

Appendix A: Sources

- 2020 Block Geography (US Census Bureau) & National Land Cover Dataset (MRLC)
- American Meteorological Society
- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations
- County and local Comprehensive Plans to the extent available
- County Emergency Management
- County Flood Insurance Rate Map, FEMA
- Data Collection Questionnaires completed by each jurisdiction.
- Decennial Census
- DESE
- Department of Geography
- Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc.
- Environmental Protection Agency
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
- Flood Insurance Administration
- Flood Insurance Study, FEMA
- Hazards US (HAZUS)
- Iowa Department of Natural Resources
- Carroll County LEPC
- Missouri Department of Commerce & Insurance
- Missouri Department of Conservation
- Missouri Department of Health and Human Services; health.mo.gov
- Missouri Department of Natural Resources
- Missouri Department of Transportation
- Missouri Division of Fire Marshal Safety
- Missouri Hazard Mitigation Plans (2013, 2018, and 2023)
- Missouri Public Service Commission
- National Agricultural Statistics Service (Agriculture production/losses)
- National Centers for Environmental Information
- National Drought Mitigation Center Drought Reporter
- National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS)
- National Inventory of Dams
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI);
- National Weather Service
- NFIP Community Status Book
- Oxford Brooks University
- Previously approved Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2021)
- Purdue University
- SEMA
- SILVIS Lab, Department of Forest Ecology and Management, University of Wisconsin
- State of Missouri GIS data
- Tornado and Storm Research Organization (TORRO)
- United States Geological Survey (USGS)
- US Army Corps of Engineers
- US Bureau of the Census and Annual population estimates

- US Community Survey, 2023
- US Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Risk Management Agency Crop Insurance Statistics
- US Department of Transportation
- US Drought Monitor
- US Fish and Wildlife Service
- www.tornadochaser.net
- www.weather.gov

Appendix B

- Planning Process Documentation

County: Linn Co #1 Date&Time: 7/22/2025 2pm-3pm

Printed Name	Jurisdiction	Commute Time	Email or Mobile number (text)
Josh Muck	Linn County	20 min	joshmuck@linnco.mo.com
Nelson Dinsmore	Linn County	15 min	~~nelsondinsmore@linnco.mo.com~~
Glen Murrain	Linn County	15 min	gmurrain@linnco.mo.com
Suzan Stephenson	Linn County	20 min	lin@sus.mo.gov
Galen Briggs	GHRPC		
Amanda George	GHRPC		

104m-114m

County 8/13/2025[illegible]

1:30pm - 2:30pm

HMP Meeting # 2 for Linn County County 8/25/2025

Printed Name	Jurisdiction	Commute Time	Email or Mobile number (text)
ALANSON G DINSMORE	LINN COUNTY COMMISSION	20 min	wekandiasmore@linneo.us.
josh mack	Linnco Commission	20 min	
Amanda George	GHRPC	—	amanda@ghrpc.org
MICHAEL MILLER	SHELBY RURAL F.D. GRANVILLE T.S.	20 MIN	MSM64674@GMAIL.COM
Mat Bower	Linn County Ambulance	15 min	mbower@cadems.com

* AED Registry
Schools need AED's

3:30-5:00pm

8/25/2025

[illegible]

10-10:15 AM

HMP Meeting # 2 for Linn County 8/26/2025

[illegible]

HMP Meeting # 3 for Linn County 9/30/2025

[illegible]

10:00 AM -

HMP Meeting # 3 for Linn County 10/1/2025

[illegible]

Agenda – Linn County Meeting #1

13, 2025
August 12, 2025

- Start Meeting
- Introductions (complete sign-in sheet)
- What is Hazard Mitigation Planning?
 - Existing Plan
 - Update every 5 years
 - Requirement for HMGP grants
- Planning process
 - 3 meetings
 - Outreach and Hazard Identification (This meeting)
 - Risk Assessment & Mitigation Strategies – What are the vulnerabilities within our jurisdiction and Mitigation Strategies that could reduce risk from these hazards
 - Action Prioritization; Reviewing and Adopting the Plan; and Plan Upkeep
- To be a participating jurisdiction, you must do the following:
 - Complete jurisdictional questionnaire (County, School Districts, Cities & Villages, and Special Districts)
 - Attend at least one meeting
 - Provide suggestions about the plan, develop actions that address every hazard your jurisdiction faces, and participate in the planning process
 - Review and Adopt the plan
- Outreach
 - We (GHRPC) have sent letters, emails, and made phone calls to potential stakeholders county wide
 - Public Survey – Please complete and Share on social media
 - Share with Members of the public
 - Share with Employees of the school, city, village, etc.
- Identify Hazards
 - Email – “Hazard Identification for Linn County”
 - Go through this worksheet
- Questions?
 - Local match requirements and cash vs. in-kind discussion

Agenda – Linn County

Meeting #2

- Start Meeting
- Introductions and Sign-In Sheet
- Brief Description of Hazard Mitigation Process
 - Mitigation – actions taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risks to life and property due to natural disasters
 - Involves identifying risks and vulnerabilities
 - Developing strategies to minimize the impact of disasters
 - Mitigation is important, as it is essential for breaking the cycle of damage and repair (which can be costly)
- The Hazard Mitigation Planning Process
- Risk Assessment (4 components)
 - Hazard Identification (Meeting #1)
 - Profiling of Hazard Events (Meeting #1)
 - Inventory of Assets
 - Estimation of potential human and economic losses based on exposure and vulnerability of people, buildings, and infrastructure
- Develop a Mitigation Strategy for each potential hazard
 - This will be based on the risk assessment and hazard identification
- Adopt and Implement the Plan

Agenda – Linn County Meeting #1

August 13th & 14th, 2025

- Start Meeting
- Introductions (complete sign-in sheet)
- What is Hazard Mitigation Planning?
 - Existing Plan
 - Update every 5 years
 - Requirement for HMGP grants
- Planning process
 - 3 meetings
 - Outreach and Hazard Identification (This meeting)
 - Risk Assessment & Mitigation Strategies – What are the vulnerabilities within our jurisdiction and Mitigation Strategies that could reduce risk from these hazards
 - Action Prioritization; Reviewing and Adopting the Plan; and Plan Upkeep
- To be a participating jurisdiction, you must do the following:
 - Complete jurisdictional questionnaire (County, School Districts, Cities & Villages, and Special Districts)
 - Attend at least one meeting
 - Provide suggestions about the plan, develop actions that address every hazard your jurisdiction faces, and participate in the planning process
 - Review and Adopt the plan
- Outreach
 - We (GHRPC) have sent letters, emails, and made phone calls to potential stakeholders county wide
 - Public Survey – Please complete and Share on social media
 - Share with Members of the public
 - Share with Employees of the school, city, village, etc.
- Identify Hazards
 - Email – “Hazard Identification for Daviess County”
 - Go through this worksheet
- Questions?

Agenda – Linn County Meeting #1

August 13th & 14th, 2025

- Start Meeting
- Introductions (complete sign-in sheet)
- What is Hazard Mitigation Planning?
 - Existing Plan
 - Update every 5 years
 - Requirement for HMGP grants
- Planning process
 - 3 meetings
 - Outreach and Hazard Identification (This meeting)
 - Risk Assessment & Mitigation Strategies – What are the vulnerabilities within our jurisdiction and Mitigation Strategies that could reduce risk from these hazards
 - Action Prioritization; Reviewing and Adopting the Plan; and Plan Upkeep
- To be a participating jurisdiction, you must do the following:
 - Complete jurisdictional questionnaire (County, School Districts, Cities & Villages, and Special Districts)
 - Attend at least one meeting
 - Provide suggestions about the plan, develop actions that address every hazard your jurisdiction faces, and participate in the planning process
 - Review and Adopt the plan
- Outreach
 - We (GHRPC) have sent letters, emails, and made phone calls to potential stakeholders county wide
 - Public Survey – Please complete and Share on social media
 - Share with Members of the public
 - Share with Employees of the school, city, village, etc.
- Identify Hazards
 - Email – “Hazard Identification for Daviess County”
 - Go through this worksheet
- Questions?



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Linn County HMP Meeting #1 (In-person)
109 N. High Street, Linneus
Annex Building
Meeting Minutes
August 12th, 2025

1. **Call to Order:** The meeting was called to order at 1:30pm by Amanda George.
2. **Attendance:** Attendance and introductions.

Michael Miller
Michael Smith
Burnie Hicks
Chuck Norman

Grantsville T.S.
Laclede Fire
City of Brookfield
City of Brookfield

RPC Staff
Amanda George
Glen Briggs

3. **What is Hazard Mitigation Planning?**

- Existing Plan
- Updates every 5 years
- Requirements for HMGP Grants

4. **Planning Process**

- 3 in-person meetings and 3 corresponding virtual meetings.
 - **Meeting 1** Outreach and Hazard Identification (this Meeting)
 - **Meeting 2** Risk Assessment & Mitigation Strategies – What are the vulnerabilities within our jurisdiction and Mitigation Strategies that could reduce risk from these hazards.
 - **Meeting 3** Action Prioritization: Reviewing and Adopting the Plan: and Plan Upkeep.

5. **To be a participating jurisdiction, you must do the following:**

- Complete jurisdictional questionnaire (County, School District, Cities & Villages, and Special Districts)
- Attend at least one meeting
- Provide suggestions about the plan, develop actions that address every hazard your jurisdiction faces, and participate in the planning process
- Review and adopt the plan

6. Outreach

- We (GHRPC) have sent letters, emails, and made phone calls to potential stakeholder's county wide
- Public Survey – Please complete and share on social media
 - Share with members of the public
 - Share with Employees of the school, city, village, etc.

7. Identify Hazards

- Emailed/handed out to all attendees a copy of "Hazard Identification for Harrison County"
- Detailed each hazard outlined on "Hazard Identification for Harrison County" worksheet with examples.

Opened the floor for questions?

Meeting Adjourned at 2pm.



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Linn County HMP Meeting #1 (Virtual)

Zoom

Meeting Minutes

August 13th, 2025

1. **Call to Order:** The meeting was called to order at 10am by Amanda George.

2. **Attendance:** Attendance and introductions.

Mike Kahn

Erick Hoyt

Jeff Haley

Bucklin R-II.

Brookfield R-III

Meadville R-V

RPC Staff

Amanda George

Glen Briggs

Brandy Jones

3. **What is Hazard Mitigation Planning?**

- Existing Plan
- Updates every 5 years
- Requirements for HMGP Grants

4. **Planning Process**

- 3 in-person meetings and 3 corresponding virtual meetings.
 - **Meeting 1** Outreach and Hazard Identification (this Meeting)
 - **Meeting 2** Risk Assessment & Mitigation Strategies – What are the vulnerabilities within our jurisdiction and Mitigation Strategies that could reduce risk from these hazards.
 - **Meeting 3** Action Prioritization: Reviewing and Adopting the Plan: and Plan Upkeep.

5. **To be a participating jurisdiction, you must do the following:**

- Complete jurisdictional questionnaire (County, School District, Cities & Villages, and Special Districts)
- Attend at least one meeting
- Provide suggestions about the plan, develop actions that address every hazard your jurisdiction faces, and participate in the planning process
- Review and adopt the plan

6. **Outreach**

- We (GHRPC) have sent letters, emails, and made phone calls to potential stakeholder's county wide
- Public Survey – Please complete and share on social media
 - Share with members of the public
 - Share with Employees of the school, city, village, etc.

7. Identify Hazards

- Emailed/handed out to all attendees a copy of "Hazard Identification for Harrison County"
- Detailed each hazard outlined on "Hazard Identification for Harrison County" worksheet with examples.

Opened the floor for questions?

Meeting Adjourned at 11am.



Green Hills Regional Planning Commission

August 12 · 🌐

...

Green Hills Regional Planning Commission needs your participation in the 2025 Hazard Mitigation Planning for Linn County. ... See more



**HAZARD
MITIGATION
PLANNING EVENT**

IS YOUR COMMUNITY
PREPARED FOR DISASTER?

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

THE LINN COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN IS BEING UPDATED. PLEASE
ATTEND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE!

MEETING #1	MEETING #2	MEETING #3
AUGUST 12, 2025	AUGUST 25, 2025	SEPT. 30, 2025
1:30-3	1:30-3	1:30-3
109 N. HIGH ST. LINNEUS, MO	109 N. HIGH ST. LINNEUS, MO	109 N. HIGH ST. LINNEUS, MO

ZOOM LINK AVAILABLE ON GHRPC.ORG WEBSITE FOR THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS

VIRTUAL MEETING #1: AUGUST 13 10AM-12PM
VIRTUAL MEETING #2: AUGUST 26 10AM-12PM
VIRTUAL MEETING #3: OCTOBER 1 10AM-12PM

 **Link to Public Survey**



Contact Green Hills Regional Planning Commission for more information. (660) 359-5636 ext 11
or amanda@ghrpc.org for more information.

👍 1



HAZARD

MITIGATION

PLANNING EVENT

IS YOUR COMMUNITY
PREPARED FOR DISASTER?

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

THE LINN COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN IS BEING UPDATED. PLEASE
ATTEND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE!

MEETING #1	MEETING #2	MEETING #3
AUGUST 12, 2025 1:30-3 109 N. HIGH ST. LINNEUS, MO	AUGUST 25, 2025 1:30-3 109 N. HIGH ST. LINNEUS, MO	SEPT. 30, 2025 1:30-3 109 N. HIGH ST. LINNEUS, MO

ZOOM LINK AVAILABLE ON GHRPC.ORG WEBSITE FOR THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS
VIRTUAL MEETING #1: AUGUST 13 10AM-12PM
VIRTUAL MEETING #2: AUGUST 26 10AM-12PM
VIRTUAL MEETING #3: OCTOBER 1 10AM-12PM

Link to Public Survey



Contact Green Hills Regional Planning Commission for more information. (660) 359-5636 ext 11
or amanda@ghrpc.org for more information.



Green Hills Regional Planning Commission ...

Published by Brandy Stretch Jones · August 12 · 🌐

Green Hills Regional Planning Commission needs your participation in the 2025 Hazard Mitigation Planning for Linn County.

#ghrpc #brookfieldmo #browningmo
#bucklinmo #lacedemo #linneusmo
#marcelinemo #MeadvilleMo #purdinmo
#brookfieldrii #hazardmitigation
#FederalEmergencyManagementAgency... See more

Edit Boost post

1



No comments yet
Be the first to comment.

Comment as Green Hills Regional Plan...





HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING EVENT

IS YOUR COMMUNITY
PREPARED FOR DISASTER?

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

THE LINN COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN IS BEING UPDATED. PLEASE
ATTEND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE!

MEETING #1	MEETING #2	MEETING #3
AUGUST 12, 2025	AUGUST 25, 2025	SEPT. 30, 2025
1:30-3	1:30-3	1:30-3
109 N. HIGH ST. LINNEUS, MO	109 N. HIGH ST. LINNEUS, MO	109 N. HIGH ST. LINNEUS, MO

ZOOM LINK AVAILABLE ON GHRPC.ORG WEBSITE FOR THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS

VIRTUAL MEETING #1: AUGUST 13 10AM-12PM
VIRTUAL MEETING #2: AUGUST 26 10AM-12PM
VIRTUAL MEETING #3: OCTOBER 1 10AM-12PM

Link to Public Survey



Contact Green Hills Regional Planning Commission for more information, (660) 359-5636 ext 11
or amanda@ghrpc.org for more information.



Green Hills Regional Planning
Commission ...

Published by Brandy Stretch Jones ·
September 23 at 4:51 PM · 🌐

Final round of meeting for Linn County's Hazard Mitigation Plan. Don't miss out on having your community represented. If your unable to attend the In-Person or virtual meeting, please call Green Hills Regional Planning Commission to schedule a private meeting with Amanda.

#ghrpc #linncountymo #brookfieldmo
#browningmo #bucklinmo #lacledeemo
#linneusmo #marcelinemo #MeadvilleMo
#purdinmo

Edit

Boost post



No comments yet

Be the first to comment



Comment as Green Hills Regional Plan...





Linn County

Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is now ready for public feedback.



Green Hills Regional Planning Commission ...

Published by Brandy Stretch Jones · Just now ·

Linn County your Hazard Mitigation Plan is now ready for public feedback. Please review the plan and let us know what you think.

Click Here to review the plan and leave feedback.

[https://ghrpc.org/about-](https://ghrpc.org/about-us/ghrpc/programs/hazard-mitigation/)

[us/ghrpc/programs/hazard-mitigation/](https://ghrpc.org/about-us/ghrpc/programs/hazard-mitigation/)

#linncountymo #hmp #ghrpc

Edit

Boost post

Like

Comment

Share



No comments yet

Be the first to comment.



Comment as Green Hills Regional Plan...



Identifying Vulnerable Assets for Linn County

Jurisdiction: Commission

Refer to the Hazard Identification Worksheet where you described issues that you have had with the identified hazards. We are now going to look at specific community assets that can be affected by the identified hazards.

Instructions: For the hazards that affect your community, identify two to three examples of assets that can be affected by the identified hazards.

Vulnerable Assets	What makes this group/asset vulnerable during hazards? Have there ever been issues with recovery after an event?
People (Residents, workers, visiting populations, and socially vulnerable populations like seniors, individuals with disabilities, lower-income individuals, etc.)	
Seniors	
First Responders	
Structures (Community centers, historic places, planned capital improvement)	
Economic Assets (Major employers, primary economic sectors, key infrastructure like telecommunications networks)	
Fuel Stations	
Walsworth	Several Employers
Moore Farm	" "
Brookfield Farm	" "

Natural, Historic, and Cultural Resources (Areas of conservation, beaches, parks, critical habitats)

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure (Hospitals, law enforcement, water, power)

Hospital	
Water plants	

Community Activities (Major local events such as festivals or economic events like farming or fishing)

Balloon Derby	outdoor event with hot air balloons

Are there other assets that you can think to include?

Identifying Vulnerable Assets for LINN County

Jurisdiction: _____

Refer to the Hazard Identification Worksheet where you described issues that you have had with the identified hazards. We are now going to look at specific community assets that can be affected by the identified hazards.

Instructions: For the hazards that affect your community, identify two to three examples of assets that can be affected by the identified hazards.

Vulnerable Assets	What makes this group/asset vulnerable during hazards? Have there ever been issues with recovery after an event?
People (Residents, workers, visiting populations, and socially vulnerable populations like seniors, individuals with disabilities, lower-income individuals, etc.)	
Hospitals	
Care facilities	
Schools	
Structures (Community centers, historic places, planned capital improvement)	
See Above	
Economic Assets (Major employers, primary economic sectors, key infrastructure like telecommunications networks)	
Walsworth	
School districts	
Cell phone Towers	
Businesses	

Natural, Historic, and Cultural Resources (Areas of conservation, beaches, parks, critical habitats)

Fountain Grove

Pershing Park

Brookfield, meadows, wineries, Poodin, Maclede
hachedes

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure (Hospitals, law enforcement, water, power)

See Before

Community Activities (Major local events such as festivals or economic events like farming or fishing)

Recreation

Farms = people and machines

Festivals in all areas

Are there other assets that you can think to include?

Identifying Vulnerable Assets for Linn County

Jurisdiction: _____

Refer to the Hazard Identification Worksheet where you described issues that you have had with the identified hazards. We are now going to look at specific community assets that can be affected by the identified hazards.

Instructions: For the hazards that affect your community, identify two to three examples of assets that can be affected by the identified hazards.

Vulnerable Assets	What makes this group/asset vulnerable during hazards? Have there ever been issues with recovery after an event?
People (Residents, workers, visiting populations, and socially vulnerable populations like seniors, individuals with disabilities, lower-income individuals, etc.)	
Gov. Housing	
Senior Housing	
Schools	
Structures (Community centers, historic places, planned capital improvement)	
Economic Assets (Major employers, primary economic sectors, key infrastructure like telecommunications networks)	
Electrical Grid	
Walsworth - Brookfield + Marceline	
Industrial Park - Brookfield	

Natural, Historic, and Cultural Resources (Areas of conservation, beaches, parks, critical habitats)	
---	--

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure (Hospitals, law enforcement, water, power)	
--	--

Hospital - Brookfield	
-----------------------	--

911 Center	
------------	--

Ambulance Station	
-------------------	--

Fire Stations	
---------------	--

Community Activities (Major local events such as festivals or economic events like farming or fishing)	
---	--

Balloon Derby - Labor Day	
---------------------------	--

Are there other assets that you can think to include?	
--	--



Green Hills Regional Planning Commission

For Immediate Release:

Linn County, MO – The Green Hills Regional Planning Commission (GHRPC) is pleased to announce that the Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) is now available for public review on the GHRPC website at ghrpc.org. This plan is an important step in reducing the impact of natural hazards in Linn County and guiding future mitigation activities across the region.

The Hazard Mitigation Plan identifies risks and vulnerabilities and outlines practical steps to lessen the effects of emergencies, including severe weather events such as floods, tornadoes, snowstorms, and thunderstorms. It provides a coordinated approach to emergency preparedness, response, and recovery, ensuring that local governments, residents, and businesses are better equipped to handle potential disasters.

Linn County residents and stakeholders are encouraged to review the draft plan and provide feedback through the avenues specified on the GHRPC website. Public input is vital to create a robust and actionable plan that accurately reflects the community's needs and priorities. The plan also helps the County and participating municipalities qualify for federal funding for mitigation projects and community safety initiatives.

For more information, or to submit comments, visit the **Green Hills Regional Planning Commission website** at ghrpc.org or contact the Green Hills Regional Planning Commission at 660-359-5636 ext. 11 or email: amanda@ghrpc.org.



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

July 1st, 2025

On behalf of the County, you are invited to participate in updating the LinnCounty Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan. **Jurisdictions (including county and city governments, public school districts, and special districts) that do not participate in an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan are NOT eligible to apply for FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Assistance grants.**

Linn County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Linn County Annex Building

109 N High Street

Linneus, MO 64653

August 12th 1:30pm-3pm

Your County Commissioners are working to update the County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan to better protect the people and property of your County from the effects of natural hazard events. The existing plan was approved by FEMA on September 20, 2021. The plan update will be prepared pursuant to the requirements of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-390) and the implementing regulations. These regulations establish the requirements that hazard mitigation plans must meet for the County and the participating jurisdictions in the County to be eligible for certain federal disaster assistance and hazard mitigation funding under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Act (Public Law 93-288). Because the County is subject to many kinds of hazards, access to these federal programs is vital.

What is a Hazard Mitigation Plan?

A hazard mitigation plan is the result of a planning process which identifies policies and actions that can be implemented over the long term to reduce the risk and future losses resulting from natural hazard events. The Linn County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update will address a comprehensive list of natural hazards likely to impact each County. The identified mitigation policies and actions will be based on an assessment of natural hazards, vulnerabilities, and risks.

The hazard mitigation planning process is also heavily dependent on the participation of representatives from local government agencies and departments, the public, and other stakeholder groups. A Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee is typically formed to support this project and will include representatives from the County, cities, school districts, private-non-profit entities, business partners, academic institutions, and other local, state, and federal agencies acting in or serving in the County.

What is My Role in the Planning Process?

The Green Hills Regional Planning Commission has taken the lead in updating this plan. The point of contact is Amanda George, Hazard Mitigation Specialist. To successfully complete this project and ensure your organization is eligible for FEMA hazard mitigation assistance funding, we need your participation and input. Jurisdictions (including county and city governments, public school districts, and special districts) that do not participate in an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan are NOT eligible to apply for FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Assistance grants. Participation in the planning process will include:

- Contribute to the informational planning meeting and/or planning process;
- Providing requested data (as available);
- Reviewing and providing comments on plan drafts;
- Advertising, coordinating, and participating in the public input process; and
- Formal adoption of the plan which encompasses your submitted information.

What can I expect by participating in the informational meeting and planning process?

You will be provided with information on what activities are required to be performed to be included in the plan. Participation activities include the following:

- Participating jurisdictions will be required to complete as much of the data questionnaire as possible and return, complete critical/essential facilities, and non-governments employer form.
- Risk Assessment: Review and provide comments on the risk assessment.
- Mitigation Strategy: Updating of existing mitigation actions and identification and development of new mitigation strategies based upon the risk assessment will be completed.

Additional Resources

The following links provide additional information on hazard mitigation and the planning process.

- County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan specific to each county,
- The requirements and procedures for state, tribal, and local mitigation plans as presented in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 44, Chapter 1, Part 201, <http://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/risk-management/hazard-mitigation-planning/regulations-guidance>
- Local Hazard Mitigation Planning Fact Sheet, enclosed for your reference.

Thank you,

Amanda George

Amanda George
Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist
Green Hills Regional Planning Commission
Office: (660) 359-5636 Ext. 25, Text: (660) 953-0815
email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Enclosures

- Neighboring Communities:
 - City of New Cambria
 - City of Wheeling
- Local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities
 - Brookfield Fire Department
 - Brookfield Rural Fire
 - Browning City Fire Department
 - Browning Municipal Rural Fire Department
 - Bucklin Volunteer Fire Department
 - Laclede Community Fire Protection
 - Linneus Fire Protection District
 - Marceline Fire Department
 - Marceline Rural Fire Protection Association
 - Meadville Fire Department
 - Meadville Rural Fire
 - Shelby Rural Volunteer Fire Department
 - Linn County Ambulance District
 - Linn County Sheriff
 - Complete Family Medicine
 - Meadville Medical Clinic
 - Compass Health Network
 - Community Medical Associates
 - Pershing Memorial Hospital
 - Saint Francis Hospital Heliport
 - Public Water Supply District 3
 - Bucklin City Water Department
 - Marceline Water Department
 - Brookfield Water Department
- Agencies with the authority to regulate development:
 - City of Brookfield
 - City of Browning
 - City of Bucklin
 - City of Laclede
 - City of Linneus
 - City of Marceline
 - City of Meadville
 - City of Purdin
 - Brookfield Emergency Coordinator
 - Linn County Emergency Manager
 - Marceline Emergency Coordinator
 - Meadville Emergency Coordinator
 - Brookfield Floodplain
 - Browning Floodplain
 - Linneus Floodplain
 - Marceline Floodplain
- Businesses & Academia
 - Brookfield R-III
 - Bucklin R-II

- Linn County R-I
 - Marceline R-V
 - Meadville R-IV
 - Walsworth Publishing
 - Apex Plastics
 - Southeastern Grain Company
 - Yamel Equipment & Trucking
 - Green Hills Recyclers
 - Brookfield Fabricating
- Other Private and Non-Profit interests, including underserved/vulnerable populations
 - Brookfield Senior Center
 - Marceline Senior Center
 - Life Care Center
 - McLarney Manor
 - Bristol Manor
 - Serve Link Home Care
 - Ministries in Linn County Food Bank

HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING EVENT

IS YOUR COMMUNITY
PREPARED FOR DISASTER?

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

THE LINN COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN IS BEING UPDATED. PLEASE ATTEND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE!

MEETING #1
AUGUST 12, 2025
1:30-3
109 N. HIGH ST.
LINNEUS, MO

MEETING #2
AUGUST 25, 2025
1:30-3
109 N. HIGH ST.
LINNEUS, MO

MEETING #3
SEPT. 30, 2025
1:30-3
109 N. HIGH ST.
LINNEUS, MO

ZOOM LINK AVAILABLE ON GHRPC.ORG WEBSITE FOR THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS

VIRTUAL MEETING #1: AUGUST 13 10AM-12PM

VIRTUAL MEETING #2: AUGUST 26 10AM-12PM

VIRTUAL MEETING #3: OCTOBER 1 10AM-12PM

Link to Public Survey



Contact Green Hills Regional Planning Commission for more information. (660) 359-5636 ext 11
or amanda@ghrpc.org for more information.

Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Wheeling
P.O. Box 76
Wheeling, MO 64688

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGESM
FIRST-CLASSSM
028W0002310856
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of New Cambria
P.O. Box 56
New Cambria, MO 63558

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGESM
FIRST-CLASSSM
028W0002310856
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 9
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310836
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Linn County Sheriff
115 W Jackson Street
Linneus, MO 64653



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312053
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



City of Brookfield
116 W Brooks Street
Brookfield, MO 64628

Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Browning
313 W Main Street
Browning, MO 64630

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹
US POSTAGE[™]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002310960
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Linneus
108 N High Street
Linneus, MO 64653

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹
US POSTAGE[™]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002311069
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Purdin
408 Church Street
Purdin, MO 64674

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311248
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Meadville
310 Macon St
Meadville, MO 64659

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310300
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Laclede
801 Pershing Dr
Laclede, MO 64651

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312160
2000097110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

City of Bucklin
606 Ask Street
Bucklin, MO 64631

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312160
2000097110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025





Green Hills Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64603

City of Marceline
116 N main Street
Marceline, MO 64658

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311098
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64603

Brookfield Emergency Coordinator
Chris Norman
116 W. Brooks
Brookfield, MO 64628

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311098
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64653

Linn County Emergency Manager
Dusty Parks
1007 Vernon Street
Laclede, MO 64651

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁰
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311262
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64653

Marceline Emergency Coordinator
Mikeal Thompson
116 N Main Street
Marceline, MO 64658

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁰
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311263
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64653

PTNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002310196
2000087110
ZIP 64653
JUL 22 2025



Meadville Emergency Coordinator
Mike Holcer
310 South Macon Street
Meadville, MO 64659

 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64653

PTNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002310310
2000087110
ZIP 64653
JUL 22 2025



Linneus Floodplain Admin
Larry Howard
200 S. Buchanan Street
Linneus, MO 64653



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES

\$0.740

US POSTAGESM
FIRST-CLASS

028W0002310943
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Marceline Floodplain Admin

Mikeal Thompson

116 N. Main Street

Marceline, MO 64658



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES

\$0.740

US POSTAGESM
FIRST-CLASS

028W0002310943
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Brookfield FloodPlain Admin

Chris Norman

116 W Brooks

Brookfield, MO 64628



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310616
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Bucklin City Water Department
S. Shelby Street
Bucklin, MO 64631



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310942
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Marceline Rural Fire Prot Assoc
306 N Kansas Ave
Marceline, MO 64658



Green Hills Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Laclede Comm fire Protection
P.O. Box 139
Laclede, MO 64651

PITNEY BOWES

\$0.74

US POSTAGE

FIRST-CLASS

028W0002310616

2000087110

ZIP 64683

JUL 22 2025

JUL 22 2025



Green Hills Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Browning Flood plain Admin
Chad Gooch
P.O. Box 195
Browning, MO 64630

PITNEY BOWES

\$0.74

US POSTAGE

FIRST-CLASS

028W0002311081

2000087110

ZIP 64683

JUL 22 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310893
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Water Department
126 E Chicago Ave
Marceline, MO 64658

 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311242
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills Recyclers
703 Pershing Drive
Laclede, MO 64651



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Apex Plastics,
570 S Main Street
Brookfield, MO 64628

Serve Link Home Care
219 W Helm Street
Brookfield, MO 64628

PTNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312159
2000097110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



PTNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312159
2000097110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025





Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683



Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Life Care Center of Brookfield
315 Hunts Street
Brookfield, MO 64628

Brookfield Fabricating
111 Stanbury Industrial Drive
Brookfield, MO 64628

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310939
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310939
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Bucklin R-II
26832 Hwy 129
Bucklin, MO 64631

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.740
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311081
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Meadville R-IV
101 W Crandall St
Meadville, MO 64659

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.740
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311246
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025





Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Senior Center
143 Clawson Road
Brookfield, MO 64628



Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

McLarney Manor
215 E Pratt Steet
Brookfield, Mo 64628





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Brookfield R-III
124A Pershing Road
Brookfield, MO 64628


PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W00002310856
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Marceline R-V
400 E Santa Fe Ave
Marceline, MO 64658

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W00002310816
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Pershing Memorial Hospital
130 E Locking Ave
Brookfield, MO 64628

Linn County Ambulance District
28600 Mo-11
Brookfield, MO 64628

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311081
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312049
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64653

Senior Center
229 W Hauser
Marceline, MO 64658

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312048
2000087110
ZIP 64653
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64653

Bristol Manor
102 Hayden Street
Marceline, MO 64658

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311242
2000087110
ZIP 64653
JUL 22 2025





Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64663

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310938
2000087110
ZIP 64663
JUL 22 2025



Southeastern Grain Company
605 Front Street
Laclede, Mo 64651



Green Hills

Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64663

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310860
2000087110
ZIP 64663
JUL 22 2025



Ministries in Linn County Food Bank
122 W Clark Street
Brookfield, MO 64628

 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Brookfield Water Department
116 W Brooks Street
Brookfield, MO 64628

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312049
2000097110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Linn County R-I
P.O. Box 130
Purdin, MO 64674

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311097
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Public Water Supply District 3
814 W Helm Street
Brookfield, MO 64628

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311242
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



 Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Meadville Medical Clinic
101 E Hayward Street
Meadville, MO 64659

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310856
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64658



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64658

Walsworth Publishing
803 S Missouri Ave
Marceline, MO 64658

Yarnell Equipment & Trucking
2015 North Runway Drive
Marceline, Mo 64658

PTNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311242
ZIP 64658
JUL 22 2025



PTNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311087
ZIP 64658
JUL 22 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74

US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312049
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025




Linneus Fire Protection District
P.O. Box 69
Linneus, MO 64653



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74

US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310939
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Meadville Fire Department
310 S Macon St
Meadville, MO 64659



Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Browning Municipal Rural Fire Dept
51389 Villa Rd
Milan, MO 63559

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310942
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Regional Planning Commission

810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Brookfield Fire Department
116 W Brooks
Brookfield, MO 64628

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.749
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312048
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025





Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Community Medical Associates
125 E Locking Ave
Brookfield, MO 64628

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312049
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Complete Family Medicine
624 W Locking Ave
Brookfield, MO 64628

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312049
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

Saint Francis Hospital Heliport
224 Hayden Street
Marceline, MO 64658

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310939
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Green Hills
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE™
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310939
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Compass Health Network
1600 N Missouri Ave
Marceline, MO 64658

 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64603

Meadville Rural Fire
310 S Macon St
Meadville, MO 64659

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312048
2000087110
ZIP 64653
JUL 22 2025



 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64603

Marceline Fire Department
306 N Kansas Ave
Marceline, MO 64658

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310853
2000087110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
0281W0002310916
2000097110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Bucklin Volunteer Fire Department
22 N Livingston
Bucklin, MO 64631

 **Green Hills**
Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64683

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74 0
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
0281W0002310916
2000097110
ZIP 64683
JUL 22 2025



Browning City Fire Department
P.O. Box 195
Browning, MO 64630



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64622

Brookfield Rural Fire
P.O. Box 574
Brookfield, MO 64628

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002310980
2000097110
ZIP 64693
JUL 22 2025



Regional Planning Commission
810 Washington Street, Trenton, Missouri 64622

Shelby Rural Volunteer Fire Dept
12084 June Dr
Purdin, MO 64674

PITNEY BOWES
\$0.74⁹
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS[™]
028W0002312033
2000097110
ZIP 64693
JUL 22 2025



Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: LINN COUNTY

JURISDICTION: CITY OF LINNEUS

RETURN BY: DECEMBER 2, 2025

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. ***A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.*** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process ***will not*** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: LAWAIN COOLEY, CITY CLERK IN TRAINING

PHONE: 660-895-5133

EMAIL: CLINNEUS@GRM.NET

DATE: NOVEMBER 14, 2025

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT & INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the ***underlined and bold*** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document*** to the contact listed on the front.

<i>CAPABILITIES</i>	<i>Status, Including Date of Document or Policy</i>
<i>PLANNING CAPABILITIES</i>	
<i>Comprehensive Plan</i>	<i>None at this time</i>
Builder's Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
Capital Improvement Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
City Emergency Operations Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
County Emergency Operations Plan	<i>Yes. See Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan</i>
Local Recovery Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
County Recovery Plan	<i>Yes. See Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan</i>
City Mitigation Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
County Mitigation Plan	<i>Yes. See Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan</i>
Debris Management Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
<i>Economic Development Plan</i>	<i>None at this time</i>
Transportation Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
Land-use Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	<i>None at this time, Ordinance B-151 prohibits building and development in the floodplain of Linneus</i>
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	<i>Not applicable</i>
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	<i>Linneus Fire Protection District</i>
School Mitigation Plan	<i>Not applicable</i>

<i>Critical Facilities Plan</i>	<i>None at this time</i>
<i>POLICIES/ORDINANCE</i>	
Zoning Ordinance	<i>B-151 prohibits development in the floodplain</i>
Building Code	<i>None at this time</i>
Floodplain Ordinance	<i>Yes, B-151 prohibits development in the floodplain</i>
Subdivision Ordinance	<i>None at this time</i>
Tree Trimming Ordinance	<i>None at this time</i>
Nuisance Ordinance	<i>None at this time</i>
Stormwater Ordinance	<i>Yes</i>
Drainage Ordinance	<i>Yes</i>
Site Plan Review Requirements	<i>None at this time</i>
Historic Preservation Ordinance	<i>None at this time</i>
Landscape Ordinance	<i>Yes,</i>
Seismic Construction Ordinance	<i>Not applicable</i>
<i>PROGRAM</i>	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	<i>None at this time</i>
Codes Building Site/Design	<i>None at this time</i>
Hazard Awareness Program	<i>None at this time</i>
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	<i>No</i>
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	<i>No, the city does not currently participate in the NFIP. The City enforces compliance with the NFIP with floodplain ordinances, but does not have adequate resources to support enforcement.</i>
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	<i>Residents follow individual storm readiness protocol</i>
Firewise Community Certification	<i>Not at this time</i>
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	<i>Not applicable</i>
ISO Fire Rating	<i>ISO 6</i>
Economic Development Program	<i>None at this time</i>
Land Use Program	<i>None at this time</i>
Public Education/Awareness	<i>None at this time</i>
Property Acquisition	<i>County Courthouse</i>
Planning/Zoning Boards	<i>City Council</i>

Stream Maintenance Program	City Utility Department yearly maintenance plan
Tree Trimming Program	City Utility Department yearly maintenance plan
<u>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local / County / Regional)</u>	City Utility Department yearly maintenance plan
Mutual Aid Agreements	None at this time, local individual volunteerism
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<u>Hazard Analysis / Risk Assessment (Local)</u>	None at this time
<u>Hazard Analysis / Risk Assessment (County)</u>	See Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan
Flood Insurance Maps	See Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	See Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan
Evacuation Route Map	See Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan
<u>Critical Facilities Inventory</u>	None at this time
<u>Vulnerable Population Inventory</u>	None at this time
<u>Land Use Map</u>	None at this time
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	
Building Code Official	None at this time
Building Inspector	None at this time
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	None at this time
Engineer	None at this time
Development Planner	None at this time
Public Works Official	Yes, Duane Davis, Utilities Superintendent, Full time
Emergency Management Director	None at this time
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	None at this time
Emergency Response Team	Linneus Fire Protection District
Hazardous Materials Expert	Linneus Fire Protection District
Local Emergency Planning Committee	Linneus Fire Protection District
County Emergency Management Commission	Linneus Fire Protection District
Sanitation Department	City Utility Department
Transportation Department	None at this time, not applicable

Economic Development Department	<i>None at this time</i>
Housing Department	<i>None at this time</i>
Historic Preservation	<i>None at this time</i>
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	<i>No local Red Cross agency located nearby</i>
Salvation Army	<i>No local Salvation Army office located nearby</i>
Veterans Groups	<i>None available locally</i>
Local Environmental Organization	<i>None available locally</i>
Homeowner Associations	<i>None</i>
Neighborhood Associations	<i>None</i>
Chamber of Commerce	<i>Located in Brookfield, MO</i>
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	<i>None</i>
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	
Apply for Community Development Block Grants	<i>Yes, through Green Hills Planning Commission</i>
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	<i>Not applicable</i>
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	<i>Yes, with City Council and resident voter approval</i>
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	<i>Yes</i>
Impact fees for new development	<i>No</i>
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	<i>No</i>
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	<i>Yes</i>
Ability to incur debt through private activities	<i>No</i>
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	<i>No</i>

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
Builder's Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
Capital Improvement Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
Local Recovery Plan	<i>None at this time, in case of storm damage to streets, local volunteers work together with Utility Superintendent to clear the streets as quickly as possible. Vegetation debris is disposed of and burned at the City brush pile.</i>
County Recovery Plan	<i>See Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan</i>
Debris Management Plan	<i>Vegetation debris is disposed of and burned at the City brush pile.</i>
Economic Development Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
Transportation Plan	<i>Not applicable</i>
Land-use Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
Watershed Plan	<i>None at this time</i>
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	<i>Linneus Fire Protection District, volunteer fire fighter participation and Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan</i>

Additional Questions

- How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members)
Mayor and City Council with four members with rotating terms.
- List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education. *None at this time*
- List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants. *None at this time, see Linn County Hazard Mitigation Plan*
- Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers. *Linneus Fire Protection District with volunteer fire fighter work together to assist local residents.*
- How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community? *One fire whistle*

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)? *Whistle is activated by the Linneus Fire Protection District upon their discretion to alert the town in case of emergency.*
- Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe. *No, residents use their own individual emergency protocols*

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/safe rooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards? *Yes, the church basements serve as storm shelters. The basements do not meet FEMA standards.*
Please provide address locations:
1. *Linneus First Baptist Church, 240 E. State St. Linneus, MO 64653*
 2. *Linneus Methodist Church, 308 N. High St. Linneus, MO 64653*
8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update. *None*
9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas. *City Ordinance B-151 does not allow development of the floodplain area or any other hazard-prone area.*
10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known. *No known projects in the next five years.*
11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees. *None*
12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not? *The City Council members served previously and designated the City Clerk as the Planning Committee Member. The City does not have adequate resources or staffing to support the requirements of the mitigation initiatives. The City Council dictates the City of Linneus mitigation plan. The Linneus Fire Protection District and volunteer first responders respond to the needs in the community during emergencies.*
13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally. *The city does not currently participate in the NFIP. The City enforces compliance with the NFIP with floodplain ordinances, but does not have adequate resources to support enforcement.*

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF
Levee Failure – LF
Dam Failure – DF
Earthquake – EQ
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS
Wildfire - W

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories

of critical assets. **Essential facilities** are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. **High potential loss facilities** are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. **Transportation and lifeline facilities** are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or interoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
<i>Essential Facilities such as hospitals and other medical facilities, police and fire stations, Emergency Operations Centers</i>		
<i>Linneus Fire Protection District – Fire Station</i>	<i>200 E. State St. Linneus, MO 64653</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>
<i>County Sheriff's Office</i>	<i>115 E. Jackson Street Linneus, MO 64653</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>
<i>High Potential Loss Facilities such as power plants, dams/levees, military installations, hazardous materials sites, shelters, day care centers, nursing homes, main government buildings (Do not include schools - they will be reported by the school districts)</i>		
<i>Transportation and Lifelines such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities</i>		
<i>Electric Power Facility</i>	<i>220 S. Buchanan St. Linneus</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>
<i>Emergency Operations</i>	<i>Fire Station, 200 E. State Street. Linneus</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>
<i>Linn County Courthouse buildings</i>	<i>108 N High Street Linneus</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>
<i>Potable Water Facility</i>	<i>Water tower, 303 W. Sandusky St. Linneus</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>
<i>Tier II Chemical Facility</i>	<i>Lagoons west of town</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>
<i>Missouri Highway 5</i>	<i>Runs North/South through Linneus</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
<i>Missouri Highway P</i>	<i>Runs East/West through Linneus</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>
<i>Water Tower</i>	<i>Approx. 303 W. Sandusky St. Linneus</i>	<i>D, ST, SWW,T, W, EQ, ST</i>

Economic Assets (Major Employers, etc.)

Asset	Address	Product/Service	Number of Employees	Hazards
<i>N/A</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	<i>City of Linneus, Missouri 64653</i>
Type of event	<i>Tornado</i>
Nature and magnitude of event	<i>F-2 tornado crossed through Linneus but never touched down to the ground.</i>
Location	<i>Linn County Missouri, Linneus area</i>
Date of event	<i>May 6, 2023</i>
Injuries	<i>No reported injuries</i>
Deaths	<i>None</i>
Property damage	<i>Several homes and buildings were heavily damaged during the strong winds. Roads were damaged by the heavy equipment used during the debris clean up.</i>
Infrastructure damage	<i>Many power lines were damaged and broken down during the tornado causing power outages for days.</i>
Crop damage	<i>No reported crop damage</i>
Business/economic impacts	<i>The tornado causing power outages for several days.</i>
Road/school/other closures	<i>The tornado causing power outages for several days.</i>
Other damage	<i>\$215,369.00 in total expenses paid by the City to cover structural damages and clean up</i>
Insured losses	<i>\$7,950.00</i>
Federal/state disaster relief funding	<i>No funding granted</i>
Source of information	<i>City of Linneus Clerk records</i>
Comments	<i>Although the city sustained heavy damage, FEMA did not grant any funds to assist the City with the recovery.</i>

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction: City of Linneus, Missouri

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, **perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.**

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress	
1	Emergency Preparedness Education		X		Linneus Fire Protection District
2	Weather Alerts		X		Linneus Fire Protection District
3	Accessible Contact Information		X		Linneus Fire Protection District
4	Critical Facilities Backup		X		Linneus Fire Protection District
5	Debris Removal		X		
6	Mutual Aid Agreements		X		Linneus Fire Protection District
7	Rep on county Hazard steering Committee			X	Linneus Fire Protection District
8	Storm shelters		X		
9	Weather Spotter training			X	
10	Vulnerable population identification			X	
11	NFIP participation			X	
12	Pandemic Response			X	

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: LINN COUNTY

JURISDICTION: CITY OF BROOKFIELD

RETURN BY: JANUARY 15, 2025

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. ***A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each “jurisdiction” that wishes to be included in the plan.*** According to FEMA’s definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process ***will not*** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: CHRIS NORMAN

PHONE: 660-258-3377

EMAIL: CNORMAN@BROOKFIELD CITY.COM DATE: 1/8/2026

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the ***underlined and bold*** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document*** to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<i>Comprehensive Plan</i>	Yes
Builder's Plan	No
Capital Improvement Plan	No
City Emergency Operations Plan	Yes, adoption of the county plan
County Emergency Operations Plan	Yes
Local Recovery Plan	Yes, adoption of the county plan
County Recovery Plan	Yes
City Mitigation Plan	no
County Mitigation Plan	no
Debris Management Plan	no
<i>Economic Development Plan</i>	no
Transportation Plan	no
Land-use Plan	no

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	no
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	no
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	no
School Mitigation Plan	no
Critical Facilities Plan	no
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	Yes
Building Code	Yes
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes
Subdivision Ordinance	Yes
Tree Trimming Ordinance	no
Nuisance Ordinance	Yes
Stormwater Ordinance	Yes
Drainage Ordinance	Yes
Site Plan Review Requirements	Yes
Historic Preservation Ordinance	no
Landscape Ordinance	no
Seismic Construction Ordinance	no
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	Yes
Codes Building Site/Design	Yes
Hazard Awareness Program	no
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	Yes
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	No
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	no

Firewise Community Certification	no
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	no
ISO Fire Rating	Yes – 6
Economic Development Program	no
Land Use Program	no
Public Education/Awareness	Yes, weather spotter training, education through social media
Property Acquisition	no
Planning/Zoning Boards	Yes
Stream Maintenance Program	no
Tree Trimming Program	no
<i>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)</i>	no
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)</i>	no
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)</i>	Yes
Flood Insurance Maps	Yes
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	Yes
Evacuation Route Map	no
<i>Critical Facilities Inventory</i>	Yes
<i>Vulnerable Population Inventory</i>	no
<i>Land Use Map</i>	no
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	
Building Code Official	Yes, full-time
Building Inspector	Yes, full-time
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	Yes, contracted

Engineer	Yes, contracted
Development Planner	no
Public Works Official	no
Emergency Management Director	Yes, full-time
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Yes, part-time
Emergency Response Team	no
Hazardous Materials Expert	no
Local Emergency Planning Committee	Yes
County Emergency Management Commission	Yes
Sanitation Department	Yes, contracted
Transportation Department	Yes, full-time
Economic Development Department	Yes, full-time
Housing Department	no
Historic Preservation	no
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	no
Salvation Army	no
Veterans Groups	Yes
Local Environmental Organization	no
Homeowner Associations	Yes, 3
Neighborhood Associations	no
Chamber of Commerce	Yes
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	Yes, Ducks Unlimited, Kiwanis, Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, Brookfield Area Growth Partnership, Ministerial Alliance, Eagles, Shriners, Knights of Columbus, 4-H, Masons, Rotary, Whitetail Unlimited, Elks, Lions
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	Yes
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes, water and sewer
Impact fees for new development	Yes
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes, with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes, with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through private activities	Yes, with voter approval
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	yes

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	Yes, mitigation has not been the focus
Builder's Plan	n/a
Capital Improvement Plan	n/a

Local Recovery Plan	Yes, the focus of this plan is on recovery not mitigation
County Recovery Plan	Yes, the focus is on recovery not mitigation
Debris Management Plan	n/a
Economic Development Plan	The plan focuses on economic development not mitigation
Transportation Plan	n/a
Land-use Plan	n/a
Watershed Plan	n/a
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	n/a

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members)

Mayor and 4 councilmen

2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.

3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.

No pending FEMA projects

4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community? 6

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)? Activated by 911 dispatch

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe. no

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

Yes, constructed to FEMA standards. The shelter is small and NOT ADA compliant.

Please provide address locations:

located at 116 W Brooks St.

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.

9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.

No new development planned for known hazard areas including the floodplain.

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees.

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: _____ LINN _____

JURISDICTION: Linn County

RETURN BY: _____

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. **A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process **will not** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: _____ SUZAN STEPHENSON _____

PHONE: _____ 660-895-5417 _____

EMAIL: __LINN@SOS.MO.GOV__ DATE: ____ 11/24/2025 _____

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

& INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the ***underlined and bold*** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document*** to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<i>Comprehensive Plan</i>	N/A
Builder's Plan	N/A
Capital Improvement Plan	N/A
City Emergency Operations Plan	N/A
County Emergency Operations Plan	YES, 2018
Local Recovery Plan	N/A
County Recovery Plan	YES -part of Emergency Operations Plan
City Mitigation Plan	N/A
County Mitigation Plan	YES - 2021
Debris Management Plan	N/A
<i>Economic Development Plan</i>	N/A
Transportation Plan	Member of MODOT TAC
Land-use Plan	N/A

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	N/A
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	YES - East Yellow Creek
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	N/A
School Mitigation Plan	N/A
Critical Facilities Plan	YES - part of Emergency Operations Plan
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	N/A
Building Code	N/A
Floodplain Ordinance	N/A
Subdivision Ordinance	N/A
Tree Trimming Ordinance	N/A
Nuisance Ordinance	N/A
Stormwater Ordinance	N/A
Drainage Ordinance	N/A
Site Plan Review Requirements	N/A
Historic Preservation Ordinance	N/A
Landscape Ordinance	N/A
Seismic Construction Ordinance	N/A
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	N/A
Codes Building Site/Design	N/A
Hazard Awareness Program	N/A
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	N/A
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	N/A
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	N/A

Firewise Community Certification	N/A
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	N/A
ISO Fire Rating	N/A
Economic Development Program	YES – Enhanced Enterprise Zone
Land Use Program	N/A
Public Education/Awareness	N/A
Property Acquisition	N/A
Planning/Zoning Boards	N/A
Stream Maintenance Program	N/A
Tree Trimming Program	N/A
<i>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)</i>	N/A
Mutual Aid Agreements	YES – Sheriff, Hazmat, Fire Districts
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)</i>	N/A
<i>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)</i>	N/A
Flood Insurance Maps	N/A
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	N/A
Evacuation Route Map	N/A
<i>Critical Facilities Inventory</i>	YES – LEPC
<i>Vulnerable Population Inventory</i>	N/A
<i>Land Use Map</i>	N/A
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	
Building Code Official	N/A
Building Inspector	N/A
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	YES – contracted

Engineer	N/A
Development Planner	N/A
Public Works Official	N/A
Emergency Management Director	YES
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	N/A
Emergency Response Team	YES
Hazardous Materials Expert	YES
Local Emergency Planning Committee	YES
County Emergency Management Commission	YES
Sanitation Department	N/A
Transportation Department	YES – coordinate w/MODOT TAC
Economic Development Department	N/A
Housing Department	N/A
Historic Preservation	N/A
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	YES
Salvation Army	N/A
Veterans Groups	YES – VFW, American Legion
Local Environmental Organization	NO
Homeowner Associations	NO
Neighborhood Associations	NO
Chamber of Commerce	YES – Brookfield, Marceline
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	YES – Knights of Columbus, Historical Society, Beta Sigma Phi, Odd Fellows, Elks, Masons, Kiwanis, Rotary, 4-H, Ducks Unlimited
LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
<i>Answer Yes or No</i>	

Apply for Community Development Block Grants	YES – partner with GHRPC
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	YES – Road & Bridge
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	YES – with voter approval
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	N/A
Impact fees for new development	YES – Enterprise incentive
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	YES – with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	YES – with voter approval
Ability to incur debt through private activities	N/A
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	N/A

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	
Builder's Plan	

Capital Improvement Plan	
Local Recovery Plan	
County Recovery Plan	
Debris Management Plan	
Economic Development Plan	
Transportation Plan	
Land-use Plan	
Watershed Plan	
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members)
2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.

3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.
4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community?

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)?

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe.

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

Please provide address locations:

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.
9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.
10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees.

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire – W	

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. **Essential facilities** are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. **High potential loss facilities** are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. **Transportation and lifeline facilities** are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or inoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
<i>Essential Facilities such as hospitals and other medical facilities, police and fire stations, Emergency Operations Centers</i>		

<i>High Potential Loss Facilities such as power plants, dams/levees, military installations, hazardous materials sites, shelters, day care centers, nursing homes, main government buildings (Do not include schools – they will be reported by the school districts)</i>			
<i>Transportation and Lifelines such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities</i>			

Economic Assets (Major Employers, etc.)

Asset	Address	Product/Service	Number of Employees	Hazards

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction:

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Schools Districts and Educational Institutions

COUNTY: Linn County

SCHOOL DISTRICT: MEADVILLE R-IV SCHOOL DISTRICT

RETURN BY: January 15, 2026

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. ***A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each “jurisdiction” that wishes to be included in the plan.*** According to FEMA’s definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process ***will not*** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: JEFF HALEY

PHONE: 660-938-4111

EMAIL: JHALEY@MEADVILLE.K12.MO.US DATE: 1/5/2026

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

Capability Assessment & Incorporation of Existing Plans, Studies, Reports, and Technical Information

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan.

Please indicate which of the following your school district / institution has in place. For elements that do not pertain to you, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your school district / institution has any of the ***underlined and bold*** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document to the contact indicated on the front*** to the contact listed on page 1.

PLANNING ELEMENTS	YES/NO	DATE OF LATEST VERSION	COMMENTS
Master Plan	YES	12/10/2025	
Capital Improvement Plan	YES	8/1/2025	
<i>School Emergency Plan</i> - Shelter in Place Protocols - Evacuation Protocols	YES	8/1/2025	
Weapons Policy	YES	8/1/2025	
Personnel Resources	YES/NO	DEPARTMENT/ POSITION	COMMENTS
Full-Time Building Official	YES	SUPERINTENDENT	
Emergency Manager	YES	SUPERINTENDENT	
Grant Writer	No		
Public Information Officer	No		

Financial Resources	YES/NO	COMMENTS
Capital Improvements Project Funding	YES	FUND 4
Local Funds	YES	
General Obligation Bonds	YES	FUND 3
Special Tax Bonds	NO	
Private Activities/Donations	NO	
State and Federal Funds	YES	

Additional Capabilities Questions

- Are your buildings equipped with a public address (PA) system or other emergency alert system? Please describe.
Yes, we have a PA system that is wired to every classroom/hallway/gymspace. We also have a phone in every classroom.
- Does your school buildings' have NOAA Weather Radios? Yes
- List any past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect facilities or provide education regarding hazards that could occur. New roof installed in 2023. New waterlines installed in 2022. New concrete parking lot installed in 2026
- List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. School server back up and firewall protection updates 2025
- Do any of your buildings have designated tornado shelters or "saferooms"? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards? Yes, the old dungeon locker rooms. The are not constructed in accordance with FEMA standards.

6. Did your school district / institution make any additions to buildings or construction new buildings since the last plan update? Please list the buildings and the improvement. Yes, new metal bus barn installed in 2023.
7. Does your school district / institution plan to remodel or construct any buildings in the next 5 years? If so, please list the building or proposed building and planned improvements. Are any planned construction activities in known hazard areas? No
8. What percentage is your projected enrollment expected to increase or decrease in the next five years? Expect a 3 % decrease in the next five years.
9. Do you have your own campus police? Please explain your police department or who you rely on for security needs. We have a school resource officer who is shared among 4 districts in Linn County.
10. What is your total exposure:
 - a. Buildings- \$15,906,999
 - b. Contents- \$2,639,532

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards			
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF			Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF			Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF			Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ			Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS			Tornadoes – T
Wildfire - W			

Please list buildings owned by your school district / institution including the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable or not available, enter “N/A”. Add as many rows as needed. *If you have this data in GIS formats, or other formats, please provide in lieu of this.*

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
Meadville School Buildings (combined) 77,191 sq ft, \$15,033,581, Capacity 1250	101 West Crandall Meadville, MO 64659	EQ, ET, ST, SWW, T
Meadville Community Center 3376 sq ft, \$873,418, Capacity 250	106 West Crandall Meadville, MO 64659	EQ, ET, ST, SWW, T

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	Meadville Community
Type of event	ST, T
Nature and magnitude of event	Moderate
Location	Meadville School
Date of event	June, 2005
Injuries	0
Deaths	0
Property damage	Moderate
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	Moderate
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	Summer school closure
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	School Insurance Records
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction:

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Schools Districts and Educational Institutions

COUNTY: LINN

JURISDICTION: Marceline R-V

RETURN BY:

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. ***A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each “jurisdiction” that wishes to be included in the plan.*** According to FEMA’s definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process ***will not*** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: DR. ZACH BRUNER

PHONE: 660-376-3371

EMAIL: ZBRUNER@MARCELINESCHOOLS.ORG DATE: 01/21/2026

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

Capability Assessment & Incorporation of Existing Plans, Studies, Reports, and Technical Information

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan.

Please indicate which of the following your school district / institution has in place. For elements that do not pertain to you, please indicate with “N/A”. If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your school district / institution has any of the ***underlined and bold*** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document to the contact indicated on the front*** to the contact listed on page 1.

PLANNING ELEMENTS	YES/NO	DATE OF LATEST VERSION	COMMENTS
Master Plan	YES	2025	
Capital Improvement Plan	NO		
<i>School Emergency Plan</i> • Shelter in Place Protocols • Evacuation Protocols	YES	2025	
Weapons Policy	YES	2025	
PERSONNEL RESOURCES	YES/NO	DEPARTMENT/ POSITION	COMMENTS
Full-Time Building Official	YES	PRINCIPAL	
Emergency Manager	YES	SUPERINTENDENT	
Grant Writer	YES	SUPERINTENDENT	
Public Information Officer	YES	SUPERINTENDENT	

FINANCIAL RESOURCES	YES/NO	COMMENTS
Capital Improvements Project Funding	YES	
Local Funds	YES	
General Obligation Bonds	YES	
Special Tax Bonds	YES	
Private Activities/Donations	YES	
State and Federal Funds	YES	

Additional Capabilities Questions

1. Are your buildings equipped with a public address (PA) system or other emergency alert system? Please describe. Yes
2. Does your school buildings' have NOAA Weather Radios? Yes
3. List any past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect facilities or provide education regarding hazards that could occur. N/A
4. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. N/A
5. Do any of your buildings have designated tornado shelters or "saferooms"? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards? Yes, unknown whether they are at FEMA standards.

6. Did your school district / institution make any additions to buildings or construction new buildings since the last plan update? Please list the buildings and the improvement.

No

7. Does your school district / institution plan to remodel or construct any buildings in the next 5 years? If so, please list the building or proposed building and planned improvements. Are any planned construction activities in known hazard areas?

HVAC projects.

8. What percentage is your projected enrollment expected to increase or decrease in the next five years? 3% increase

9. Do you have your own campus police? Please explain your police department or who you rely on for security needs. No

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards			
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF			Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF			Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF			Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ			Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS			Tornadoes – T
Wildfire - W			

Please list buildings owned by your school district / institution including the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable or not available, enter “N/A” . Add as many rows as needed. *If you have this data in GIS formats, or other formats, please provide in lieu of this.*

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
Marceline MS/HS	314 E Santa Fe, Marceline, MO 64658	N/A
Walt Disney Elementary	420 E California, Marceline, MO 64658	N/A
Early Learning Center	223 E Santa Fe, Marceline, MO 64658	N/A
PTA Building	400 E Santa Fe, Marceline, MO 64658	N/A

Ag Building		400 E California, Marceline, MO 64658	N/A
-------------	--	---------------------------------------	-----

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction:

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: LINN COUNTY

JURISDICTION: CITY OF PURDINE

RETURN BY: JANUARY 14, 2025

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan

According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY:

Denise Wallace City Clerk

PHONE

660-244-3202

EMAIL: thecityofpurdine@gmail.com

DATE: January 12, 2026

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT & INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update.

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the elements, to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
Builder's Plan	NO
Capital Improvement Plan	NO
City Emergency Operations Plan	NO
County Emergency Operations Plan	NO
Local Recovery Plan	NO
County Recovery Plan	NO
City Mitigation Plan	NO
County Mitigation Plan	NO
Debris Management Plan	NO
Transportation Plan	NO

Land-use Plan	NO
Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	NO
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	NO
School Mitigation Plan	NO
Critical Facilities Plan	NO
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	NO
Building Code	NO
Floodplain Ordinance	NO
Subdivision Ordinance	NO
Tree Trimming Ordinance	NO
Nuisance Ordinance	NO Ordinance No 1001
Stormwater Ordinance	NO
Drainage Ordinance	NO
Site Plan Review Requirements	NO
Historic Preservation Ordinance	NO
Landscape Ordinance	NO
Seismic Construction Ordinance	NO
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	NO
Codes Building Site/Design	NO
Hazard Awareness Program	NO
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	NO
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	NO
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	NO
Firewise Community Certification	NO

Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	NO
ISO Fire Rating	NO
Economic Development Program	NO
Land Use Program	NO
Public Education/Awareness	NO
Property Acquisition	NO
Planning/Zoning Boards	NO
Stream Maintenance Program	NO
Tree Trimming Program	NO
Mutual Aid Agreements	
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
Flood Insurance Maps	NO
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	NO
Evacuation Route Map	NO
STAFF DEPARTMENT	
Building Code Official	NO
Building Inspector	NO
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	NO
Engineer	NO
Development Planner	NO

Public Works Official	NO
Emergency Management Director	NO
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	NO
Emergency Response Team	NO
Hazardous Materials Expert	NO
Local Emergency Planning Committee	NO
County Emergency Management Commission	NO
Sanitation Department	NO
Transportation Department	NO
Economic Development Department	NO
Housing Department	NO
Historic Preservation	NO
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
American Red Cross	NO
Salvation Army	NO
Veterans Groups	NO
Local Environmental Organization	NO
Homeowner Associations	NO
Neighborhood Associations	NO
Chamber of Commerce	NO
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	NO
LOCAL FUND NO AVAILABILITY	
Apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	NO

Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	NO
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Sewer - yes
Impact fees for new development	NO
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	NO
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	NO
Ability to incur debt through private activities	NO
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	NO

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	NO
Builder's Plan	NO
Capital Improvement Plan	NO
Local Recovery Plan	NO
County Recovery Plan	NO
Debris Management Plan	NO

Economic Development Plan	NO
Transportation Plan	NO
Land-use Plan	NO
Watershed Plan	NO
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	NO

Additional Questions

- How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members)
Mayor - 1 Clerk - 1
Council - 4
- List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education.

None

- List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.

None

- Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers.

No sirens for tornado

- How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community? None

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)?

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe.

None

7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

None

Please provide address locations:

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update.

None

9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas.

No

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known.

No

11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees.

Bank Brookfield-Purdin - 6
Purdin Processing - 4
B' Home Plate - 4

PWSD #1 - 3

12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not?

None

13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally.

None

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire – W	

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Local Governments

COUNTY: LINN

JURISDICTION: CITY OF MARCELINE

RETURN BY: OCTOBER 31, 2025

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. ***A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.*** According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process ***will not*** be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: JESSE W. WALLIS

PHONE: 660-376-3528

EMAIL: JESSE.WALLIS@MARCELINEMO.US

DATE: 10-30-2025

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist Yes – coordinated with MODOT and Linn County

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT & INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS, STUDIES, REPORTS AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan. Although some of this information may have been captured in your previous mitigation plan, it is important to ensure this information is current in the plan update

Please indicate which of the following your jurisdiction has in place. For elements that do not pertain to your type of public entity, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your jurisdiction does not have a particular element, and a higher level of government has the authority pertaining to your jurisdiction, please indicate this in the comments column. If your jurisdiction has any of the **underlined and bold** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document*** to the contact listed on the front.

CAPABILITIES	Status, Including Date of Document or Policy
PLANNING CAPABILITIES	
<u>Comprehensive Plan</u>	
Builder's Plan	No
Capital Improvement Plan	In progress
City Emergency Operations Plan	No
County Emergency Operations Plan	Yes
Local Recovery Plan	No
County Recovery Plan	No
City Mitigation Plan	Yes in Linn County Plan- May 20, 2021
County Mitigation Plan	Yes in Linn County Plan- May 20, 2021
Debris Management Plan	No

<i>Economic Development Plan</i>	No
Transportation Plan	No
Land-use Plan	1980
Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Plan	No
<i>Watershed Plan</i>	No
Firewise or other fire mitigation plan	No
School Mitigation Plan	No
Critical Facilities Plan	No
POLICIES/ORDINANCE	
Zoning Ordinance	Yes – Ordinance #6.404
Building Code	Yes - 2018
Floodplain Ordinance	No
Subdivision Ordinance	No
Tree Trimming Ordinance	No
Nuisance Ordinance	Yes
Stormwater Ordinance	No
Drainage Ordinance	No
Site Plan Review Requirements	Yes, Ordinance #4.612
Historic Preservation Ordinance	Yes, Ordinance #19-08.06
Landscape Ordinance	No
Seismic Construction Ordinance	No
PROGRAM	
Zoning/Land Use Restrictions	Yes
Codes Building Site/Design	Yes
Hazard Awareness Program	Yes
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	Yes

NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program If so, what is your current level rating?	
National Weather Service (NWS) Storm Ready	Yes
Firewise Community Certification	NO
Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGs)	
ISO Fire Rating	Yes
Economic Development Program	
Land Use Program	
Public Education/Awareness	Yes
Property Acquisition	No
Planning/Zoning Boards	Yes
Stream Maintenance Program	No
Tree Trimming Program	Yes
<u>Engineering Studies for Streams (Local/County/Regional)</u>	No
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes
STUDIES/REPORTS/MAPS	
<u>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (Local)</u>	No
<u>Hazard Analysis/Risk Assessment (County)</u>	No
Flood Insurance Maps	
FEMA Flood Insurance Study (Detailed)	
Evacuation Route Map	
<u>Critical Facilities Inventory</u>	No
<u>Vulnerable Population Inventory</u>	No
<u>Land Use Map</u>	Yes
STAFF/DEPARTMENT	
<i>Full Time or Part Time, if applicable?</i>	

Building Code Official	
Building Inspector	
Mapping Specialist (GIS)	No
Engineer	No
Development Planner	No
Public Works Official	Yes
Emergency Management Director	Yes
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Yes
Emergency Response Team	No
Hazardous Materials Expert	No
Local Emergency Planning Committee	No
County Emergency Management Commission	No
Sanitation Department	No
Transportation Department	Yes
Economic Development Department	No
Housing Department	Yes – Housing Authority Commission
Historic Preservation	Yes – Historic Preservation Commission
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)	
<i>Is there a local chapter? Yes or No</i>	
American Red Cross	No
Salvation Army	No
Veterans Groups	Yes
Local Environmental Organization	No
Homeowner Associations	No
Neighborhood Associations	No
Chamber of Commerce	Yes
Community Organizations (Lions, Kiwanis, etc.)	Yes

LOCAL FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
Answer Yes or No	
Apply for Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Fund projects through Capital Improvements funding	Maybe
Authority to levy taxes for a specific purpose	Yes
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes
Impact fees for new development	No
Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes
Ability to incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes
Ability to incur debt through private activities	Yes
Withhold spending in hazard prone areas	Unknown

For plan updates, the plan maintenance process outlined in your previous plan requires all participating jurisdictions to incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate. A key element of effective implementation of mitigation is for the mitigation plan to be incorporated into existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Next to each applicable planning mechanism, indicate how your jurisdiction incorporated the previous mitigation plan. If no incorporation has occurred, please explain, including background information detailing any challenges preventing incorporation.

Planning Capabilities	Method of Incorporation Since Previous Plan or Challenges Preventing Incorporation
Comprehensive Plan	NO
Builder's Plan	NO

Capital Improvement Plan	NO
Local Recovery Plan	NO
County Recovery Plan	NO
Debris Management Plan	NO
Economic Development Plan	NO
Transportation Plan	NO
Land-use Plan	NO
Watershed Plan	NO
Firewise or other Fire Mitigation Plan such as Community Wildfire Protection Plan	NO

Additional Questions

1. How is your government structure organized? (Commission, Mayor/City Council, how many members) City Manager – Council form of government; 5 Council Members; Mayor selected annually by Council
2. List any past or ongoing public education or information programs, such as for responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, or environmental education. Utility conservation notices, recycling education, pool safety programs, storm siren education

3. List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities. Be sure to include pending or approved projects submitted for FEMA mitigation grants.
4. Describe any hazard-related concerns or issues regarding the vulnerability of special needs populations, such as the elderly, disabled, low-income, or migrant farm workers. Yes – elderly and disabled populations are vulnerable; addressed through coordination with Linn County agencies

5. How many outdoor warning sirens are in your community? 3 (approx.) – replacement/upgrade project in 2025

How are they activated (indicate responsible department/personnel)? Via Linn County 911 / NWS after upgrade; historically via local dispatch

6. Does your community utilize any other warning systems such as Cable Override, Reverse 911, etc? If so, please describe. No, outdoor sirens only.
7. Does your community have designated public tornado shelters/saferooms? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards? No

Please provide address locations:

8. List residential, commercial and industrial development in your jurisdiction since last plan update. Infill residential permits; small commercial renovations downtown; incremental industrial upgrades at Walsworth/Industrial Park
9. Describe development trends and expected growth areas. Is any new development expected to occur in the 100-year floodplain? Is any new development expected to occur in any other known hazard areas? If possible, please provide a map indicating potential/planned growth areas. Growth primarily infill residential and small commercial,

minor industrial expansion at Industrial Park. No major development is expected in 100-year floodplain.

10. Are any new facilities or infrastructure planned for construction during the next five years? If so, please provide facility name and purpose along with proposed locations, if known. Yes – New Wastewater Treatment Plant; outdoor warning siren replacement; storm sewer CCTV/rehab; AMI deployment and electric system GIS/inventory
11. Please list major employers in your jurisdiction with an estimated number of employees. Walsworth Publishing (444 employees), Moore Fans (141 employees), Marceline R-V Schools (99 employees), City of Marceline (~50 employees), Hurtt Fabricating (65 employees), Natures' Grace and Wellness (52 employees).
12. Please list Mitigation Planning Committee members who served during the development of the previously approved plan. Was the process set forth for monitoring the implementation of the previously approved mitigation plan adhered to? Did the Committee meet as was specified in the previously approved plan? Why or why not? City Manager (Jesse Wallis); Police Chief (John Wright); Fire Department representative (Chief Brian Chrisman); Public Works (Adam Lichtenberg); Sewer (Justin Griffin); Water (Mikeal Thompson); City Clerk/ACM (Lindsay Krumpelman); GHRPC staff. Monitoring occurred through periodic coordination; some meetings consolidated due to staffing/time constraints.
13. Describe your jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP. Include information about how compliance with the NFIP is enforced locally. City of Marceline has participated since 1985, adopting Ordinance No. 25.34. Compliance is enforced through the Floodplain Administrator. Substantial improvement/damage provisions are implemented as per ordinance following flood events.

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards	
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF	Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF	Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF	Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ	Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS	Tornadoes – T
Wildfire – W	

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

A critical facility may be defined as one that is essential in providing utility or direction either during the response to an emergency or during the recovery operation. FEMA's HAZUS-MH loss estimation software uses the following three categories of critical assets. **Essential facilities** are those that if damaged would have devastating impacts on disaster response and/or recovery. **High potential loss facilities** are those that would have a high loss or impact on the community. **Transportation and lifeline facilities** are third category of critical assets; examples are provided below.

Essential Facilities	High Potential Loss Facilities	Transportation and Lifeline
Hospitals and other medical facilities Police stations Fire station Emergency Operations Centers	Power plants Dams/levees Military installations Hazardous material sites Schools Shelters Day care centers Nursing homes Main government buildings	Highways, bridges, and tunnels Railroads and facilities Bus facilities Airports Water treatment facilities Natural gas facilities and pipelines Oil facilities and pipelines Communications facilities

Economic Assets

Economic assets at risk may include major employers or primary economic sectors, such as agriculture, whose losses or inoperability would have severe impacts on the community and its ability to recover from disaster.

Asset Inventory

Please list critical facilities and other community assets, the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable, enter "N/A". In the last column, use the codes from the previous page to indicate hazards to which the asset is vulnerable. Add as many rows as needed. If this information is available in GIS format, please provide to the contact listed on the first page.

Critical Facilities

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
Essential Facilities such as hospitals and other medical facilities, police and fire stations, Emergency Operations Centers		
Marceline Police Department	123 E. Santa Fe	T, SWW
Marceline Fire Department	123 E. Santa Fe	T, SWW, WF

High Potential Loss Facilities such as power plants, dams/levees, military installations, hazardous materials sites, shelters, day care centers, nursing homes, main government buildings (Do not include schools – they will be reported by the school districts)		
City Hall	116 Mainstreet USA	ST, T
Marceline Electric Plant	126 E Chicago	ET, SW, SWW, T
Marceline Water Plant	10283 Longbranch Ave	D, ET
Marceline Sewer Plant	31770 Kemper Dr	D, ET
Transportation and Lifelines such as highways, bridges, and tunnels; railroads and facilities, bus facilities, airports, water treatment facilities, natural gas facilities and pipelines, oil facilities and pipelines, communications facilities		

Economic Assets (Major Employers, etc.)

Asset	Address	Product/Service	Number of Employees	Hazards
Walsworth Publishing Company			444	ST, T, SWW
Hurtz Fabricating			65	ST, T, SWW
Marceline R-V Schools			99	ST, T, SWW
Nature's Grace and Wellness			52	ET, D, ST, T, STWW
Moore Fans			141	ST, T, SWW
Allstate Consultants, LLC			15	ST, T, SWW

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. ***Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.*** This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction: _____

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M Complete – C
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		
CM - 2020.1	HAZARD EDUCATION FOR THOSE INVOLVED IN LAND DEVELOPMENT		x			
CM - 2021.2	Mitigation Education				Will be changed to mitigation education	M
CM - 2020.3	WEATHER ALERTS		x			
CM - 2020.4	ACCESSIBLE CONTACT INFORMATION	x			This information is available on the City of Marceline website	C
CM - 2020.5	CRITICAL FACILITIES BACK-UP		x			
CM - 2020.6	DEBRIS REMOVAL		x			
CM - 2020.7	MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS	x			There are agreements in place for fire, MPUA (utility)	C
CM - 2020.8	PANDEMIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)				No longer covered in plan	X

CM - 2020.9	REPRESENTATIVE FOR COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION STEERING COMMITTEE	X			Completed as part of the 2026 plan update	C
CM - 2020.10	STORM SHELTERS			X		
CM - 2020.11	PANDEMIC RESPONSE / DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT				No longer covered in plan	X
CM - 2020.12	ECONOMIC STABILIZATION DURING PANDEMIC				No longer covered in plan	X
CM - 2020.14	WEATHER SPOTTER TRAINING		X			
CM - 2020.15	PARTICIPATION IN NFIP (National Floodplain Insurance Program)	X				

Critical Facilities – Supplemental List (selected)

City Hall – 116 N Main Street USA – Hazards: ST, T, SSWW

Police Department – (address on file) – Hazards: ST, T, SSWW

Fire Department – (address on file) – Hazards: ST, T, SSWW

Water Treatment Facility – (address on file) – Hazards: RF, ST, SSWW

Wastewater Treatment Plant – (address on file) – Hazards: RF, ST, SSWW

Electric Plant / Substations – (locations on file) – Hazards: ST, SSWW, T

Schools (Marceline R-V) – (addresses on file) – Hazards: ST, T, SSWW

Hospitals/Clinics – (addresses on file) – Hazards: ST, SSWW

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Data Collection Questionnaire for Schools Districts and Educational Institutions

COUNTY: Linn

JURISDICTION: Brookfield R-III School District

RETURN BY: _____

Please complete this data collection questionnaire as accurately and completely as possible as this information will appear in the mitigation plan. *A data collection questionnaire must be completed for each "jurisdiction" that wishes to be included in the plan.* According to FEMA's definition a jurisdiction is any local government, including counties, municipalities, cities, towns, school districts, special districts, councils of government, and tribal organizations. Any of these entities as well as publicly funded colleges and universities that do not participate in the planning process *will not* be eligible applicants for FEMA mitigation funding programs.

PREPARED BY: Eric Hoyt

PHONE: 660-258-7443

EMAIL: ehoyt@brookfieldr3.org DATE: 8/14/2025

Please return questionnaires by mail, email, or fax to:

Name: Amanda George – Transportation Planner & Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Address: Green Hills Regional Planning Commission, 810 Washington St., Trenton, MO 64683

Email: amanda@ghrpc.org

Phone: (660) 359-5636 ext. 11

Capability Assessment & Incorporation of Existing Plans, Studies, Reports, and Technical Information

The purpose of this section is to collect information to document existing capabilities as well as determine existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information that may need to be incorporated in the mitigation plan.

Please indicate which of the following your school district / institution has in place. For elements that do not pertain to you, please indicate with "N/A". If applicable, please provide a completion date for the element. If your school district / institution has any of the ***underlined and bold*** elements, ***please provide a copy of the document to the contact indicated on the front*** to the contact listed on page 1.

PLANNING ELEMENTS	YES/NO	DATE OF LATEST VERSION	COMMENTS
Master Plan	Yes	7/25	
Capital Improvement Plan	Yes	7/25	
<i>School Emergency Plan</i> • Shelter in Place Protocols • Evacuation Protocols	Yes	7/25	
Weapons Policy	Yes	7/25	
Personnel Resources	YES/NO	DEPARTMENT/ POSITION	COMMENTS
Full-Time Building Official	Yes	Superintendent	

Emergency Manager	Yes	Safety Coordinator	Melinda Wilbeck
Grant Writer	NO		
Public Information Officer	Yes	Superintendent	
Financial Resources	YES/NO	COMMENTS	
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Yes		
Local Funds	Yes		
General Obligation Bonds	Yes		
Special Tax Bonds	No		
Private Activities/Donations	Yes		
State and Federal Funds	Yes		

Additional Capabilities Questions

- Are your buildings equipped with a public address (PA) system or other emergency alert system? Please describe.

Yes. District wide, inside + outside

- Does your school buildings' have NOAA Weather Radios?

Yes

- List any past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect facilities or provide education regarding hazards that could occur.

Facilities updates to meet code + safety requirements

- List any other past or ongoing projects or programs designed to reduce disaster losses, these may include projects to protect critical facilities.

N/A

5. Do any of your buildings have designated tornado shelters or "saferooms"? If so, are they constructed in accordance with FEMA standards?

Yes. Unsure if they meet FEMA standards

6. Did your school district / institution make any additions to buildings or construction new buildings since the last plan update? Please list the buildings and the improvement.

No

7. Does your school district / institution plan to remodel or construct any buildings in the next 5 years? If so, please list the building or proposed building and planned improvements. Are any planned construction activities in known hazard areas?

Yes. Multiple renovations to facilities are planned

8. What percentage is your projected enrollment expected to increase or decrease in the next five years?

0%

9. Do you have your own campus police? Please explain your police department or who you rely on for security needs.

Brookfield PD employs a School Resource Officer for campus security

Vulnerability Assessment

The purpose of this worksheet is to assess the vulnerable buildings, populations, critical facilities, infrastructure, and other important assets in your community by using the best available data to complete the table. Use the table on the next page to compile a detailed inventory of specific assets at risk including critical facilities and infrastructure; natural, cultural, and historical assets; and economic assets. In the natural hazard column of the asset inventory table, indicate which of the following hazards the asset is vulnerable to. Use the following abbreviations.

Natural Hazards			
Flooding (Major & Flash) – RF			Drought – D
Levee Failure – LF			Extreme Temperature – ET
Dam Failure – DF			Severe Thunderstorm (incl. wind, hail, lightning) – ST
Earthquake – EQ			Severe Winter Weather (incl. snow, ice, severe cold) – SWW
Land Subsidence /Sinkholes – LSS			Tornadoes – T
Wildfire - W			

Please list buildings owned by your school district / institution including the square feet, values, and occupancy/capacity. If not applicable or not available, enter "N/A". Add as many rows as needed. *If you have this data in GIS formats, or other formats, please provide in lieu of this.*

Name of Asset	Address	Natural Hazards
See Attached		

Facilities-Brookfield R-III

Address	Building Name	Square Feet	Approximate Value
122 Pershing Rd	Career Center	2500	1240000
122 Pershing Rd	Greenhouse	1200	
122 Pershing Rd	Storage Building	2500	150000
124 Pershing Rd	High School	80000	7200000
124 Pershing Rd	Elementary/Middle School	12000	3100000
124 Pershing Rd	Concessions	2500	
130 Pershing Rd	Childhood Building	5000	65000
104 Pershing Rd	Bus Barn	13000	94000
100 N Caldwell	Stadium	4000	
100 N Caldwell	Comcessions	1300	
100 N Caldwell	Stadium Dressing Room	3700	

Historic Hazard Events

Please fill out the sheet on the next page for each significant hazard event that affected Your Jurisdiction. *Make as many copies as necessary to record all events and complete them with as much detail as possible.* This includes all events associated with the hazards listed below that have caused previous damage in your jurisdiction. It is especially important to capture events that either were not included in the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan or occurred since the plan was completed. Attach supporting documentation, photocopies of newspaper articles, or other original sources.

Jurisdiction	
Type of event	
Nature and magnitude of event	
Location	
Date of event	
Injuries	
Deaths	
Property damage	
Infrastructure damage	
Crop damage	
Business/economic impacts	
Road/school/other closures	
Other damage	
Insured losses	
Federal/state disaster relief funding	
Source of information	
Comments	

Assessment of Previously Proposed Actions

Jurisdiction:

Green Hills RPC has provided a list of actions proposed in the previously approved plan for each jurisdiction. Use the worksheet below to evaluate whether each action is still current, feasible, desirable, and/or creates benefit that outweighs the cost.

The worksheet should include information on the status of the action and progress made in implementation, if any. This includes:

- **Completed Actions:** provide a description of the implementation process. This may be a success story you would like to publicize in your community.
- **Ongoing Actions:** indicate what activity has occurred during the previous five years and indicate if this program is still viable enough that it should be carried on into the future.
- **No Progress:** if no progress has been made in the implementation of a given action, discuss why. Note that implementation is not a requirement. However, if no progress has been made, perhaps this is an action that would be appropriate to delete in the updated plan.

During review of the previously approved actions, consider whether any new actions should be proposed. Perhaps damages from a recent hazard event have indicated the need for new approaches to protect property and life. Review the problem statements from the updated plan for ideas. Also review the FEMA publication *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards (January 2013)*.

#	Action	Status			Description of Implementation Activities or Reasons for Lack of Progress	Keep – ✓ Delete – X Modify – M
		Complete	Ongoing	No Progress		

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Hazard Identification for Linn County County

Jurisdiction: Brookfield R-III School District

Use this worksheet to identify which hazards can affect your community. Not all hazards apply to the planning area. For the ones that do, describe how they have been an issue in the past, or if they are a future concern.

Instructions: Describe where there may be recurring problems that you would like to see addressed.

Hazard		Is this a hazard for your community? Yes/No	If yes, briefly describe how. Think about specific locations or recurring issues that you know of in your community.
Dam Failure		No	
Drought		No	
Earthquake		Yes	Facilities Damage
Extreme Temperatures (Hot and Cold)		Yes	Facilities/Transportation hardship
Flood (Riverine & Flash)		Yes	Disruption to transportation
Severe Thunderstorms	Hail	Yes	Facilities Damage
	Lightning	Yes	Facilities Damage
	Severe Wind	Yes	Facilities Damage
Severe Winter Weather		Yes	Facilities Damage/Maintenance Cost
Tornado		Yes	Facilities Damage
Wildfire		Yes	Disruption to Transportation
If there are additional hazards that you would like to include, please add them here.			

Action Title: Mitigation education for developers		Jurisdiction: City of Brookfield
Action ID: CB 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 37

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education		Jurisdiction: City of Brookfield
Action ID: CB 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather alerts & Education		Jurisdiction: City of Brookfield
Action ID: CB 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Critical facilities back-up		Jurisdiction: City of Brookfield
Action ID: CB 2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Debris removal		Jurisdiction: City of Brookfield
Action ID: CB 2025.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 32

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters/safe room		Jurisdiction: City of Brookfield
Action ID: CB 2025.6		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather spotter training		Jurisdiction: City of Brookfield
Action ID: CB 2025.7		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score:		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 31

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Marceline R-V
Action ID: MSD 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters/safe room		Jurisdiction: Marceline R-V
Action ID: MSD 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Generators		Jurisdiction: Marceline R-V
Action ID: MSD 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education for developers		Jurisdiction: City of Browning
Action ID: CBR2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 37

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education		Jurisdiction: City of Browning
Action ID: CBR2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather alerts & Education		Jurisdiction: City of Browning
Action ID: CBR2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Critical facilities back-up		Jurisdiction: City of Browning
Action ID: CBR2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Debris removal		Jurisdiction: City of Browning
Action ID: CBR2025.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 32

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters/safe room		Jurisdiction: City of Browning
Action ID: CBR2025.6		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: PARTICIPATION IN NFIP (National Floodplain Insurance Program)		Jurisdiction: City of Browning
Actin ID: CBR2025.7		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 29

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Bucklin R-II
Action ID: BUSD 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters/safe room		Jurisdiction: Bucklin R-II
Action ID: BUSD 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Generators		Jurisdiction: Bucklin R-II
Action ID: BUSD 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: County-wide inventory of emergency shelters and safe rooms		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 37

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Safety audit and self-inspection and training for critical facilities		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 44

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Public mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Snow removal		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Snow removal		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather alerts & Education		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Structure grants for road and bridge upgrades		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.7		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 27

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Flood risk reduction projects		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.8		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 30

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Upgraded road tubes		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.9		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 31

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather spotter training		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.10		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 29

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Critical facilities back-up		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.11		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Debris removal		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.12		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Warning siren coverage		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.13		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 35

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Tree trimming & brush cutting		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.14		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 33

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Creation of a county-level municipality steering committee		Jurisdiction: Linn County
Action ID: County 2025.15		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 29

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education		Jurisdiction: City of Linneus
Action ID: CLI 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather alerts & Education		Jurisdiction: City of Linneus
Action ID: CLI 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Critical facilities back-up		Jurisdiction: City of Linneus
Action ID: CLI 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Debris removal		Jurisdiction: City of Linneus
Action ID: CLI 2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 32

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters/safe room		Jurisdiction: City of Linneus
Action ID: CBU 2025.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Vulnerable population identification		Jurisdiction: City of Linneus
Actin ID: CLI 2025.6		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		11

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 31

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Action Title: Mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Marceline R-V
Action ID: MSD 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters/safe room		Jurisdiction: Marceline R-V
Action ID: MSD 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Generators		Jurisdiction: Marceline R-V
Action ID: MSD 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education for developers		Jurisdiction: City of Marceline
Action ID: CM 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 37

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education		Jurisdiction: City of Marceline
Action ID: CM 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Weather alerts & Education		Jurisdiction: City of Marceline
Action ID: CM 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Critical facilities back-up		Jurisdiction: City of Marceline
Action ID: CM 2025.4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Debris removal		Jurisdiction: City of Marceline
Action ID: CM 2025.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 32

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters/safe room		Jurisdiction: City of Marceline
Action ID: CM 2025.6		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: PARTICIPATION IN NFIP (National Floodplain Insurance Program)		Jurisdiction: City of Marceline
Actin ID: CM 2025.7		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 29

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Mitigation education		Jurisdiction: Marceline R-V
Action ID: MSD 2025.1		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score:		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 47

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Storm shelters/safe room		Jurisdiction: Marceline R-V
Action ID: MSD 2025.2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		20

Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Action Title: Generators		Jurisdiction: Marceline R-V
Action ID: MSD 2025.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute this action?		3
P: Is it politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have a neutral or positive impact on the environment? (score 3 if positive, 2 if neutral)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Can it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE Score:		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action save lives?	Assign from 5-10 based on the likelihood that lives would be saved	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10


Total Score (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness score): 34

Priority level: High (30+ Points) Medium (25-29 Points) Low (Less than 25 points)

Completed by: (name/title/phone #):

Q1 During the past five years have you experienced a natural disaster?


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Yes	100.00%	2
● No	0%	0
Total		2

Q2 If "YES" which of the following natural disasters have you experienced?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
Dam Failure	0%	0
Drought	0%	0
Total		9

Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
 Earthquake	0%	0
 Extreme Temperature	22.22%	2
 Flood	22.22%	2
 Severe Thunderstorm; High Wind, Lightning, and/or Hail	22.22%	2
 Severe Winter Weather	11.11%	1
 Tornado	22.22%	2
 Wildfire	0%	0
 Other (please specify) Show responses	0%	0
Total		9

Q3 How concerned are you about the following natural disasters?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Extreme Temperatures

Flood

Levee Failure

Severe Thunderstorm
(Hail, High Winds, &
Lightning)

Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Severe Winter Weather



Tornado



Wildfire



0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 10...


Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

^ Collapse

	VERY CONCERNED	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	NEUTRAL	NOT VERY CONCERNED	NOT CONCERNED	TOTAL
Dam Failure	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2
Drought	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Earthquake	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	2
Extreme Temperatures	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Flood	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Levee Failure	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	2
Severe Thunderstorm (Hail, High Winds, & Lightning)	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Severe Winter Weather	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Tornado	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Wildfire	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2

Q4 Have you ever received information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Yes	100.00%	2
● No	0%	0
Total		2

Q5 If "Yes", how recently?


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Within the last 6 months.	50.00%	1
● Between 6 - 12 months.	0%	0
● Between 1 - 2 years.	50.00%	1
● Between 2 - 5 years.	0%	0
● 5 years or more.	0%	0
Total		2

Q6 From whom did you last receive information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



^ Collapse


Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● News Media	0%	0
● Government Agency	50.00%	1
● Utility Company	0%	0
● University or Research Institution	0%	0
● Neighbor/Friend/Family Member	0%	0
Total		2

Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
 Elected Official	0%	0
 Non-Profit Organization (ex. American Red Cross)	0%	0
 Social Media	50.00%	1
 Show comments		
Total		2

Q7 Whom would you most trust to provide you with information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



^ Collapse

Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● News Media	0%	0
● Government Agency	66.67%	2


Total		3
--------------	--	----------

Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
 Insurance Agent or Company	33.33%	1
 Utility Company	0%	0
 University or Research Institution	0%	0
 Neighbor/Friend/Family Member	0%	0
 Elected Official	0%	0
 Non-Profit Organization	0%	0
 Social Media	0%	0
 Other (please specify) Show responses	0%	0
Total		3

Q8 What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0




Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
 Newspapers	0%	0
 Television	0%	0
 Radio	0%	0
 Internet	40.00%	2
 Schools	0%	0
 Books	0%	0
 Mail	0%	0
 Fact sheet/Brochure	0%	0
 Chamber of Commerce	0%	0
 Fire or Police Department	40.00%	2
 Public Workshops/Meetings	20.00%	1
 University or Research	0%	0
 Other (please specify) Show responses	0%	0
Total		5

Q9 Prior to completing this survey, were you aware of your county's Hazard Mitigation Plan?


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
Yes	50.00%	1
No	50.00%	1
Total		2

Q10 Community assets are features, characteristics, or resources that either make a community unique or allow the community to function. Which of the following categories are most susceptible to the impacts caused by natural hazards in your community?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0




	1	2	3	4	5	6	TOTAL	SCORE
Human	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	5.50
Economic	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	4.00
Infrastructure	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	5.50
Cultural/Historic	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	2	2.50
Environmental	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2	1.50
Governance	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	2	2.00

Q11 Next, we would like to know what specific types of community assets are most important to you.


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire


0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

^ Collapse

	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NEUTRAL	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Elder-care Facilities	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2	4.50
Schools (K-12)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2	4.50
Hospitals	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	2	4.00
Major Bridges	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	3.00
Fire/Police	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	2	5.00
Museums/Historic Buildings	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	2	4.00
Small Businesses	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	2	4.00
City Hall	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	2	4.00
Courthouse	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	2	3.50
Parks	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	2	3.00

Q12 A number of activities can reduce your community's risk from natural hazards. These activities can be both regulatory and non-regulatory. Please check the box that best represents your opinion of the following strategies to reduce the risk and loss associated with natural disasters.

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

I support policies to
prohibit development in
areas subject to natural
hazards




I support the use of tax
dollars (federal and/or
local) to compensate
landown...



I support the use of local
tax dollars to reduce risks
and losses from natura...



Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

I support a local inventory
of at-risk buildings and
infrastructure



I support the disclosure of
natural hazard risks during
real estate transactions




^ Collapse

Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire


	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEUTRAL	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
I support a regulatory approach to reducing risk	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	2.00
I support a non-regulatory approach to reducing risk	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	2	3.00
I support a mix of both regulatory and non-regulatory approaches to reducing risk	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	1.00
I support policies to prohibit development in areas subject to natural hazards	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	2	3.50
I support the use of tax dollars (federal and/or local) to compensate landowners for not developing in areas subject to natural hazards	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	2	3.50
I support the use of local tax dollars to reduce risks and losses from natural disasters	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	2.00
I support protecting historical and cultural structures	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	2.50
I would be willing to make my home more disaster resilient	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	1.50
I support steps to safeguard the local economy following a disaster event	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	1.00
I support improving the disaster preparedness of local schools	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	1.00
I support a local inventory of at-risk buildings and infrastructure	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	1.00
I support the disclosure of natural hazard risks during real estate transactions	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	1.50

Q13 Natural Hazards can have a significant impact on a community, but planning for these events can help lessen the impacts. The following statements will help determine citizen priorities regarding planning for natural hazards in your county. Please tell us how important each one is to you.


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire



Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire




Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

	VERY IMPORTANT	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	NEUTRAL	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	TOTAL
Protecting private property	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Protecting critical facilities (transportation networks, hospitals, fire stations)	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Preventing development in hazard prone areas	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	2
Enhancing the function of natural features (e.g. streams, wetlands)	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Protecting historical and cultural landmarks	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Protecting and reducing damage to utilities	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Strengthening emergency services (e.g. police, fire, ambulance)	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Disclosing natural hazard risks during real estate transactions	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Promoting cooperation among public agencies, citizens, non-profit organizations, and businesses	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2

Q14 In the following, please check those activities that you have done in your household, plan to do in the near future, or are unable to do.

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire

Prepared a "disaster supply kit" (stored extra food, water, batteries, or oth...

In the last year, has anyone in your household been trained in First Aid or C...

Prepared your home by having smoke detectors on each level of the house

Discussed or created a utility shutoff procedure in the event of a natural di...

Linn County Natural Hazard Questionnaire


0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

^ Collapse

	HAVE DONE	PLAN TO DO	NOT DONE	UNABLE TO DO	(NO LABEL)	TOTAL
Attended meetings or received written information on natural disasters or emergency preparedness	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Talked with members in your household about what to do in case of a natural disaster or emergency	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Developed a "household/family emergency plan" in order to decide what everyone would do in the event of a disaster	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Prepared a "disaster supply kit" (stored extra food, water, batteries, or other emergency supplies)	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
In the last year, has anyone in your household been trained in First Aid or Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation (CPR)	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Prepared your home by having smoke detectors on each level of the house	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2
Discussed or created a utility shutoff procedure in the event of a natural disaster	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2

Q15 Gender?


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
Male	100.00%	2
Female	0%	0
Total		2

Q16 Please indicate your level of education.

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Highschool Graduate/GED	0%	0
● Some College/Trade School	50.00%	1
● College Degree	50.00%	1
● Postgraduate Degree	0%	0
Total		2

Q17 Zip Code

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0

Q18 How long have you lived in Linn County?


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
● Less than one year	0%	0
● 1-4 years	0%	0
● 5-9 years	0%	0
● 10-19 years	0%	0
● 20 years or more	100.00%	2
Total		2

Q19 Do you own or rent your home?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Percentage	Responses
Own	100.00%	2
Rent	0%	0
Total		2

Q20 Please feel free to provide any additional comments in the space provided.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 2

Appendix D

- Critical Facilities (Redacted from Public Version)

Appendix E

- Resolutions of Adoption

City of Brookfield, Missouri RESOLUTION NO. 2026.02

A RESOLUTION OF THE City of Brookfield ADOPTING THE Linn County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS the City of Brookfield recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the City of Brookfield; and

WHEREAS the City of Brookfield has participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Linn County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, hereafter referred to as the *Plan*, in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the *Plan* identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the City of Brookfield from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS the City of Brookfield recognizes that land use policies have a major impact on whether people and property are exposed to natural hazards, the City of Brookfield will endeavor to integrate the *Plan* into the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS adoption by the City of Brookfield demonstrates their commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the *Plan*.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE City of Brookfield, in the State of Missouri, THAT:

In accordance with local rules for adopting resolutions, the City of Brookfield adopts the final FEMA-approved *Plan*.

ADOPTED by a vote of 4 in favor and 0 against, and 0 abstaining, this 26 day of

January.

By: _____

Its Mayor, Paul Frey

ATTEST:

By: _____

Its City Clerk, Sarah Dixon

City of Linneus, Missouri RESOLUTION NO. 1142026

A RESOLUTION OF THE City of Linneus ADOPTING THE Linn County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS the City of Linneus recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the City of Linneus; and

WHEREAS the City of Linneus has participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Linn County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, hereafter referred to as the *Plan*, in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the *Plan* identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the City of Linneus from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS the City of Linneus recognizes that land use policies have a major impact on whether people and property are exposed to natural hazards, the City of Linneus will endeavor to integrate the *Plan* into the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS adoption by the City of Linneus demonstrates their commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the *Plan*.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE City of Linneus, in the State of Missouri, THAT:

In accordance with local rules for adopting resolutions, the City of Linneus adopts the final FEMA-approved *Plan*.

ADOPTED by a vote of 4 in favor and 0 against, and 0 abstaining, this 14th day of January, 2025.

By (Sig.): Madeline Oertwig
Print name: Madeline Oertwig, Mayor

ATTEST:
By (Sig.): Lawan C. Cooley
Print name: Lawan Cooley

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
By (Sig.): Lawan C. Cooley
Print name: Lawan C. Cooley, Clerk

Model Resolution

City of Purdin, Missouri RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF the City of Purdin ADOPTING THE Linn County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS The City of Purdin recognizes the threat ~~that~~ natural hazards pose to people and property within the City of Purdin; and

WHEREAS the City of Purdin has participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Linn County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, hereafter referred to as the *Plan*, in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the *Plan* identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the City of Purdin from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS the City of Purdin recognizes that land use policies have a major impact on whether people and property are exposed to natural hazards, the City of Purdin will endeavor to integrate the *Plan* into the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS adoption by the City of Purdin demonstrates their commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the *Plan*.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY the City of Purdin, in the State of Missouri, THAT:

In accordance with (local rule for adopting resolutions), the City of Purdin adopts the final FEMA-approved *Plan*.

ADOPTED by a vote of 4 in favor and 0 against, and 0 abstaining, this 18 day of January 2026

By (Sig): Daniel Singleton - Mayor
Print name: Daniel Singleton - Mayor

ATTEST:
By (Sig.): Denise Wallace - City Clerk
Print name: Denise Wallace - City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
By (Sig.): Denise Wallace - City Clerk
Print name: Denise Wallace - City Clerk



Linn County

108 North High, Linneus, MO 64653

Fax: (660) 895-5527

Nelson Dinsmore-Presiding Commissioner
Glen Murrain-1st District Commissioner
Josh Muck- 2nd District Commissioner
(660) 895-5547

Suzan Stephenson-County Clerk
Dianna Dimitt-Deputy Clerk
Vanessa O'Dell-Registration Clerk
(660) 895-5417

County of Linn, Missouri

A RESOLUTION OF THE County of Linn ADOPTING THE Linn County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS the County of Linn recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the County of Linn; and

WHEREAS the County of Linn has participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Linn County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, hereafter referred to as the *Plan*, in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the *Plan* identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the County of Linn from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS the County of Linn recognizes that land use policies have a major impact on whether people and property are exposed to natural hazards, the County of Linn will endeavor to integrate the *Plan* into the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS adoption by the County of Linn demonstrates their commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the *Plan*.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE County of Linn, in the State of Missouri, THAT:

In accordance with local rules for adopting resolutions, the County of Linn adopts the final *FEMA-approved Plan*.

ADOPTED by a vote of 3 in favor and 0 against, and 0 abstaining, this day of Jan 20, 2026.

By (Sig.): Nelson G Dinsmore
Print name: Nelson G Dinsmore, Presiding Commissioner

ATTEST:
By (Sig.): Suzan Stephenson
Print name: Suzan Stephenson
County Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
By (Sig.): _____
Print name: _____

Meadville R-IV School District, Missouri RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF THE Meadville R-IV School District ADOPTING THE Linn County
Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS the Meadville R-IV School District recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the Meadville R-IV School District; and

WHEREAS the Meadville R-IV School District has participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Linn County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, hereafter referred to as the *Plan*, in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the *Plan* identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the Meadville R-IV School District from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS the Meadville R-IV School District recognizes that land use policies have a major impact on whether people and property are exposed to natural hazards, the Meadville R-IV School District will endeavor to integrate the *Plan* into the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS adoption by the B demonstrates their commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the *Plan*.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE Meadville R-IV School District, in the State of Missouri, THAT:

In accordance with local rules for adopting resolutions, the Meadville R-IV School District adopts the final *FEMA-approved Plan*.

ADOPTED by a vote of 7 in favor and 0 against, and 0 abstaining, this 14th day of January, 2026.

By (Sig.): Mishelle Smith
Print name: Mishelle Smith

ATTEST:

By (Sig.): JH Haley
Print name: Jeff Haley

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By (Sig.): _____
Print name: _____