Church
2619 Princeton Road,
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311088
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311246
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Seventh-day Adventist Church
311 E 9th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

Mid-States Services, LLC
4100 Oklahoma Ave
Trenton, Mo 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311248
2000097110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310616
2000097110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Petijohn Ford
1406 Oklahoma Ave
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311067
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Dollar General
301 S Main Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312048
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0

US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310916
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



HY-VEE

1617 E 9th Street
Trenton, MO 64683



Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES

\$0.74 0

US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310916
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Modine Manufacturing
822 Industrial Drive
Trenton, MO 64683



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Edinburg Baptist Church
530 NW Church Street
Trenon, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310818
2000082110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

First Christian Church
1700 Princeton Road
Trenon, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310300
2000082110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

St. Philip's Episcopal
201 E 9th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002310390
2000097110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

St. Joseph Parish
1728 St Joseph Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002310392
2000097110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Wesley United Methodist
113 E 9th Street
Trenon, MO 64683

Grundy County Senior Center
2901 Hoover Drive,
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.740
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311089
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



PITNEY BOWES
\$0.740
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312049
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312053
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Serve Link Home Care
1510 E 9th Street
Trenton, MO 64683



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312053
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Hodge Presbyterian Church
315 W Crowder Rd
Trenton, MO 64683

 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

NCMC
1301 Main Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310616
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Jamesport Rural Fire
P.O. Box 26
Jamesport, MO 64648

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310300
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0

US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311068
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



City of Jamesport
112 S Broadway Street
Jamesport, MO 64648



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0

US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312048
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Laredo Fire Protection District
203 East Main Street
Laredo, MO



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749

US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312048
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Spickard Fire Protection District
203 South 2nd Street
Spickard, MO



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749

US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312048
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



First Assembly of God Church
1107 E 11th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Rural Dale Baptist Church
618 NE Beryl Lane
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312164
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Nestle
1401 Harris Ave
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311246
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

MFA Agri Services
3031 Pleasant Plain
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002312049
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

MTO
1124 E 17th Street
Trenton, MO 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002310300
2000087110
ZIP 64683
AUG 06 2025



Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Data Collection Questionnaire for Special Districts

County: Grundy County Health Department

Special District Name: Local Public Health Agency

Return by:

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. *A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each “jurisdiction” that wishes to be included in the plan.* According to FEMA’s definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process *will not* be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

Prepared by: Elizabeth Gibson, GCHD Administrator

Phone: 660-359-4196

Email: egibson@grundycountyhealth.org

Date: October 9, 2025

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Fax: (660) 776-3900 Attn: Amanda

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT & INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your school district / institution has in place. For elements that do not pertain to you, please indicate with “N/A”. If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your school district / institution has any of the **underlined and bold** elements, **please provide a copy of the document to the contact indicated on the front** to the contact listed on page 1.

ELEMENT	YES, NO, N/A	COMMENTS AND/OR WEBLINK
PLANNING CAPABILITIES		
Capital Improvement Plan	Date: No	
Emergency Operations Plan	Date: 2024, yes	Reviewed annually
Continuity of Operations Plan	Date: 2024, yes	
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	Date: N/A	
Other:		
POLICIES		
PROGRAMS		
Cross-Connection Program	N/A	
Hydrant Flushing Program	N/A	
Public Education/Awareness	yes	Conducted on a variety of health and safety topics
Tree Trimming Program	N/A	

ELEMENT	YES, NO, N/A	COMMENTS AND/OR WEBLINK
Mutual Aid Agreements	yes	Region H LPHAs Region H Environmental Public Health Specialists
Other:		
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS		
Evacuation Route Map	N/A	
Critical Facilities Inventory	No	
STAFF/DEPARTMENT		FULL TIME OR PART TIME?
4 Nurses		Full-time
2 Nurses		Part-time/as needed
1 Administrator		Full-time
2 Clerks		Full-time
FINANCIAL RESOURCES		IS YOUR JURISDICTION ABLE TO? YES OR NO
Fund projects thru Capital Improvements funding		NoP
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services		No
Incur debt through general obligation bonds		No
Incur debt through special tax bonds		No

ELEMENT	YES, NO, N/A	COMMENTS AND/OR WEBLINK
Incur debt through private activities	No	
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	N/A	

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated in existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

PLANNING CAPABILITIES	METHOD OF INCORPORATION SINCE PREVIOUS PLAN OR CHALLENGES PREVENTING INCORPORATION
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN	N/A
EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLAN	Reviewed annually
CONTINUITY OF OPERATIONS PLAN	Reviewed every 5 years
FIREWISE OR OTHER FIRE MITIGATION PLAN SUCH AS COMMUNITY WILDFIRE PROTECTION PLAN	N/A

Additional Questions

1. How is your Special District structure organized? (Board of Directors, Commission, how many members)

Board of Trustees, 5 members

2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.

Public health risk assessment activities,

injury prevention,

CPR/AED/First Aid, family safety & preparedness,

child safety (e.g., helmets, car seats, fire safety, education on how to handle emergency situations),

chronic disease mitigation (e.g., preventing, delaying, and alleviated disease through lifestyle changes, regular screenings, and community-level interventions,

communicable disease mitigation (e.g., community surveillance activities, education to reduce transmission of infectious agents and protect communities from outbreaks)

3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.

N/A

4. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

N/A (have not previously participated)

VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate (by assigned abbreviation) which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to:

NATURAL HAZARDS	
Flooding (Major & Flash) - RF	Drought - D
Levee Failure - LF	Extreme Temperature - ET
Dam Failure - DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. winds, hail, lightning) - ST
Earthquake - EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) - SWW
Land Subsidence / Sinkholes - LSS	Tornadoes - T
Drought - D	Wildfire - WF

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. 'Essential facilities' are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. 'High potential loss facilities' are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. Transportation and lifeline facilities are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

ESSENTIAL FACILITIES	HIGH POTENTIAL LOSS FACILITIES	TRANSPORTATION AND LIFELINE
Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Hazardous material sites Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide.

Critical Facilities

[illegible]

NAME OF ASSET	ADDRESS	Area (sq. ft.)	Replacement Value (Insured) (\$)	Contents Value (\$)	Occupancy/ Capacity (#)	Natural Hazards
<u>High Potential Loss Facilities</u> such as power plants, dams/levees, hazardous materials sites, main government buildings (Do not include schools—they will be reported by the school districts)						
Health Department	1716 Lincoln St. Trenton, MO	6700	\$1.4 million	\$300,000	40	T, ST, EQ
<u>Transportation and Lifelines</u> such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities						
N/A						

*If replacement cost data is not available, use the best available data (assessed valuation or other method for estimating cost) and explain any data deficiencies.

HISTORIC HAZARD EVENTS

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	

Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

ASSESSMENT OF PREVIOUSLY PROPOSED ACTIONS

Jurisdiction:

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: GRUNDY COUNTY

JURISDICTION: CITY OF SPICKARD

RETURN BY: 1/9/2026

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. *A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.* According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process *will not* be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: AMANDA GEORGE & REBECCA KING

PHONE: 660-485-6106

EMAIL: SPICKARD@GRM.NET DATE: 1/9/2026

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the **underlined and bold** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document*** to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	<i>Status, Including Date of Document or Policy</i>
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<u>Comprehensive Plan</u>	n
Builder's Plan	n
Capital Improvement Plan	n
City Emergency Operations Plan	n
County Emergency Operations Plan	n
Local Recovery Plan	n
County Recovery Plan	n
City Mitigation Plan	n
County Mitigation Plan	Yes-2021
Debris Management Plan	N
<u>Economic Development Plan</u>	n
Transportation Plan	n
Land-use Plan	N

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	N
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	N
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	n
School Mitigation Plan	n
Critical Facilities Plan	n
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	n
Building Code	n
Floodplain Ordinance	n
Subdivision Ordinance	n
Tree Trimming Ordinance	n
Nuisance Ordinance	n
Stormwater Ordinance	n
Drainage Ordinance	n
Site Plan Review Requirements	N
Historic Preservation Ordinance	N
Landscape Ordinance	n
Seismic Construction Ordinance	n
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	n
Codes Building Site/Design	n
Hazard Awareness Program	n
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	N
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	N
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	N

Firewise Community Certification	n
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	n
ISO Fire Rating	n
Economic Development Program	n
Land Use Program	n
Public Education/Awareness	n
Property Acquisition	n
Planning/Zoning Boards	N
Stream Maintenance Program	n
Tree Trimming Program	n
<i>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)</i>	n
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes, fire district and sheriff's department
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)</i>	n
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)</i>	n
Flood Insurance Maps	y
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	y
Evacuation Route Map	n
<i>Critical Facilities Inventory</i>	y
<i>Vulnerable Population Inventory</i>	N
<i>Land Use Map</i>	N
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	
Building Code Official	N
Building Inspector	N
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	N

Engineer	N
Development Planner	N
Public Works Official	Y
Emergency Management Director	N
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	N
Emergency Response Team	N
Hazardous Materials Expert	N
Local Emergency Planning Committee	n
County Emergency Management Commission	n
Sanitation Department	n
Transportation Department	n
Economic Development Department	n
Housing Department	n
Historic Preservation	n
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	n
Salvation Army	n
Veterans Groups	n
Local Environmental Organization	n
Homeowner Associations	n
Neighborhood Associations	N
Chamber of Commerce	N
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	n
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	y
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	y
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes with voter approval
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes, water and sewer
Impact fees for new development	N
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through private activities	Yes with voter approval
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	N

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	n/a
Builder's Plan	n/a
Capital Improvement Plan	n/a

4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

none

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community? 1

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)?

Activated manually by city staff

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe.

no

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards? One designated shelter in the basement of the Spickard Christian Church, it is not constructed to FEMA standards.

Please provide address locations:

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.

n/a

9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.

The population has been declining for years. This trend is not expected to change.

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

no

Local Recovery Plan	n/a
County Recovery Plan	n/a
Debris Management Plan	n/a
Economic Development Plan	n/a
Transportation Plan	n/a
Land-use Plan	n/a
Watershed Plan	n/a
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	n/a

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members)
Mayor and 4 council members

2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.

n/a

3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.
none

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees. Spickard School – approximately 10 employees, City of Spickard – 2 employees, fire station with approximately 10 volunteers.

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?
unknown

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

Spickard was sanctioned by NFIP Program on February 7, 1976.

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire – W	

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. **Essential facilities** are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. **High potential loss facilities** are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. **Transportation and lifeline facilities** are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or inoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
Essential Facilities such as hospitals and other medical facilities, police and fire stations, Emergency Operations Centers		
n/a		

<i>High Potential Loss Facilities such as power plants, dams/levees, military installations, hazardous materials sites, shelters, day care centers, nursing homes, main government buildings (Do not include schools – they will be reported by the school districts)</i>	
n/a	
<i>Transportation and Lifelines such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities</i>	
N/A	

Economic Assets (Major Employers, etc.)

Asset	Address	Product/Service	Number of Employees	Hazards
Spickard School	City of Spickard	Education	Approx. 10	
Churches	Varies	Faith Services	3	
City of Spickard	City of Spickard	Government	2	

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

City of Spickard

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

City of Spickard						
#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CS 2020.1	Mitigation Education		x		Changed disaster education to mitigation education	M
CS 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS		x			✓
CS 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION			x	Lack of funding	✓
CS 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP Generator			x	Lack of funding	✓
CS 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL		x		Lack of staff and funding	✓
CS 2020.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS	x				C
CS 2020.7	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				Pandemic no longer covered in plan	X
CS 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x				C
CS 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS			x	Lack of funding	✓

CS 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING						
CS 2020.11	VULNERABLE POPULATION IDENTIFICATION						

2.2.3 City of Spickard

Spickard, (also known as Spickardsville, Oak River or Oak City) was named in honor of Captain G.A. Spickard, and was a railroad station and incorporated town on the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railroad, twelve miles north of Trenton, the county seat. It is situated on a bluff overlooking Weldon River. It was known when first settled as Oak River and later named Spickardsville in honor of Captain Spickard and the name was changed to Spickard in 1982. It had a graded school, a few churches, a flouring mill, a saw mill and bank, a weekly newspaper, a hotel and about thirty stores and miscellaneous business places.

As of the census of 2010, there were 254 people, 112 households, and 68 families residing in the city. The population density was 403.2 inhabitants per square mile (155.7/km²). There were 148 housing units at an average density of 234.9 per square mile (90.7/km²). The racial makeup of the city was 98.4% White, 0.4% from other races, and 1.2% from two or more races. Hispanic or Latino of any race was 1.6% of the population.

There were 112 households, of which 29.5% had children under the age of 18 living with them, 42.9% were married couples living together, 12.5% had a female householder with no husband present, 5.4% had a male householder with no wife present, and 39.3% were non-families. 32.1% of all households were made up of individuals, and 12.5% had someone living alone who was 65 years of age or older. The average household size was 2.27 and the average family size was 2.75.

The median age in the city was 41 years. 23.2% of residents were under the age of 18; 9.8% were between the ages of 18 and 24; 20.8% were from 25 to 44; 28.7% were from 45 to 64; and 17.3% were 65 years of age or older. The gender makeup of the city was 50.0% male and 50.0% female.

The City of Spickard has a total area of 0.63 square miles, all of which is land.

The City of Spickard is governed by a City Council and Mayor. The City Council includes 4 members and the Mayor, all of which serve 2 year terms, rotating years.

There are no reported past projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses. There have been no approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants as of November 2020.

The hazard-related concerns regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations (elderly, disabled, low-income, migrant farm workers) are those concerns associated with warning and disaster recovery and rebuilding from tornadoes and earthquakes, as well as drought and severe temperatures.

There is one outdoor warning siren in the community. The warning siren is located at the Fire Station. The warning siren is activated manually with a key by the city staff. The City of Spickard warns citizens of severe weather by the Fire District driving the fire trucks through the city streets with the sirens activated. The City does not utilize any other warning systems, with the exception of any personal citizen subscriptions that may be in effect for National Weather Service. Some citizens utilize personal social media platforms to obtain general warnings for the area. The City is aware that the warning siren should be updated, but the current budget does not support the purchase or installation of an early warning siren.

There is one designated public tornado shelter and/or safe room in the City, located in the basement of the Spickard Christian Church. The shelter is not built according to FEMA standards. The City is aware of the need for a community shelter or safe room but the current budget does not

support the construction of a shelter or safe room at this time.

The City's major employers include a gas and propane retail store with 1-3 employees, a Fire Station with approximately 12 volunteers at any given time, a churches with 2-3 employees each, a post office with 1 employee, the City of Spickard with 2 employees and the Spickard R-II School District with around 12 employees.

There has been no residential, commercial or industrial development since the last plan update in 2014. The City reports that there are no current plans for development, but there are plans for possible sewer system improvements. This is the only planned improvement to the City's infrastructure or critical facilities at this time.

Spickard R-II School District reported some minor developments since the last plan update that include the replacement and upgrade of windows and repair of parking lot. The School District reports the need for several building improvements. The School District is currently exploring several possible development projects to be completed in the next 5 years. The School Building is in need of a new heating and cooling, which would require an upgrade to the electrical wiring. The School District also reported the need for an exterior door and exterior security cameras that would provide additional safety measures that would mitigate loss due to severe weather, as well as provide additional and needed security for the staff and students. The last need reported by the School District is the need to remove and replace flooring in the school that is suspected to contain asbestos. The current budget resources available to complete the needed projects are in question and the District is exploring all financial options.

The City of Spickard does not currently participate in the National Flood Insurance Program. The City entered sanctioned status on February 7, 1976.

The City has designated the City Clerk to be the designated Planning Committee Member. The City Clerk agreed, with the endorsement of the City Council to participate in the County Planning Committee.

The City of Spickard's mitigation initiatives include:

- Emergency Preparedness Education
- Weather Alerts
- Accessible Contact Information
- Critical Facilities Backup
- Debris Removal
- Mutual Aid Agreements
- Pandemic PPE
- Representative on County Hazard Steering Committee
- Storm Shelters
- Weather Spotter Training
- Vulnerable Population Identification
- Pandemic Response – Disease Prevention and Management
- Pandemic Response – Economic Stabilization

Table 28. City of Spickard Mitigation Capabilities

Capability	Status Including Date of Document or Policy
------------	---

Capability	Status Including Date of Document or Policy
Planning Capabilities	
Comprehensive Plan	No
Builder's Plan	No
Capital Improvement Plan	No
Local Emergency Plan	No
County Emergency Plan	No
Local Recovery Plan	No
County Recovery Plan	No
Local Mitigation Plan	No
County Mitigation Plan	Yes
Local Mitigation Plan (PDM)	No
County Mitigation Plan (PDM)	No
Economic Development Plan	No
Transportation Plan	No
Land-use Plan	No
Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	No
Watershed Plan	No
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	No
School Mitigation Plan	No
Critical Facilities Plan (Mitigation/Response/Recovery)	No
Policies/Ordinance	
Zoning Ordinance	No
Building Code	No
Floodplain Ordinance	No
Subdivision Ordinance	No
Tree Trimming Ordinance	No
Nuisance Ordinance	No
Storm Water Ordinance	No
Drainage Ordinance	No
Seismic Construction Ordinance	No
Capability	
Site Plan Review Requirements	No
Historic Preservation Ordinance	No
Landscape Ordinance	No
Iowa Wetlands and Riparian Areas Conservation Plan	No
Debris Management Plan	No
Program	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	No
Codes Building Site/Design	No
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Participant	No, Sanctioned on 2/7/76
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) Participating Community	No
Hazard Awareness Program	No
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	No
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	No
ISO Fire Rating	No
Economic Development Program	No
Land Use Program	No
Public Education/Awareness	No
Property Acquisition	No
Planning/Zoning Boards	No
Stream Maintenance Program	No
Tree Trimming Program	No
Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)	No
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes, fire district and Sheriff Department
Studies/Reports/Maps	
Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)	No

Capability	Status Including Date of Document or Policy
Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)	No
Flood Insurance Maps	Yes
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	Yes
Evacuation Route Map	No
Critical Facilities Inventory	Yes
Vulnerable Population Inventory	No
Land Use Map	No
Staff/Department	
Building Code Official	No
Building Inspector	No
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	No
Engineer	No
Development Planner	No
Public Works Official	Yes
Emergency Management Coordinator	No
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	No
Emergency Response Team	No
Hazardous Materials Expert	No
Local Emergency Planning Committee	No
County Emergency Management Commission	No
Sanitation Department	No
Transportation Department	No
Economic Development Department	No
Housing Department	No
Historic Preservation	No
Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs)	
American Red Cross	No
Salvation Army	No
Veterans Groups	No
Environmental Organization	No
Homeowner Associations	No
Neighborhood Associations	No
Chamber of Commerce	No
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	No
Local Funding Availability	
Ability to apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Ability to fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	Yes
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes, voter approved
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes, water, sewer
Impact fees for new development	No
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes, voter approved
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes, voter approved
Ability to incur debt through private activities	Yes, voter approved
Ability to withhold spending in hazard prone areas	No

Source: Data Collection Questionnaire, 02/25/2021

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: Grundy

JURISDICTION: Galt

RETURN BY: _____

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. **A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process **will not** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: Mercedes Scobee

PHONE: 660-673-6514

EMAIL: citygalt@grm.net DATE: 11/12/2025

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the **underlined and bold** elements, **please provide a copy of the document** to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<u>Comprehensive Plan</u>	
Builder's Plan	NO
Capital Improvement Plan	NO
City Emergency Operations Plan	~~NO~~ Yes
<u>County Emergency Operations Plan</u>	No
Local Recovery Plan	Yes
County Recovery Plan	No
City Mitigation Plan	Yes
County Mitigation Plan	Yes
Debris Management Plan	NO
<u>Economic Development Plan</u>	
Transportation Plan	No
Land-use Plan	NO

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	NO
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	NO
School Mitigation Plan	NO
Critical Facilities Plan	NO
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	Yes
Building Code	NO
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes
Subdivision Ordinance	NO
Tree Trimming Ordinance	NO
Nuisance Ordinance	NO
Stormwater Ordinance	Yes
Drainage Ordinance	Yes
Site Plan Review Requirements	NO
Historic Preservation Ordinance	NO
Landscape Ordinance	NO
Seismic Construction Ordinance	NO
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	NO
Codes Building Site/Design	NO
Hazard Awareness Program	NO
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	Yes
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	NO
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	NO

Firewise Community Certification	NO
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	NO
ISO Fire Rating	NO
Economic Development Program	NO
Land Use Program	NO
Public Education/Awareness	NO
Property Acquisition	NO
Planning/Zoning Boards	NO
Stream Maintenance Program	NO
Tree Trimming Program	NO
<i>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)</i>	NO
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes, Fire department, Sheriff's Office
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)</i>	NO
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)</i>	NO
Flood Insurance Maps	Yes
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	Yes
Evacuation Route Map	NO
<i>Critical Facilities Inventory</i>	Yes
<i>Vulnerable Population Inventory</i>	NO
<i>Land Use Map</i>	NO
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	
Building Code Official	NO
Building Inspector	NO
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	NO

Engineer	NO
Development Planner	NO
Public Works Official	Yes
Emergency Management Director	NO
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Yes
Emergency Response Team	NO
Hazardous Materials Expert	NO
Local Emergency Planning Committee	Yes
County Emergency Management Commission	NO
Sanitation Department	Yes
Transportation Department	Yes
Economic Development Department	NO
Housing Department	NO
Historic Preservation	NO
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	NO
Salvation Army	NO
Veterans Groups	NO
Local Environmental Organization	NO
Homeowner Associations	NO
Neighborhood Associations	NO
Chamber of Commerce	NO
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	Lions
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	NO
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes, voter approved
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes
Impact fees for new development	Yes
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes, voter approved
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes, voter approved
Ability to incur debt through private activities	Yes, voter approved
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	Yes

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	
Builder's Plan	
Capital Improvement Plan	

Local Recovery Plan	
County Recovery Plan	
Debris Management Plan	
Economic Development Plan	
Transportation Plan	
Land-use Plan	
Watershed Plan	
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members)

Mayor
City Council (4 members)

2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.

N/A

3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.

N/A

4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community?

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)?

Fire department

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe.

NO

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

NO

Please provide address locations:

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.

N/A

9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.

NO

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

~~NO~~ Water booster station,
Wastewater ~~plant~~ treatment Facility improvements

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees.

Galt Grocery and Spirits - 5
Galt Country Cafe - 5

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire - W	

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. **Essential facilities** are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. **High potential loss facilities** are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. **Transportation and lifeline facilities** are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or inoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
<i>Essential Facilities such as hospitals and other medical facilities, police and fire stations, Emergency Operations Centers</i>		

High Potential Loss Facilities such as power plants, dams/levees, military installations, hazardous materials sites, shelters, day care centers, nursing homes, main government buildings (Do not include schools -- they will be reported by the school districts)		
Galt Housing	404 S Elm Street	ET, ST, SWW, IT
Transportation and Lifelines such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities		
Storm Water Crossing	S Main Street	RF, EQ
Water Tower	Hwy 6	EQ, P, ET, ST, SWW, T

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CG 2020.1	Mitigation Education		X		Modified from preparedness education	M
CG 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS		X			✓
CG 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION		X			✓
CG 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP		X			✓
CG 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL		X			✓
CG 2020.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS			X		✓
CG 2020.7	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
CG 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CG 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS			X	Topic was discussed when CDBG funding was available however due to lack of available space and local funds, action was tabled	✓
CG 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING			X		✓

CG 2020.11	VULNERABLE POPULATION IDENTIFICATION		X		As part of Emergency Response Plan Updates Fire Department and City Clerk have been identifying vulnerable population. Ongoing	✓
CG 2020.12	PARTICIPATION IN NFIP (National Floodplain Insurance Program)		X			✓

CITY OF GALT

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: Grundy

JURISDICTION: Laredo

RETURN BY: _____

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. **A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process **will not** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: Mercedes Scobee

PHONE: 660-286-2632

EMAIL: laredomo@grm.net DATE: 10/13/2025

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the underlined and bold elements, *please provide a copy of the document* to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<i>Comprehensive Plan</i>	
Builder's Plan	N/A
Capital Improvement Plan	N/A
City Emergency Operations Plan	Yes -
County Emergency Operations Plan	Yes
Local Recovery Plan	Yes
County Recovery Plan	Yes
City Mitigation Plan	NO
County Mitigation Plan	Yes
Debris Management Plan	NO
<i>Economic Development Plan</i>	
Transportation Plan	NO
Land-use Plan	NO

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	NO
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	NO
School Mitigation Plan	NO
Critical Facilities Plan	Yes
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	NO
Building Code	NO
Floodplain Ordinance	NO
Subdivision Ordinance	NO
Tree Trimming Ordinance	NO
Nuisance Ordinance	NO
Stormwater Ordinance	Yes
Drainage Ordinance	Yes
Site Plan Review Requirements	NO
Historic Preservation Ordinance	NO
Landscape Ordinance	NO
Seismic Construction Ordinance	NO
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	N/A
Codes Building Site/Design	N/A
Hazard Awareness Program	N/A
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	N/A
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	N/A
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	N/A

Firewise Community Certification	NO
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	NO
ISO Fire Rating	NO
Economic Development Program	NO
Land Use Program	NO
Public Education/Awareness	NO
Property Acquisition	NO
Planning/Zoning Boards	NO
Stream Maintenance Program	NO
Tree Trimming Program	NO
<i>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)</i>	NO
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes, Laredo Fire Department
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)</i>	Yes
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)</i>	Yes
Flood Insurance Maps	Yes
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	Yes
Evacuation Route Map	Yes
<i>Critical Facilities Inventory</i>	NO
<i>Vulnerable Population Inventory</i>	NO
<i>Land Use Map</i>	NO
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	
Building Code Official	NO
Building Inspector	NO
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	NO

Engineer	NO
Development Planner	NO
Public Works Official	Yes, part time for water, sewer, streets
Emergency Management Director	NO
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	NO
Emergency Response Team	NO
Hazardous Materials Expert	NO
Local Emergency Planning Committee	NO
County Emergency Management Commission	NO
Sanitation Department	Part time 2 employees for sewer contract trash
Transportation Department	NO
Economic Development Department	NO
Housing Department	NO
Historic Preservation	NO
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	NO
Salvation Army	NO
Veterans Groups	NO
Local Environmental Organization	NO
Homeowner Associations	NO
Neighborhood Associations	NO
Chamber of Commerce	NO
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	Yes, Lions Club and 4H
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	NO
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes with voter approval
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes, water, sewer
Impact fees for new development	Yes w/ voter approval
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through private activities	Yes with voter approval
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	Yes with voter approval

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	
Builder's Plan	
Capital Improvement Plan	

Local Recovery Plan	
County Recovery Plan	
Debris Management Plan	
Economic Development Plan	
Transportation Plan	
Land-use Plan	
Watershed Plan	
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members)

Mayor/city council 5 members

2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.

No

3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.

4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community?

1

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)?

Mayor or City worker

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe.

Hyper reach

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

NO

Please provide address locations:

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.

N/A

9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.

N/A

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

N/A

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees.

School - 10 employees

MFA - 5 employees

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire - W	

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. **Essential facilities** are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. **High potential loss facilities** are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. **Transportation and lifeline facilities** are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or inoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

[illegible]

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction: _____

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: grundy co.
JURISDICTION: grundy co.
RETURN BY: Courtney Jo:

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. **A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process **will not** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: Courtney Campbell
PHONE: 660 359-4040 ext 4
EMAIL: grundyclerk@grundycounty DATE: 9-25-25
mo.com

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the underlined and bold elements, please provide a copy of the document to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<i>Comprehensive Plan</i>	NO
Builder's Plan	NO
Capital Improvement Plan	NO
City Emergency Operations Plan	N/A
County Emergency Operations Plan	Yes, 2023
Local Recovery Plan	NO
County Recovery Plan	NO
City Mitigation Plan	N/A
County Mitigation Plan	Yes, 2021
Debris Management Plan	NO
<i>Economic Development Plan</i>	NO
Transportation Plan	Yes
Land-use Plan	NO

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	NO
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	NO
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	NO
School Mitigation Plan	N/A
Critical Facilities Plan	NO
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	NO
Building Code	NO
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes, 1980
Subdivision Ordinance	NO
Tree Trimming Ordinance	NO
Nuisance Ordinance	NO
Stormwater Ordinance	NO
Drainage Ordinance	NO
Site Plan Review Requirements	NO
Historic Preservation Ordinance	NO
Landscape Ordinance	NO
Seismic Construction Ordinance	NO
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	NO
Codes Building Site/Design	NO
Hazard Awareness Program	NO
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	Yes, 1980
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	NO
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	NO

Firewise Community Certification	NO
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	NO
ISO Fire Rating	?
Economic Development Program	NO
Land Use Program	NO
Public Education/Awareness	NO
Property Acquisition	NO
Planning/Zoning Boards	NO
Stream Maintenance Program	NO
Tree Trimming Program	NO
<i>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)</i>	NO
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)</i>	~~Yes~~ N/A
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)</i>	Yes
Flood Insurance Maps	Yes
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	?
Evacuation Route Map	Yes
<i>Critical Facilities Inventory</i>	Yes
<i>Vulnerable Population Inventory</i>	NO
<i>Land Use Map</i>	NO
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	
Building Code Official	NO
Building Inspector	NO
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	Yes

Engineer	NO
Development Planner	NO
Public Works Official	~~NO~~ Yes
Emergency Management Director	Yes
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Yes
Emergency Response Team	Yes
Hazardous Materials Expert	Yes, Contracted
Local Emergency Planning Committee	Yes
County Emergency Management Commission	NO
Sanitation Department	NO
Transportation Department	NO
Economic Development Department	NO
Housing Department	NO
Historic Preservation	NO
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	Yes
Salvation Army	Yes
Veterans Groups	Yes
Local Environmental Organization	NO
Homeowner Associations	Yes
Neighborhood Associations	NO
Chamber of Commerce	Yes
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	Yes
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	Yes
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	NO
Impact fees for new development	NO
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes
Ability to incur debt through private activities	NO
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	NO

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	
Builder's Plan	
Capital Improvement Plan	

Local Recovery Plan	
County Recovery Plan	
Debris Management Plan	
Economic Development Plan	
Transportation Plan	
Land-use Plan	
Watershed Plan	
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members) *Commission*
2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.
N/A
3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.

4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community? $\emptyset$

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)?

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe.

Hyper Reach

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

NO

Please provide address locations:

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.

None

9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.

None

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

NO

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees.

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

Commissioners and County Clerk
Yes they met.

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

Issue flood ~~develp~~ development permits

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire – W	

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. *Essential facilities* are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. *High potential loss facilities* are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. *Transportation and lifeline facilities* are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or inoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
<i>Essential Facilities such as hospitals and other medical facilities, police and fire stations, Emergency Operations Centers</i>		
<i>Courthouse</i>	<i>700 main st Trenton Mo</i>	

High Potential Loss Facilities such as power plants, dams/levees, military installations, hazardous materials sites, shelters, day care centers, nursing homes, main government buildings (Do not include schools – they will be reported by the school districts)		
Courthouse	700 Main St Trenton Mo	
Transportation and Lifelines such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities		

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	Type of event	Nature and magnitude of event	Location	Date of event	Injuries	Deaths	Property damage	Infrastructure damage	Crop damage	Business/economic impacts	Road/school/other closures	Other damage	Insured losses	Federal/state disaster relief funding	Source of information	Comments

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction: _____

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- *Completed Actions*: provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- *Ongoing Actions*: indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- *No Progress*: if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep - ✓ Delete - X Modify - M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
County 2020.1	Inventory of Emergency Shelters and Safe Rooms					X
County 2020.2	Safety Audit and Self Inspection Training for Critical Facilities	✓			on going	C
County 2020.3	Mitigation Education				Modified from preparedness education	M
County 2020.4	Snow Removal					✓
County 2020.5	Maintain Emergency Management Education					✓
County 2020.6	Weather Alerts				Modified to include 2020.7 & 2020.9	M
County 2020.7	Public Education Event for Early Warning Systems				Combined with 2020.6	X
County 2020.8	Tree Trimming Maintenance					✓
County 2020.9	Flood Warning System				Combined with 2020.6	X
County 2020.10	County-Wide Disaster Drills and Exercises					✓

County 2020.11	STRUCTURE GRANTS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE UPGRADES							
County 2020.12	Hazard Audits for Vulnerable Structures by Government Experts							X
County 2020.13	Flood Risk Reduction Projects							✓
County 2020.14	Weather Spotter Training							X
County 2020.15	SURVEY FLOOD PLAIN AREAS							✓
County 2020.16	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP							✓
County 2020.17	CONSTRUCTION UPGRADES TO PROTECT INFRASTRUCTURE							✓
County 2020.18	DEBRIS REMOVAL							✓
County 2020.19	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION							Publicly available
County 2020.20	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS							✓
County 2020.21	PUBLIC REVIEW OF HAZARD MITIGATION PLANS	X						Completed as part of the 2026 plan update C
County 2020.22	PLAN REASSESSMENT	X						Completed as part of the 2026 plan update C

County 2020.23	STORM DRAIN SYSTEM						X
County 2020.24	WARNING SIREN COVERAGE						✓
County 2020.25	CREATION OF A COUNTY-LEVEL MUNICIPALITY COMMITTEE						
County 2020.26	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)					No longer covered in plan	X
County 2020.27	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC					No longer covered in plan	X
County 2020.28	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT					No longer covered in plan	X
County 2020.29	PARTICIPATION IN NFIP (National Floodplain Insurance Program)						✓

Grundy county

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: Grundy
JURISDICTION: City of Trenton
RETURN BY: _____

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. **A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process **will not** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: Anita J. Ewing, City Clerk
PHONE: 660-359-4310
EMAIL: aeewing@trentonmo.com DATE: _____

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the underlined and bold elements, please provide a copy of the document to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<i>Comprehensive Plan</i>	NO
Builder's Plan	NA
Capital Improvement Plan	NA
City Emergency Operations Plan	NA
County Emergency Operations Plan	YES
Local Recovery Plan	NO
County Recovery Plan	NO
City Mitigation Plan	NO
County Mitigation Plan	YES
Debris Management Plan	NO
<i>Economic Development Plan</i>	YES
Transportation Plan	YES
Land-use Plan	YES

Firewise Community Certification	NO
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	NO
ISO Fire Rating	3/34
Economic Development Program	YES CONTRACT
Land Use Program	YES
Public Education/Awareness	YES
Property Acquisition	NO
Planning/Zoning Boards	YES
Stream Maintenance Program	NO
Tree Trimming Program	YES
<i>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)</i>	NO
Mutual Aid Agreements	YES
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)</i>	YES
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)</i>	YES
Flood Insurance Maps	YES
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	YES
Evacuation Route Map	NO
<i>Critical Facilities Inventory</i>	NO
<i>Vulnerable Population Inventory</i>	NO
<i>Land Use Map</i>	YES
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	
Building Code Official	full-time
Building Inspector	full-time
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	CONTRACTED

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	NO
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	NO
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	NO
School Mitigation Plan	NA
Critical Facilities Plan	NO
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	YES
Building Code	YES
Floodplain Ordinance	YES
Subdivision Ordinance	YES
Tree Trimming Ordinance	NO
Nuisance Ordinance	YES
Stormwater Ordinance	YES
Drainage Ordinance	YES
Site Plan Review Requirements	YES
Historic Preservation Ordinance	NO
Landscape Ordinance	NO
Seismic Construction Ordinance	NO
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	YES
Codes Building Site/Design	YES
Hazard Awareness Program	NO
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	YES
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	NO
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	NO

Engineer	CONTRACTED
Development Planner	CONTRACTED
Public Works Official	YES
Emergency Management Director	YES
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	YES
Emergency Response Team	YES
Hazardous Materials Expert	CONTRACTED
Local Emergency Planning Committee	COUNTY
County Emergency Management Commission	NO
Sanitation Department	NO
Transportation Department	STREET DEPARTMENT
Economic Development Department	CONTRACTED
Housing Department	NO
Historic Preservation	NO
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	NO
Salvation Army	NO
Veterans Groups	YES
Local Environmental Organization	NO
Homeowner Associations	NO
Neighborhood Associations	NO
Chamber of Commerce	YES
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	YES
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	yes
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	yes
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	yes
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	YES
Impact fees for new development	YES
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	no
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	no
Ability to incur debt through private activities	YES
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	YES

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	
Builder's Plan	
Capital Improvement Plan	

Local Recovery Plan	
County Recovery Plan	
Debris Management Plan	
Economic Development Plan	
Transportation Plan	
Land-use Plan	
Watershed Plan	
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members)

*Mayor, 8 member council
2 from each of the 4 wards*

2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.
3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.

4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community?

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)?

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe.

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

Please provide address locations:

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.

9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees.

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire - W	

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. *Essential facilities* are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. *High potential loss facilities* are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. *Transportation and lifeline facilities* are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or inoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
<i>Essential Facilities such as hospitals and other medical facilities, police and fire stations, Emergency Operations Centers</i>		

High Potential Loss Facilities such as power plants, dams/levees, military installations, hazardous materials sites, shelters, day care centers, nursing homes, main government buildings (Do not include schools – they will be reported by the school districts)	
Transportation and Lifelines such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities	

Economic Assets (Major Employers, etc.)

Asset	Address	Product/Service	Number of Employees	Hazards

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction: _____

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- *Completed Actions:* provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- *Ongoing Actions:* indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- *No Progress:* if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	CT-2020.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Representative for County Hazard Mit Steering Comm	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		neutral
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Anita Ewing City Clerk 660-359-4310	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	CT-2020.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Weather Alerts	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		neutral
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Arita Ewing City Clerk 660-359-4310	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	CT-2020.10	
Name of Action or Project:	Weather Spotting Training	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		neutral
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Jackie Septic Mayor 660-359-1434	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	CT-2020.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Emergency Preparedness Educ	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		neutral
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Jackie Saphic Mayor 660-359-1434	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	CT - 2020.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Critical Facilities Back-up	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		neutral
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Jackie Septic, Mayor 660-359-1434	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	CT-2020.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris Removal	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		Positive
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Jackie Septic, Mayor 660-359-1434	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	CT - 2020.11	
Name of Action or Project:	Vulnerable Population	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		~~3~~ 3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Jackie Soptic, Mayor 660-359-1434	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin 1.1)~~ County 2025.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin 1). Grundy County 2025.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Snow removal	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Popularity).~~ County 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Installation of Warning Siren	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name followed by the goal number and action number (e.g., Capital County 2025.4) County 2025.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Tree trimming maintenance	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. 2025.5).~~ Grundy County 2025.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood warning systems	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. 2025.6).~~ County 2025.6	
Name of Action or Project:	County-wide disaster drills & exercises	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1 Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE SCORE	13	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (e.g. Staphyry County 2025.7)~~ County 2025.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Structure grants for road 3 bridge upgrades	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (ex: Flood Risk Reduction County 2025.8)	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood risk reduction projects	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (ex. 100112).~~ Grundy County 2025.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Weather spotter training	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (e.g., 2025.10) County 2025.10	
Name of Action or Project:	Survey of flood plain areas	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		23
☐ High Priority (30+ points) ☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points) ☒ Low Priority (<25 points)		

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Coplin 23).~~ County 2025 .11	
Name of Action or Project:	Critical facilities back-up	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. 10ptm-3).~~ County 2025.12	
Name of Action or Project:	Debn's removal	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Enter a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin 2013).~~ County 2025.13	
Name of Action or Project:	Participation in NFIP	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1	Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Galt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin 11 CG 2025.1)~~ CG 2025.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Galt	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (e.g. Joplin 12).~~ CG 2025.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Installation of coaming system	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Galt	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Start a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. The can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (e.g. Joplin 1.2)~~ CG 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Critical facilities back-up	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Baltimore	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin 12) CB 2025.4~~	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris removal	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Galt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin 1.1)~~ CG 2025.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm shelter/safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Baltimore	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (e.g. 2022 CG 2025.6) CG 2025.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Participation in NFIP	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Laredo	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Japan.1)~~ CL 2025.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Laredo	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the global number and action number (i.e. 2025.2) CL 2025.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Installation of warning siren	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Laredo	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Assign a unique action number to this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (e.g., CL 2025.3)~~ CL 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Critical facilities back-up	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Laredo	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (e.g. Joplin 2).~~ CL 2025.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris removal	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Laredo	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and a four digit number (e.g. Joplin 12)~~ CL 2025.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm shelter/safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Laredo	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (e. g. 2025.6). CL 2025.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Vulnerable population identification	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Spickard	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin 1).~~ CS 2025.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Spickard	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and a four number (i.e. Joplin 1.1) CS 2025.2~~	
Name of Action or Project:	Installation of warning siren	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Spickard	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Use a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (see appendix 2) CS 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Critical facilities back-up	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Spikard	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. 10 plan 1).~~ CS 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Critical facilities back-up	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Spikard	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. ~~Spikard~~ CS 2025.4)	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris removal	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Spikard	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (e.g. Joplin 1.2) CS 2025.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm Shelter / safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Spickard	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. 904111). CS 2025.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Vulnerable population identification	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Topic 1).~~ CT 2025.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin 1) ~~CT 2025.2~~	
Name of Action or Project:	Installation of warning siren	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28
☐ High Priority (30+ points) ☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points) ☐ Low Priority (<25 points)		

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name followed by the goal number and action number (e.g., CT 2025.3)	
Name of Action or Project:	Critical facilities back-up	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	~~Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. 100th CT. 2025.4)~~ CT. 2025.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris removal	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		47
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin 1.1) CT 2025.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm shelter/safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1 Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

☒ **High Priority**
(30+ points)

☐ **Medium Priority**
(25 - 29 points)

☐ **Low Priority**
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (e.g., Joplin 11 2025.6) CT 2025.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Weather spotter training	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name followed by the goal number and action number (e.g., CT 2025.7) CT 2025.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Participation in NFIP	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	CT-2020.14	
Name of Action or Project:	Participation in NFIP	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		positive
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Anita Ewing City Clerk 660-359-4310	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	CT-2020.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Accessible Contact Information	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		neutral
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Amita Ewing City Clerk 660-359-4310	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Trenton	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	CT - 2021.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Mutual Aid Agreements	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		neutral
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Anita Ewing City Clerk 660-359-4310	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County R-V School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. GSD 2025.1) GSD 2025.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34
☒ High Priority (30+ points) ☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points) ☐ Low Priority (<25 points)		

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County R-V School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. 2015-012) GSD 2025.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm shelter / safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Grundy County R-V School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. 2015.1). GSD 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Generators	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Laredo R-VII School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. 2010-01). LSD 2025-1	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Laredo R-VII School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. 2010-001). 6302 2025.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm shelter/safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Laredo R-VII School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. 10101). LSD 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Generators	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Pleasant View R-VI School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the final number and action number (i.e. 904102) <u>PVSD 2025.1</u>	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Pleasant View R-VI School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year and action number (i.e. 90pm12) <u>RVSD 2025. 2</u>	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm shelter/safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Pleasant View R-VI School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. Joplin 2015.3) EVSD 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Generators	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Spickard R-11 School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. 10pin12) SSQ 2025.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Spickard R-JI School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. 2015-1). SSD 2025.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm shelter/safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39
☒ High Priority (30+ points) ☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points) ☐ Low Priority (<25 points)		

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Spickard R-1J School district	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. 8040152) SSD 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Generators	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1 Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

☒ **High Priority**
(30+ points)

☐ **Medium Priority**
(25 - 29 points)

☐ **Low Priority**
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Spickard R-11 School	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	New	
Name of Action or Project:	Building Inspection/Laminating Windows	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)		Erica Eaker Superintendent 660 485 6121

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Spickard R-II School	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	SSD - 2020.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm Shelter/Safe Room	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	0
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Erica Eales Superintendent 6604856121	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Spickard R-11 School	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	SSD-2020.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Generator	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		~~3~~ 0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Erica Ebers, Superintendent 660 485 6121	

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Spickard R-11 School	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	SSD-2020.1 & 2020.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Emergency Action + Disaster Plan/Mutua	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services Aid Agreements	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Erica Ebers, Superintendent 660 485 6121	

Modify - combine w/ SSD 2020.3

Leave in - no progress

Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	SPICKARD R-II SCHOOL DISTRICT
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	All Hazards
Problem being Mitigated:	Lack of readiness, lack of Emergency Action and Disaster Plan.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Goal 1: To respond to the issues highlighted in the hazard risk and vulnerability sections of the Plan. Goal 3: Protect the lives, livelihoods and property of all citizens by evaluating and implementing optimal mitigation alternatives. Goal 4: To ensure continued operation of government and emergency functions in a disaster.
Action/Project Number:	SSD – 2020.1
Name of Action or Project:	EMERGENCY ACTION AND DISASTER PLAN
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services, Education and Outreach
Action or Project Description:	Develop and maintain School District Emergency Action and Disaster Plan
Estimated Cost:	\$1,000
Benefits:	An Emergency Action and Disaster Plan will allow school staff to understand and quickly implement the plan of action during an emergency or natural disaster, reducing the loss of life and property.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	School Administration, Board of Education
Supporting Organization/Department:	County EMD, local Fire Districts, Ambulance District
Action/Project Priority:	High
Timeline for Completion:	1 – 5 years
Potential Fund Sources:	Local, State, Federal Funds
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	N/A
Progress Report	
Action Status:	New
Report of Progress:	New Project

Modify -
combine w/ SSD 2020.1 [Leave in - No Progress]

Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	SPICKARD R-II SCHOOL DISTRICT
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	All Hazards
Problem being Mitigated:	Preparedness remains the best option to limit the threats of hazard events
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Goal 1: To respond to the issues highlighted in the hazard risk and vulnerability sections of the Plan. Goal 3: Protect the lives, livelihoods and property of all citizens by evaluating and implementing optimal mitigation alternatives Goal 4: To ensure continued operation of government and emergency functions in a disaster.
Action/Project Number:	SSD – 2020.3
Name of Action or Project:	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Execute and maintain mutual aid agreements with all relevant agencies.
Estimated Cost:	\$500
Benefits:	Mutual Aid Agreements will expedite response for assistance from organizations with which the School has agreements during and after a natural disaster.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	School District
Supporting Organization/Department:	County EMD, Fire Protection District, Sheriff's Department, Ambulance District
Action/Project Priority:	HIGH
Timeline for Completion:	1 – 5 years
Potential Fund Sources:	Local
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	N/A
Progress Report	
Action Status:	New
Report of Progress:	New Project

No progress

Where to have school if needed?
Share staff?

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Spickard School	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	SSD - 2020.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Emerg. Preparedness Education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Erica Eakes, Superintendent 6604856121	

Leave - ongoing

Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	SPICKARD R-II SCHOOL DISTRICT
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	All Hazards
Problem being Mitigated:	Preparedness remains the best option to limit the threats of hazard events.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Goal 1: To respond to the issues highlighted in the hazard risk and vulnerability sections of the Plan. Goal 3: Protect the lives, livelihoods and property of all citizens by evaluating and implementing optimal mitigation alternatives.
Action/Project Number:	SSD – 2020.2
Name of Action or Project:	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS EDUCATION
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
Action or Project Description:	Provide emergency preparedness information and resources related to all natural disasters to the public through active education and outreach programs.
Estimated Cost:	\$500
Benefits:	The general population will increase understanding of how to prepare for natural disasters potentially affecting citizens within the school district.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	School District
Supporting Organization/Department:	LEPC, County EMD, Fire Districts
Action/Project Priority:	HIGH
Timeline for Completion:	1 - 5 years
Potential Fund Sources:	Local
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	NA
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Continued
Report of Progress:	Ongoing Project

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Trenton R-IX School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the final number and action number (i.e. 1004102) <u>ISD 2025.1</u>	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Trenton R-IX School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. Joplin 2025.2)	
Name of Action or Project:	Generators	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet											
Name of Jurisdiction:	North Central Missouri College										
	Action or Project										
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the action number and action number (i.e. 101-102) NCMC 2025.1										
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation education										
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services										
STAPLEE Criteria <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center; border: none;">Evaluation Rating</td> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Score</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Definitely YES = 3</td> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Maybe YES = 2</td> <td style="border: none;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Probably NO = 1</td> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Definitely NO = 0</td> <td style="border: none;"></td> </tr> </table>			Evaluation Rating		Score	Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2		Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
Evaluation Rating		Score									
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2										
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0										
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3										
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3										
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3										
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3										
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3										
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2										
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2										
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2										
Could it be implemented quickly?	3										
STAPLEE SCORE		24									
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score									
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5									
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5									
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10									
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34									

☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	North Central Missouri College	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. Joplin 2015-1). NCML 2025-2	
Name of Action or Project:	Structure demolition program	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

☐ High Priority (30+ points)	☒ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Figure 4.1. Blank STAPLEE Worksheet

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	North Central Missouri College	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the year number and action number (i.e. 2015-01-01) NCMC 2025.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Generators	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction in disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36
☒ High Priority (30+ points)	☐ Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	☐ Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete – C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CL 2020.1	Mitigation education		X			M
CL 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS	X			Enrolled in Hyper Reach as of June 2025	C
CL 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION	X			Contact information was updated in 2025 as part of the ERP	C
CL 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP			X	Infrastructure improvements delayed progress.	✓
CL 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL		X		Debris Removal now done after storms with new equipment	✓
CL 2020.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS			X	Researching mutual aid agreements	✓
CL 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CL 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS			X		✓
CL 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING			X		✓
CL 2020.11	VULNERABLE POPULATION IDENTIFICATION		X		Coordinating with Fire Department and other members to identify vulnerable population	✓

City of Laredo

Spickard R-II

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep - ✓ Delete - X Modify - M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
SSD 2020.1	EMERGENCY ACTION AND DISASTER PLAN		<del> </del>	X		M - combine w/ 2020.3
SSD 2020.2	Mitigation Education		X		Modified from preparedness education	~~M~~ ✓
SSD 2020.3	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS		<del> </del>	X		M - combine w/ 2020.1
SSD 2020.4	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
SSD 2020.5	PLAN REASSESSMENT	x			Completed in 2026 plan update	C
SSD 2020.6	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed in 2026 plan update	C
SSD 2020.7	STORM SHELTER / SAFE ROOM			X		✓
SSD 2020.8	GENERATOR			X		✓

Spickard R-II

Building Inspection/Laminated Windows

Grundy County						
#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete – C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
County 2020.1	Inventory of Emergency Shelters and Safe Rooms					X
County 2020.2	Safety Audit and Self Inspection Training for Critical Facilities					C
County 2020.3	Mitigation Education				Modified from preparedness education	M
County 2020.4	Snow Removal					✓
County 2020.5	Maintain Emergency Management Education				combined w/ 2020.3	✓
County 2020.6	Weather Alerts				Modified to include 2020.7 & 2020.9	M ✓
County 2020.7	Public Education Event for Early Warning Systems				Combined with 2020.6	X
County 2020.8	Tree Trimming Maintenance					✓
County 2020.9	Flood Warning System				Combined with 2020.6	X
County 2020.10	County-Wide Disaster Drills and Exercises					✓

County 2020.11	STRUCTURE GRANTS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE UPGRADES							✓
County 2020.12	Hazard Audits for Vulnerable Structures by Government Experts						Not Pol. Exp.	X
County 2020.13	Flood Risk Reduction Projects							✓
County 2020.14	Weather Spotter Training							✓
County 2020.15	SURVEY FLOOD PLAIN AREAS							✓
County 2020.16	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP							✓
County 2020.17	CONSTRUCTION UPGRADES TO PROTECT INFRASTRUCTURE							✓
County 2020.18	DEBRIS REMOVAL							✓
County 2020.19	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION							C
County 2020.20	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS							C
County 2020.21	PUBLIC REVIEW OF HAZARD MITIGATION PLANS				X		Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
County 2020.22	PLAN REASSESSMENT				X		Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C

County 2020.23	STORM DRAIN SYSTEM						X
County 2020.24	WARNING SIREN COVERAGE					combine w/ weather alerts	
County 2020.25	CREATION OF A COUNTY-LEVEL MUNICIPALITY COMMITTEE						X
County 2020.26	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)					No longer covered in plan	X
County 2020.27	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC					No longer covered in plan	X
County 2020.28	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT					No longer covered in plan	X
County 2020.29	PARTICIPATION IN NFIP (National Floodplain Insurance Program)						✓
	Bank stop & loan bridge at Parker Bridge						

?
 crossing?
 - Low water Opal LN
 - NE tent winter
 - 2/11/ff left sev. gear
 - 2/11/ff

Trenton

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep - ✓ Delete - X Modify - M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CT 2020.1	Mitigation Education				Modified from preparedness education	M
CT 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS					
CT 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CT 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CT 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CT 2020.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CT 2020.7	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer included in plan	x
CT 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed in 2026 plan update	c
CT 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS	C			Shelter completed at TMS/TMS 2020 SM Rissler does not have shelter - Principal Dan Dunkin	
CT 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					
CT 2020.11	VULNERABLE POPULATION IDENTIFICATION				Need to identify cooling/warming centers	
CT 2020.12	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC				No longer included in plan	x

CT 2020.13	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT					No longer included in plan	x
CT 2020.14	PARTICIPATION IN NFIP (National Floodplain Insurance Program)	✓					AC

Trenton

Laredo

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CL 2020.1	Mitigation education				Modified from preparedness education	M
CL 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS					
CL 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CL 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CL 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CL 2020.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CL 2020.7	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
CL 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CL 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS					
CL 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					
CL 2020.11	VULNERABLE POPULATION IDENTIFICATION					

City of Laredo

Grundy R-V

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep - ✓ Delete - X Modify - M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
GSD 2020.1	EMERGENCY ACTION AND DISASTER PLAN					
GSD 2020.2	Mitigation education				Modified from preparedness education	M
GSD 2020.3	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
GSD 2020.4	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
GSD 2020.5	PLAN REASSESSMENT	X			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
GSD 2020.6	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
GSD 2020.7	STORM SHELTER / SAFE ROOM					
GSD 2020.8	GENERATOR					

Grundy R-V

Pleasant View R-VI

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
PVSD 2020.1	EMERGENCY ACTION AND DISASTER PLAN					
PVSD 2020.2	Mitigation Education				Modified from preparedness education	M
PVSD 2020.3	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
PVSD 2020.4	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
PVSD 2020.5	PLAN REASSESSMENT	x			Completed in 2026 plan update	C
PVSD 2020.6	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed in 2026 plan update	C
PVSD 2020.7	STORM SHELTER / SAFE ROOM					
PVSD 2020.8	GENERATOR					

Pleasant view R-VI

Trenton R-IX

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep - ✓ Delete - X Modify - M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
TSD 2020.1	Mitigation Education					
TSD 2020.2	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS				Modified from preparedness education	M
TSD 2020.3	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
TSD 2020.4	PLAN REASSESSMENT	x			Completed in 2026 plan update	C
TSD 2020.5	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed in 2026 plan update	C
TSD 2020.6	EMERGENCY ACTION AND DISASTER PLAN					
TSD 2020.8	GENERATOR					

Trenton R-IX

Tindall

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete – C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
VT 2020.1	Mitigation Education				Modified from preparedness education	M
VT 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS					
VT 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
VT 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
VT 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
VT 2020.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
VT 2020.7	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer included in plan	X
VT 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Completed in 2026 plan update	C
VT 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS					
VT 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					
VT 2020.11	VULNERABLE POPULATION IDENTIFICATION					
VT 2020.12	GENERATOR					

Tindall

NCMC

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete – C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
NCMC 2020.1	EMERGENCY ACTION AND DISASTER PLAN					
NCMC 2020.2	Mitigation Education				Modified from preparedness education	M
NCMC 2020.3	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
NCMC 2020.4	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
NCMC 2020.5	PLAN REASSESSMENT	x			Completed in 2026 plan update	C
NCMC 2020.6	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	x			Completed in 2026 plan update	C
NCMC 2020.7	DEMOLITION OF UNDERUSED/VACANT/HAZARDOUS BUILDINGS					
NCMC 2020.8	GENERATOR					

Northcentral Missouri college

Laredo

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete – C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
LSD 2020.1	EMERGENCY ACTION AND DISASTER PLAN					
LSD 2020.2	Mitigation Education				Modified from preparedness education	M
LSD 2020.3	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
LSD 2020.4	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
LSD 2020.5	PLAN REASSESSMENT	X			Completed as part of the 2026 update	C
LSD 2020.6	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			completed as part of the 2026 update	C
LSD 2020.7	STORM SHELTER / SAFE ROOM					
LSD 2020.8	GENERATOR					

Laredo R-VII

Carundy County

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete – C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
County 2020.1	Inventory of Emergency Shelters and Safe Rooms					
County 2020.2	Safety Audit and Self Inspection Training for Critical Facilities					
County 2020.3	Mitigation Education				Modified from preparedness education	M
County 2020.4	Snow Removal					
County 2020.5	Maintain Emergency Management Education					
County 2020.6	Weather Alerts				Modified to include 2020.7 & 2020.9	M
County 2020.7	Public Education Event for Early Warning Systems				Combined with 2020.6	X
County 2020.8	Tree Trimming Maintenance					
County 2020.9	Flood Warning System				Combined with 2020.6	X
County 2020.10	County-Wide Disaster Drills and Exercises					

County 2020.11	STRUCTURE GRANTS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE UPGRADES											
County 2020.12	Hazard Audits for Vulnerable Structures by Government Experts											
County 2020.13	Flood Risk Reduction Projects											
County 2020.14	Weather Spotter Training											
County 2020.15	SURVEY FLOOD PLAIN AREAS											
County 2020.16	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP											
County 2020.17	CONSTRUCTION UPGRADES TO PROTECT INFRASTRUCTURE											
County 2020.18	DEBRIS REMOVAL											
County 2020.19	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION											
County 2020.20	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS											
County 2020.21	PUBLIC REVIEW OF HAZARD MITIGATION PLANS	X							Completed as part of the 2026 plan update		C	
County 2020.22	PLAN REASSESSMENT	X							Completed as part of the 2026 plan update		C	

County 2020.23	STORM DRAIN SYSTEM					
County 2020.24	WARNING SIREN COVERAGE					
County 2020.25	CREATION OF A COUNTY-LEVEL MUNICIPALITY COMMITTEE					
County 2020.26	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
County 2020.27	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC				No longer covered in plan	X
County 2020.28	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT				No longer covered in plan	X
County 2020.29	PARTICIPATION IN NFIP (National Floodplain Insurance Program)					

Grundy county

GALT


#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep - ✓ Delete - X Modify - M Complete - C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CG 2020.1	Mitigation Education				Modified from preparedness education	M
CG 2020.2	WEATHER ALERTS					
CG 2020.3	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION					
CG 2020.4	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP					
CG 2020.5	DEBRIS REMOVAL					
CG 2020.6	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS					
CG 2020.7	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X
CG 2020.8	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CG 2020.9	STORM SHELTERS					
CG 2020.10	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING					

CG 2020.11	VULNERABLE POPULATION IDENTIFICATION						
CG 2020.12	PARTICIPATION IN NFIP (National Floodplain Insurance Program)						

CITY OF GALT

Q1 During the past five years have you experienced a natural disaster?


Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Yes	80.00%	4
● No	20.00%	1
Total		5

Q2 If "YES" which of the following natural disasters have you experienced?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 1



^ Collapse

Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Dam Failure	0%	0
● Drought	0%	0


Total	8
--------------	----------

Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire


Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
 Earthquake	0%	0
 Extreme Temperature	12.50%	1
 Flood	25.00%	2
 Severe Thunderstorm; High Wind, Lightning, and/or Hail	37.50%	3
 Severe Winter Weather	12.50%	1
 Tornado	12.50%	1
 Wildfire	0%	0
 Other (please specify) Show responses	0%	0
Total		8

Q3 How concerned are you about the following natural disasters?


Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

^ Collapse

	VERY CONCERNED	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	NEUTRAL	NOT VERY CONCERNED	NOT CONCERNED	TOTAL
Dam Failure	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	5
Drought	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	5
Earthquake	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	25.00% 1	25.00% 1	25.00% 1	4
Extreme Temperatures	0.00% 0	80.00% 4	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Flood	0.00% 0	80.00% 4	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5
Levee Failure	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	5
Severe Thunderstorm (Hail, High Winds, & Lightning)	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Severe Winter Weather	25.00% 1	50.00% 2	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4
Tornado	40.00% 2	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Wildfire	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	80.00% 4	0.00% 0	5

Q4 Have you ever received information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?


Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Yes	60.00%	3
● No	40.00%	2
Total		5

Q5 If "Yes", how recently?


Answered: 3 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Within the last 6 months.	100.00%	3
● Between 6 - 12 months.	0%	0
● Between 1 - 2 years.	0%	0
● Between 2 - 5 years.	0%	0
● 5 years or more.	0%	0
Total		3

Q6 From whom did you last receive information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 3



^ Collapse


Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● News Media	0%	0
● Government Agency	50.00%	1
● Utility Company	0%	0
● University or Research Institution	0%	0
● Neighbor/Friend/Family Member	0%	0
Total		2

Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
 Elected Official	0%	0
 Non-Profit Organization (ex. American Red Cross)	50.00%	1
 Social Media	0%	0
 Show comments		
Total		2

Q7 Whom would you most trust to provide you with information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 1



^ Collapse


Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● News Media	16.67%	1
● Government Agency	16.67%	1
Total		6

Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
 Insurance Agent or Company	0%	0
 Utility Company	0%	0
 University or Research Institution	0%	0
 Neighbor/Friend/Family Member	16.67%	1
 Elected Official	0%	0
 Non-Profit Organization	50.00%	3
 Social Media	0%	0
 Other (please specify) Show responses	0%	0
Total		6

Q8 What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

Answered: 5 Skipped: 0




Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
 Newspapers	0%	0
 Television	0%	0
 Radio	9.09%	1
 Internet	9.09%	1
 Schools	9.09%	1
 Books	0%	0
 Mail	18.18%	2
 Fact sheet/Brochure	18.18%	2
 Chamber of Commerce	0%	0
 Fire or Police Department	9.09%	1
 Public Workshops/Meetings	18.18%	2
 University or Research	0%	0
 Other (please specify) Show responses	9.09%	1
Total		11

Q9 Prior to completing this survey, were you aware of your county's Hazard Mitigation Plan?


Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Yes	20.00%	1
● No	80.00%	4
Total		5

Q10 Community assets are features, characteristics, or resources that either make a community unique or allow the community to function. Which of the following categories are most susceptible to the impacts caused by natural hazards in your community?


Answered: 5 Skipped: 0




	1	2	3	4	5	6	TOTAL	SCORE
Human	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	5	4.80
Economic	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	4.80
Infrastructure	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	4.80
Cultural/Historic	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	3.00
Environmental	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	80.00% 4	0.00% 0	5	2.40
Governance	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	80.00% 4	5	1.20

Q11 Next, we would like to know what specific types of community assets are most important to you.


Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

^ Collapse

	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NEUTRAL	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Elder-care Facilities	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	80.00% 4	20.00% 1	5	4.20
Schools (K-12)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	5	4.00
Hospitals	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	60.00% 3	5	4.60
Major Bridges	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	5	4.00
Fire/Police	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	60.00% 3	5	4.40
Museums/Historic Buildings	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5	2.80
Small Businesses	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	60.00% 3	5	4.20
City Hall	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	5	3.20
Courthouse	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	5	3.20
Parks	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	5	3.40

Q12 A number of activities can reduce your community's risk from natural hazards. These activities can be both regulatory and non-regulatory. Please check the box that best represents your opinion of the following strategies to reduce the risk and loss associated with natural disasters.

Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

I support policies to prohibit
development in areas
subject to natural hazards




I support the use of tax
dollars (federal and/or
local) to compensate
landown...




I support the use of local
tax dollars to reduce risks
and losses from natura...



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




^ Collapse

Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEUTRAL	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
I support a regulatory approach to reducing risk	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	5	3.40
I support a non-regulatory approach to reducing risk	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	2.40
I support a mix of both regulatory and non-regulatory approaches to reducing risk	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	5	2.80
I support policies to prohibit development in areas subject to natural hazards	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	5	3.20
I support the use of tax dollars (federal and/or local) to compensate landowners for not developing in areas subject to natural hazards	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	5	3.20
I support the use of local tax dollars to reduce risks and losses from natural disasters	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	2.60
I support protecting historical and cultural structures	0.00% 0	80.00% 4	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	2.20
I would be willing to make my home more disaster resilient	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5	2.60
I support steps to safeguard the local economy following a disaster event	0.00% 0	80.00% 4	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	2.20
I support improving the disaster preparedness of local schools	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5	2.60
I support a local inventory of at-risk buildings and infrastructure	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	5	3.20
I support the disclosure of natural hazard risks during real estate transactions	40.00% 2	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	1.60

Q13 Natural Hazards can have a significant impact on a community, but planning for these events can help lessen the impacts. The following statements will help determine citizen priorities regarding planning for natural hazards in your county. Please tell us how important each one is to you.

Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Enhancing the function of
natural features (e.g.
streams, wetlands)




Protecting historical and
cultural landmarks



Protecting and reducing
damage to utilities



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




^ Collapse

Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire


	VERY IMPORTANT	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	NEUTRAL	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	TOTAL
Protecting private property	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5
Protecting critical facilities (transportation networks, hospitals, fire stations)	80.00% 4	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Preventing development in hazard prone areas	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Enhancing the function of natural features (e.g. streams, wetlands)	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Protecting historical and cultural landmarks	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5
Protecting and reducing damage to utilities	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Strengthening emergency services (e.g. police, fire, ambulance)	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Disclosing natural hazard risks during real estate transactions	40.00% 2	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Promoting cooperation among public agencies, citizens, non-profit organizations, and businesses	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5

Q14 In the following, please check those activities that you have done in your household, plan to do in the near future, or are unable to do.

Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Grundy County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

0%

20%

40%

60%

80%


100%

^ Collapse

	HAVE DONE	PLAN TO DO	NOT DONE	UNABLE TO DO	(NO LABEL)	TOTAL
Attended meetings or received written information on natural disasters or emergency preparedness	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Talked with members in your household about what to do in case of a natural disaster or emergency	80.00% 4	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Developed a "household/family emergency plan" in order to decide what everyone would do in the event of a disaster	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Prepared a "disaster supply kit" (stored extra food, water, batteries, or other emergency supplies)	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
In the last year, has anyone in your household been trained in First Aid or Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation (CPR)	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Prepared your home by having smoke detectors on each level of the house	80.00% 4	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5
Discussed or created a utility shutoff procedure in the event of a natural disaster	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5

Q15 Gender?


Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Male	80.00%	4
● Female	20.00%	1
Total		5

Q16 Please indicate your level of education.

Answered: 5 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Highschool Graduate/GED	0%	0
● Some College/Trade School	0%	0
● College Degree	80.00%	4
● Postgraduate Degree	20.00%	1
Total		5

Q17 Zip Code

Answered: 5 Skipped: 0

Q18 How long have you lived in Grundy County?


Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Less than one year	0%	0
● 1-4 years	0%	0
● 5-9 years	40.00%	2
● 10-19 years	0%	0
● 20 years or more	60.00%	3
Total		5

Q19 Do you own or rent your home?

Answered: 5 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Own	100.00%	5
● Rent	0%	0
Total		5

Q20 Please feel free to provide any additional comments in the space provided.

Answered: 1 Skipped: 4

City of Spickard, Missouri RESOLUTION NO. 2026-1

A RESOLUTION OF THE City of Spickard ADOPTING THE Grundy Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS the City of Spickard recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the (local governing body/school district); and

WHEREAS the City of Spickard has participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Grundy County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, hereafter referred to as the *Plan*, in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the *Plan* identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the City of Spickard from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS the City of Spickard recognizes that land use policies have a major impact on whether people and property are exposed to natural hazards, the City of Spickard will endeavor to integrate the *Plan* into the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS adoption by the City of Spickard demonstrates their commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the *Plan*.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE City of Spickard, in the State of Missouri, THAT:

In accordance with rules for adoption, the City of Spickard adopts the final FEMA-approved *Plan*.

ADOPTED by a vote of 3 in favor and 0 against, and abstaining, this 12 day of Jan, 2016.

By (Sig):

Print name:

Alan W. Thayer Mayor
Alan W. Thayer Mayor

ATTEST:

By (Sig.):

Print name:

Rebecca King City Clerk
Rebecca King, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By (Sig.):

Print name:

Rebecca King City Clerk
Rebecca King, City Clerk



North Central Missouri College, Missouri RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF THE North Central Missouri college ADOPTING THE Grundy County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS the North Central Missouri college recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the North Central Missouri college school district; and

WHEREAS the North Central Missouri college has participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Grundy County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, hereafter referred to as the *Plan*, in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the *Plan* identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the North Central Missouri college from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS the North Central Missouri college recognizes that land use policies have a major impact on whether people and property are exposed to natural hazards, the North Central Missouri college will endeavor to integrate the *Plan* into the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS adoption by the North Central Missouri college demonstrates their commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the *Plan*.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE North Central Missouri college, in the State of Missouri, THAT:

In accordance with local rules for adopting resolutions, the North Central Missouri college adopts the final *FEMA-approved Plan*.

ADOPTED by a vote of 6 in favor and 0 against, and 0 abstaining, this 27th day of January 2026

By (Sig): [Signature] NCMC Trustee
Print name: JOHN L. HOLCOMB

ATTEST:
By (Sig): [Signature] NCMC President
Print name: Lenny Klaver

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
By (Sig.): [Signature] NCMC Board Chair
Print name: Chris Hoffman